

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/307907789>

# Towards Redesigning the Plant Commons: A Critical Assessment of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit-sharing of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Foo...

Thesis · October 2016

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.10984.67849

---

CITATIONS

0

---

READS

156

1 author:



Frison Christine

Université catholique de Louvain

25 PUBLICATIONS 24 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



LPTransition [View project](#)



Faculty of Law and Criminology  
Center for Philosophy of Law



Faculty of Law  
Centre for IT & IP Law

## **TOWARDS REDESIGNING THE PLANT COMMONS**

A CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE MULTILATERAL SYSTEM OF ACCESS AND BENEFIT-SHARING OF THE INTERNATIONAL TREATY ON PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

**Christine FRISON**

Dissertation presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor in Law

### **Jury Members**

Prof. em Dr. Marc Boes (KU Leuven), Chairmain  
Prof. Dr. Philippe Cullet (SOAS University of London)  
Prof. Dr. Tom Dedeurwaerdere (UCLouvain), Supervisor  
Prof. Dr. Olivier De Schutter (UCLouvain), Supervisor  
Prof. Dr. Esquinas-Alcazar (Universidad Politécnica de Madrid)  
Prof. Dr. Marie-Christine Janssens (KU Leuven)  
Prof. Dr. Geertrui Van Overwalle (KU Leuven), Supervisor

**September 2016**



---

# TOWARDS REDESIGNING THE PLANT COMMONS

A CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE MULTILATERAL SYSTEM OF ACCESS AND  
BENEFIT-SHARING OF THE INTERNATIONAL TREATY ON PLANT GENETIC  
RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

**Christine FRISON**

Dissertation presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of Doctor in Law

**1 Septembre 2016**

## *Supervisors*

Prof. T. Dedeurwaerdere  
UCLouvain

Prof. O. De Schutter  
UCLouvain

Prof. G. Van Overwalle  
KU Leuven

---

*To my sons,  
Théodore and Charlie*

## Summary Table of Contents

<i>Summary Table of Contents</i> .....	<i>i</i>
<i>List of Tables and Figures</i> .....	<i>iii</i>
<i>List of Acronyms and Abbreviations</i> .....	<i>v</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i> .....	<i>vii</i>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction – Harvesting the Benefits of the Commons to Grow a Food Secure World</b> .....	<b>1</b>
Section 1. Research approach .....	9
Section 2. Research map.....	11
Section 3. Theoretical framework – the theory of the commons .....	19
Section 4. Research motivation .....	25
Section 5. Contextual analysis .....	26
Section 6. Scope of the research .....	28
Section 7. Contribution to the state of the art.....	34
<b>PART I PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE MANAGEMENT: DIGGING THE SOIL TO REVEAL FERTILITY FOR COLLABORATION</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<i>Chapter 2 A History of the Seed International Regulatory Setting</i> .....	<i>39</i>
Section 1. The birth of agriculture and its developments .....	40
Section 2. The loss of biological diversity: wide collection and international ex situ conservation programmes as a response .....	41
Section 3. The rise of the breeding industry, modern biotechnology and IPRs: genetic resources gain economic value .....	47
Section 4. The International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources: a failed attempt to keep resources in the public domain .....	51
Section 5. The CBDs contractual approach to access genetic resources: the rise of States’ sovereign rights.....	58
Section 6. UPOV 1991 and the TRIPS Agreement: reinforcing PGRFA appropriation .....	64
Conclusion .....	69
<i>Chapter 3 Challenges in the Exchange of PGRFA to Reward the Custodians of Agro-biodiversity and Promote Innovation</i> .....	<i>71</i>
Section 1. The tension between “public seeds” and IPRs: ownership as a factor of rights imbalance.....	73
Section 2. The tension between advancements in biotechnology led by mega-agri-businesses and small-scale farmers: raising an economic imbalance .....	81
Section 3. The tension between “informal” exchange networks and “over-regulation” on seeds: raising a social sharing disruption .....	86
Section 4. The North / South divide: a political stake .....	89
Conclusion .....	92
<b>PART II THE PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE REGIME: AN ASSESSMENT OF THE INTERNATIONAL TREATY</b> .....	<b>93</b>
<i>Chapter 4 The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: A Legal Analysis</i> .....	<i>95</i>
Section 1. Sustainable agriculture and food security as Treaty overall goals .....	100
Section 2. Scope of the Treaty .....	123
Section 3. Farmers’ Rights .....	149
Section 4. Facilitated access to PGRFA .....	167
Section 5. Benefit-sharing, the Benefit-sharing Fund and the touchy issue of money .....	192
Section 6. Information and knowledge related to PGRFA .....	205
Section 7. Legal rules and procedures supporting compliance with the Treaty .....	215
Section 8. Treaty governance and stakeholders’ participation .....	229

Conclusion .....	241
<i>Chapter 5 Seeds and People : A Stakeholders' Analysis of the Treaty</i> .....	245
Section 1. A description of Treaty stakeholders .....	249
Section 2. List of Treaty constraints identified by stakeholders.....	276
Conclusion .....	281
<b>PART III PLANTING THE COMMONS: TOWARDS REDESIGNING THE GLOBAL SEED COMMONS .....</b>	<b>283</b>
<i>Chapter 6 Feeding an Effective Plant Treaty with the Commons Theory</i> .....	287
Section 1. A brief history of the theory of the commons .....	290
Section 2. The commons: useful underlying principles for the Global Seed Commons.....	304
Section 3. Redesigning the global seed commons.....	317
Conclusion .....	339
<i>Overall conclusion and further developments</i> .....	343
<i>Bibliography</i> .....	349
<i>Detailed Table of Contents</i> .....	411

## **List of Tables and Figures**

Table 2.1: The birth of agriculture .....	41
Table 2.2: The loss of biological diversity.....	46
Table 2.4: A failed attempt to keep resources in the public domain with the IU on Plant Genetic Resources .....	58
Table 2.5: The rise of states' sovereign rights through the Convention on Biological Diversity	64
Table 2.6: Reinforcing PGRFA appropriation through UPOV 1991 and the TRIPS Agreement...	68
Figure 3.1: Appropriation of seeds.....	76
Table 4. 1: Estimated percentage of interdependence of regions on PGRFA originating from elsewhere (based on numbers from Palacios' study).....	120
Table 4.2: Facilitated access rights and obligations of SMTA contracting parties.....	172
Table 4.3: Benefit-sharing rights and obligations of SMTA contracting parties .....	195
Table 5.1: Synopsis of stakeholders' objectives, positions and strategies .....	275
Table 5.2: List of constraints identified by Treaty stakeholders .....	277
Table 6.1: Treaty topics, conceptual constraints and relevant commons underlying principles .....	320
Table 6.2: Summary table of recommendations.....	345





## List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

3PB	Third Party Beneficiary (Plant Treaty)
ABS	Access and Benefit-sharing
ACFS	<i>Ad Hoc</i> Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy (Plant Treaty)
ACSU	<i>Ad Hoc</i> Technical Committee on Sustainable Use of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (Plant Treaty)
AEGIS	A European Genebank Integrated System
BSF	Benefit-sharing Fund (Plant Treaty)
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CAPRI	System Wide Program on Property Rights and Collective Action (CGIAR)
CePaCT	Centre for Pacific Crops and Trees
CFS	Committee on World Food Security (UN, FAO)
CG Centres	or CGIAR
CGIAR	Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research
CGRFA	Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (FAO)
COP	Conference of the parties (CBD)
CPR	Common Pool Resource ( <i>theory of the commons</i> )
CPGR	Commission on Plant Genetic Resources (then CGRFA, FAO)
DNA	Desoxyribonucleic Acid
DUS	Distinct Uniform and Stable criteria (UPOV)
EMBRAPA	Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation, Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, and Food Supply
EPC	European Patent Convention
EPO	European Patent Office
ESA	European Seed Association
ETC Group	Action Group on Erosion, Technology and Concentration (formerly RAFI)
EU	European Union
FRs	Farmers' Right (Plant Treaty)
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization (UN)
G-77	Group 77 - Loose coalition of developing nations in UN fora
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (WTO)
GCDT	Global Crop Diversity Trust
GEF	Division of Global Environment Facility Coordination – UNEP
GFAR	Global Forum on Agricultural Research
GMO	Genetically Modified Organism
GPA	Global Plan of Action (voluntary instrument in PGRFA, FAO)
GRULAC	Latin American and Caribbean Group (FAO)
GURT	Genetic Use Restriction Technology
IAARD	Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development
IAASTD	International Assessment of Agricultural Science and Technology for Development (under sponsorship of the UN and the World Bank)
IARC	International Agricultural Research Centres (supported by CGIAR)
IBPGR	International Board for Plant genetic Resources (then IPGRI, then Bioversity International)
IBP	International Biological Programme
IDLO	International Development Law Organization

## *List of Acronyms and abbreviation*

IFAD	International Fund for Agricultural Development (UN agency)
IGC	Inter-governmental Committee on Intellectual Property, Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore (WIPO)
INGO	International Non Governmental Organizations
INRA	Institut National de Recherche Agronomique (France)
IP	Intellectual Property
IPGRI	International Plant Genetic Research Institute (the Bioversity International, CGIAR)
IPC	International Planning Committee for Food Sovereignty (alliance of small scale producers)
IPES-Food	International Panel of Experts on Sustainable Food
IPR	Intellectual Property Right
IR	International Relations
ISF	International Seed Federation (formerly ASSINSEL)
ITPGRFA or the Treaty	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (or the Plant Treaty)
IU	International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources (FAO)
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MLS	Multilateral System of access and benefit-sharing (Plant Treaty)
MTA	Material Transfer Agreement
Nagoya Protocol	Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the CBD
NSSL	National Seed Storage Laboratory (Genebanks)
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PBR	Plant Breeders' Right
PGR	Plant Genetic Resource
PGRFA	Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture
PUD	Product Under Development (Plant Treaty)
PVP (A)	Plant Variety Protection (Act)
R&D	Research & Development
SEARICE	Southeast Asia Regional Initiatives for Community Empowerment
SPC	Pacific Community (scientific and technical organisation in the Pacific region 26 countries and territories)
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
SMTA	Standard Material Transfer Agreement (Plant Treaty)
SPC-Community	South Pacific Community
TK	Traditional Knowledge
TRIPS	Trade related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (Agreement, WTO )
UN	United Nations
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UPOV	International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plant
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
WG-MLS	Ad Hoc Open ended Working Group on the Multilateral System
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization

### **Acknowledgements**

This work has been supported financially by many different funding sources, the main ones being the 6<sup>th</sup> Framework Programme of the EU, the UCLouvain FSR funding, the Belgian Federal Public Service – Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment, DG Environment, and unexpectedly the Mutualité Chrétienne, without which I would simply not have been able to finalize this work.

The list of persons and institutions I would like to thank is quite long. Indeed, I have conducted my doctoral research in a collaborative manner in two different research centers and in partnership with other institutions. I came across many people, who participated in my research and rendered the achievement of this dissertation a less lonely process.

First, this thesis was jointly conducted in two Belgian universities: the Center for Philosophy of Law (CPDR) at the UCLouvain and the Center for IT & IP Law (CiTiP) at the KU Leuven. I wish to thank both of these institutions for providing me with the framework to conduct this research. I am grateful to Prof. Luc Wintgens, as a member of my supervision committee, in helping me clarify several technical and methodological issues. I thank Prof. Philippe Cullet, Prof. José Esquinas-Alcazar and Prof. Marie-Christine Janssens for accepting to join my jury.

At the CPDR, I wish to thank former and current directors Prof. Jacques Lenoble and Prof. Marc Maesschalck for their continuous encouragement and trust in my capacity to overcome the many challenges that paved my PhD road. I thank my supervisors: Prof. Tom Dedeurwaerdere for believing in my research project since the beginning, for his insightful and always positive comments and for helping me find the financial support to carry out my work; as well as Prof. Olivier De Schutter for his guidance in helping me clarify and further develop my reasoning, our discussions have been instrumental for my research. I am grateful to my friends and research colleagues - past and current members of the CPDR - for their engaging presence and innumerable discussions, which fed my research progress. A particular word of appreciation goes for Matthias, Bernard, Elise, Juan-Pablo and Priscilla: their restless encouragement, stimulating discussions and positive suggestions every other day squeezed into my office around special teas and sweet nuts and cookies have transformed me into a real interdisciplinary researcher. Another special thank you goes to my young female fellows, Elisabeth, Sixtine, and Charlotte who have acted as cheerleaders, careful readers, ever present ears over the last two years. I heartily thank Charlotte and her parents for lending me their wonderful country house when I needed silence, time and concentration to write the following chapters. Finally, I warmly thank the administrative team. At UCLouvain, I also thank Prof. Charles-Hubert Born for his overall support.

## *Acknowledgements*

At CiTiP, I thank Prof. Frank Gotzen, Prof. Willy Geysen, and Prof. Marie-Christine Janssens for their kind encouragement. I wholeheartedly thank my supervisor Prof. Geertrui Van Overwalle, who has demonstrated a great flexibility to adapt to my specific situation, with empathy, unlimited availability, and unconditional intellectual, moral and personal support. Her timely and careful reading of each and every line of my work may have been somewhat challenging, but she has been extremely supportive in helping me structure my work and implement my research methodology in a rigorous manner. I would not have finished this work without her generous supervision over the last couple of years: hartelijk bedankt Geert! I also thank past research members Birgit Verbeure and Esther van Zimmeren for their continuous support, their friendship and reassurance throughout my work, as well as Linda Mees. I wish to offer an additional thank you to Esther van Zimmeren, for reviewing most of my work, for her ability to understand my reasoning and to clarify the intentions behind my words, for encouraging me when I was despaired, for acting as a benevolent colleague and a supportive friend. Finally, I thank all past and current CiTiP members and project collaborators. At KU Leuven, I also thank Prof. Geert De Baere, Prof. Sophie Styns, and Prof. Jeroen Maesschalck for their support.

Furthermore, I am indebted to all the “Treaty people” for facilitating my work by collaborating on the stakeholder analysis book and for helping me entering their fascinating world. First and foremost, I heartily thank Pepe Esquinas for believing in my project and for sharing his relations and political experience in FAO negotiations. I also thank all the Treaty Secretariat people, especially Shakeel Bhatti, Álvaro Toledo, Daniele Manzella, Ruairidh Sackville Hamilton, Kent Nnadozie, Paola Franceschelli, and Fabrice Mongin, for their help and support in FAO premises. A special thank you to Francisco López for collaborating on the edition of the stakeholder analysis book; Michael Halewood and all the Bioversity International and CGIAR folks I know, in particular Gerald Moore, who participated in my PhD supervision committee during the first years; Cary Fowler, for his kind and encouraging words; Clive Stannard for intense discussions on the functioning of the Treaty and insightful remarks; and of course all the participating authors to the stakeholder analysis book. I also thank people from the FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture.

Additionally, I would like to acknowledge the Centre for International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL, Montréal, Canada) and its members for the fruitful research meetings, projects and publications, which contributed to launch my research career. In particular, I thank Prof. Markus Gehring, Prof. Sumudu Atapattu, Prof. Jorge Cabrera, Dr. Maya Prabhu, Prof. Konstantia Koutouki, Prof. Bradnee Chambers, Dr. Catherine-Zoi Varfis, Frederic Perron-Welch, Christine Toczeck, Kishan Khoday, Emily Wheeler, and a special word for Prof. Pia Rodriguez, who encouraged me to apply as legal research fellow at the CISDL. I owe a very special acknowledgement to CISDL Director Prof. Marie-Claire Cordonier-Segger, who was the first person believing in my skills as a researcher when she integrated me in the Biodiversity

## *Acknowledgements*

Law team of the CISDL right after my master thesis. Her impressive expertise and ability in jointly conducting many challenging projects has inspired my tenacity and ambitions.

At the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, I thank Charles Gbedemah, David Cooper, Worku Damena Yifru, Valérie Normand, Olivier Rukundo, and in particular my friend Kathryn Garforth for many interesting discussions on international biodiversity law and its relation to the FAO Treaty.

Last, but certainly not least, I am grateful to my friends and family who have supported me in various manners. First and foremost, I thank my parents, my primary supporters. My father, who was my very first reader and patiently reviewed every line written; my mother, who supported me in many different ways, and especially by taking care of my children, and who flew from Italy every time I needed her (and that was very often)! I thank my brother and sisters for their encouragements and advices, in particular Séverine, carrying out a PhD in London at the same time as I, and with whom I could share all the great and difficult steps of a doctoral research during long Skype calls. I thank my husband for taking care of our children when I was not available and for offering me the laptop on which these lines were written, as well as my family in law, for helping out with our children and for their interested questions on my work. I thank the long list of babysitters and friends who replaced me to take care of our children when I could not leave my desk. I thank my good friends for their everlasting encouragement and support: Caro, Emilie, Carine, Dominique, Delphine, Lucie, Thi-Thu, Fando, Oli, Karl, and Sebastian. I thank my late grandmother Moeke and my late grandmother of adoption Simone, two women farmers who transmitted me their strength and courage, two feet steadily sunk into the nourishing ground. I thank my dear sons, Théodore and Charlie, for their incommensurable love.

## Chapter 1 Introduction – Harvesting the Benefits of the Commons to Grow a Food Secure World

*“Le premier qui, ayant enclos un terrain, s'avisait de dire : Ceci est à moi, et trouva des gens assez simples pour le croire, fut le vrai fondateur de la société civile. Que de crimes, de guerres, de meurtres, que de misères et d'horreurs n'eût point épargnés au genre humain celui qui, arrachant les pieux ou comblant le fossé, eût crié à ses semblables: Gardez-vous d'écouter cet imposteur; vous êtes perdus, si vous oubliez que les fruits sont à tous, et que la terre n'est à personne.”*

*Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1755), “Discours sur l'origine et les fondements de l'inégalité parmi les hommes”<sup>1</sup>*

On 25 March 2015, the Enlarged Board of Appeal of the European Patent Office (EPO) ruled<sup>2</sup> that plants or seeds obtained through conventional breeding methods are patentable; thereby widening the extent of patent claims over plants and plant varieties.<sup>3</sup> This loose interpretation of the European Patent Convention (EPC) Article 53 (b)<sup>4</sup> widens breeders' rights to protect plants under a patent,<sup>5</sup> whereas up to then in Europe, such intellectual protection was mainly possible under Plant Breeders' Rights (PBRs).<sup>6</sup> This decision extends further the

---

<sup>1</sup> J.-J. ROUSSEAU, 1755, *“Discours Sur L'origine Et Les Fondements De L'inégalité Parmi Les Hommes”*, Amsterdam, Marc Michel Rey. Republié en 2012 sur Presses Électroniques de France, Second partie, at p. 68.

<sup>2</sup> *Enlarged Board of Appeal*, decisions taken on 25 March 2015, case number G 0002/12 (relating to the so called Tomatoes II case) and G 0002/13 (relating to the Broccoli II case), which state that plant products such as fruits, seeds and parts of plants are patentable in principle under the European Patent Convention even if they are obtained through essentially biological breeding methods involving crossing and selection. This decision goes counter to a *European Parliament Resolution*, (which is not binding) adopted on 10 May 2012 on the patenting of essential biological processes (2012/2623(RSP)).

<sup>3</sup> In 1995 the Board of Appeal of the European Patent Office had rejected such patent claims: “a product claim which embraces within its subject-matter *plant varieties* (...) is not patentable”. *Plant Cells/Plant Genetic Systems*, T 356/93, paragraph 24.

<sup>4</sup> Article 53(b) “*Exceptions to patentability*” of the *European Patent Convention* states that: “European patents shall not be granted in respect of: (b) plant or animal varieties or essentially biological processes for the production of plants or animals.”

<sup>5</sup> This was already the case in the USA under the *Plant Patent Act* of 1930 (enacted on 17 June 1930, codified as title 35 United States Code) Section 161 which states: “Whoever invents or discovers and asexually reproduces any distinct and new variety of plant, including cultivated sports, mutants, hybrids, and newly found seedlings, other than a tuber propagated plant or a plant found in an uncultivated state, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of title (Amended September 3, 1954, 68 Stat. 1190).”

<sup>6</sup> *International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV Convention)* of December 2, 1961, as revised at Geneva on November 10, 1972, on October 23, 1978, and on March 19, 1991. Text available at <http://www.upov.int/upovlex/en/conventions/1991/content.html> /; see also *Council Regulation 2100/94/CE on Community Plant Variety Rights*. For an extensive description of these matters see C. CHIAROLLA, 2006, “Commodifying Agriculture Biodiversity and Development-Related Issues”, *Journal of world intellectual property*, Vol. 9, (1) pp. 31-42. For a comparison of European and American approaches to patent protection of plants before the widening of protection scope, see G. VAN OVERWALLE, 1998, “Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches”, *Idea*, Vol. 39. See also G. VAN OVERWALLE, 1996, “Octrooierbaarheid Van Plantenbiotechnologische Uitvindingen. Een Rechtsvergelijkend Onderzoek Naar Een Rechvaardiging Van Een Uitbreiding Van Het Octrooirecht Tot Planten.-Patentability of Plant

appropriation and enclosure of plants and seeds which accelerated at the end of the twentieth century,<sup>7</sup> and shrinks even more the rights of farmers to save, grow and sell their seeds.<sup>8</sup> This first trend strengthens the increasing domination of food and agriculture markets by a few corporate multinational companies.<sup>9</sup>

As a reaction, farmers,<sup>10</sup> researchers,<sup>11</sup> breeders<sup>12</sup> and citizens<sup>13</sup> are acting collectively worldwide to promote the free conservation, use, and exchange patterns<sup>14</sup> for so called “non-

---

Biotechnological Inventions. A Comparative Study Towards a Justification of Extending Patent Law to Plants " (KU Leuven, 1996).

<sup>7</sup> Sabrina Safrin names this trend “hyperownership”; see S. SAFRIN, 2004, “Hyperownership in a Time of Biotechnological Promise: The International Conflict to Control the Building Blocks of Life”, *The American Journal of International Law*, Vol. 98, (4). The upsurge of intellectual property rights over plants progressed over time. For an exhaustive analysis of the rise and expansion of these rights see L. R. HELFER, “International Property Rights in Plant Varieties: International Legal Regimes and Policy Options for National Governments”, 2004 ; see also C. CHIAROLLA, 2006 *op.cit.* and O. DE SCHUTTER, “Seed Policies and the Right to Food: Enhancing Agrobiodiversity and Encouraging Innovation”, 2009 .

<sup>8</sup> This right had already been reduced to nothingness with the revision of the *UPOV Convention* in its 1991 Act, where Articles 14(1), 14(5), 15(1)(iii), and 15(2) define the scope and exceptions of Breeders’ Rights. Previously, under the 1978 Act, the UPOV Convention allowed a farmer to replant seeds from the crop produced by protected seeds for his own subsequent use (save seeds); to exchange seeds with other farmers without paying additional royalties to the breeder; and to use a protected variety to create new varieties without prior authorization of the original breeder. The 1991 Act suppressed the right to freely exchange seeds and imposed limitations on their replanting. As for the right to use seeds for further breeding, the 1991 Act limits it to new varieties that are not “essentially derived” from protected varieties. The overall result of the amendment has narrowed the exemption and expanded the rights of first-generation breeders (see HELFER, *op. cit.* at p. 20-32).

<sup>9</sup> A. MORLEY, J. MCENTEE, AND T. MARSDEN, “Food Futures - Framing the Crisis”, in T. MARSDEN AND A. MORLEY (eds), *Sustainable Food Systems - Building a New Paradigm*, Oxon, Routledge, 2014 at p. 47. See also O. DE SCHUTTER, “Agribusiness and the Right to Food”, 2009 at pp. 4-5; and M. A. ALTIERI AND C. I. NICHOLLS, “Agroecology Scaling up for Food Sovereignty and Resiliency”, 2012 at pp. 6-7; FORESIGHT, 2011, “The Future of Food and Farming: Challenges and Choices for Global sustainability”, The Government Office for Science (GO-Science), at pp. 99-100; and finally see J. CLAPP AND D. A. FUCHS, 2009, “*Corporate Power in Global Agrifood Governance*”, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press; N. LOUWAARS *et al.*, “Breeding Business. The Future of Plant Breeding in the Light of Developments in Patent Rights and Plant Breeder’s Rights”, 2009 at p. 27-38 and p. 60; see also O. DE SCHUTTER, “Addressing Concentration in Food Supply Chains - the Role of Competition Law in Tackling the Abuse of Buyer Power,” (United Nations Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food, 2010).

<sup>10</sup> *La Via Campesina* is the most active and widespread farmers’ association worldwide. It was born in 1993 and defends small-scale sustainable agriculture as a way to promote social justice and dignity. It strongly opposes corporate driven agriculture and transnational companies that are destroying people and nature. It comprises about 164 local and national organizations in 73 countries from Africa, Asia, Europe and the Americas. Altogether, it represents about 200 million farmers. It is an autonomous, pluralist and multicultural movement, independent from any political, economic or other type of affiliation. See <http://viacampesina.org/fr/>

<sup>11</sup> The *Open Source Seed Initiative*, promoted by Prof. Jack Kloppenburg at the University Wisconsin-Madison campus, is inspired “by the free and open source software movement that has provided alternatives to proprietary software, OSSSI was created to free the seed - to make sure that the genes in at least some seed can never be locked away from use by intellectual property rights. Through our Pledge, OSSSI asks breeders and stewards of crop varieties to pledge to make their seeds available without restrictions on use, and to ask recipients of those seeds to make the same commitment. OSSSI is working to create a pool of open source varieties, to connect farmers and gardeners to suppliers of open source seed, and to inform and educate citizens about seed issues.” Available at <http://osseeds.org/>

<sup>12</sup> To cite only the most popular: *Association Kokopelli* (see <https://kokopelli-semences.fr/>), or the *Garden Organic* UK based association and its *Heritage Seed Library* aims to conserve and make available to its members, through an annual catalogue, vegetable varieties, mainly of European varieties, that are not widely available (see <http://www.gardenorganic.org.uk/>).

<sup>13</sup> “Graines de Troc” is one example out of many of a participatory platform for the exchange of seeds and related knowledge. It is a non-commercial association where members exchange their seeds and related knowledge for free, and which objective is to protect biodiversity against standardization of varieties by sharing old varieties. See <http://www.grainesdetroc.fr/>

<sup>14</sup> An example in France: *Réseau Semences Paysannes* functions as a network of local and national associations of farmers, citizens, NGOs and other actors involved in organic agriculture production and conservation (see <http://www.semencespaysannes.org/>).



industrial varieties”.<sup>15</sup> This second trend represents an alternative path to produce local, diverse, sustainable and healthy food.<sup>16</sup>

In between these two trends, emerges the global challenge of feeding a growing world population in the face of increasing social, economic and environmental vulnerabilities,<sup>17</sup> and the more specific issue of access to seeds<sup>18</sup> for food security and sustainable agriculture.<sup>19</sup>

Since the middle of the twentieth century, policies (through the green revolution)<sup>20</sup> have promoted the large scale production of uniform, high yielding monocultures of a few staple crops as the solution to feed a growing population.<sup>21</sup> The focus was on increasing yields through the development of new breeding technologies, thereby quickly replacing local and diverse varieties with uniform crops worldwide,<sup>22</sup> and shifting the qualification of seeds from

---

<sup>15</sup> I call “non-industrial seeds” seeds that are not registered in official plant variety catalogs, thereby seeds that do not fulfil one or several of the criteria for certification of seed i.e. distinctness; uniformity; stability; and value for cultivation and use - for agricultural crops. This notion covers “non-conventional seeds, “old / ancient / forgotten varieties”, etc.; see C. HECQUET, “Comment Faire Circuler Les Semences? Enjeux Et Perspectives Pour Les Alternatives,” (2015), unpublished.

<sup>16</sup> M. A. ALTIERI AND C. I. NICHOLLS, 2012. See also the very recent report produced by the International Panel of Experts on Sustainable Food Systems (IPES-Food) which recognizes that “[t]he key is to establish *political* priorities, namely, to support the emergence of alternative systems which are based around fundamentally different logics, and which, over time, generate different and more equitable power relations. Incremental change must not be allowed to divert political attention and political capital away from the more fundamental shift that is urgently needed, and can now be delivered, through a paradigm shift from industrial agriculture to diversified agroecological systems.” See their first report IPES-Food, “From Uniformity to Diversity: A Paradigm Shift from Industrial Agriculture to Diversified Agroecological Systems”, 2016 at p. 7. IPES-Food brings together expert voices representing different disciplines and different types of knowledge, to inform the policy debate on how to reform food systems across the world. and their website <http://www.ipes-food.org/>

<sup>17</sup> F. BURCH, J. FANZO, AND E. FRISON, 2011, “The Role of Food and Nutrition System Approaches in Tackling Hidden Hunger”, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, Vol. 8 ; Burch et al contend that “one of the World’s greatest challenges is to secure sufficient and healthy food for all, and to do so in an environmentally sustainable manner.” They promote an integrated system approach to reduce hidden hunger and explore the interrelationships of food, health, and environment, and their role in addressing chronic micronutrient deficiencies, affecting over two billion people worldwide.

<sup>18</sup> In the present work, the words ‘seed’, ‘plant’, ‘PGRFA’, ‘material’ or ‘genetic resource’ are used interchangeably to talk about the ‘plant genetic resources for food and agriculture’ (PGRFA) as defined under Article 2 of the Plant Treaty. In simple terms, PGRFA are crops and forages used as nutriment for humans and animals.

<sup>19</sup> On 25 September 2015, the United Nations Sustainable Development Summit adopted 17 Sustainable Development Goals, of which “Goal 2 Zero Hunger”, provides in target 2.5 that “[b]y 2020, [States should] maintain the genetic diversity of seeds, cultivated plants and farmed and domesticated animals and their related wild species, including through soundly managed and diversified seed and plant banks at the national, regional and international levels, and promote access to and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge, as internationally agreed. Available at <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdgs>. See also, P. CULLET, 2004, “Intellectual Property Rights and Food Security in the South”, *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, Vol. 7, (3); P. CULLET, 2004, “Food Security and Intellectual Property Rights in Developing Countries”, RIBios (Réseau interdisciplinaire biosécurité): Institut universitaire d’études du développement (IUED); P. CULLET, 2005, “Seeds Regulation, Food Security and Sustainable Development”, *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol.

<sup>20</sup> R. E. EVENSON AND D. GOLLIN, 2003, “Assessing the Impact of the Green Revolution, 1960 to 2000”, *Science*, Vol. 300, (5620); L. TANGLEY, 1987, “Beyond the Green Revolution”, *BioScience*, Vol. 37, (3); see also S. B. BRUSH, 2001, “Genetically Modified Organisms in Peasant Farming: Social Impact and Equity”, *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, Vol. 9, (1).

<sup>21</sup> R. E. EVENSON AND D. GOLLIN, 2003 *op.cit.*

<sup>22</sup> FAO’s *The State of the World’s Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture* first report shows that one of the most important reasons for genetic erosion is the replacement of traditional varieties with modern, high yielding, and genetically uniform ones. See FAO, “The State of the World’s Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture”, 1998 at p. 33.

public goods to highly privatized goods.<sup>23</sup> Today, numerous studies show that this approach has had various serious consequences: first, a rapid diversity loss resulting from the widespread intensive monocultures;<sup>24</sup> second, despite the significant yield increases, the objectives of eradicating hunger and malnutrition were not achieved;<sup>25</sup> third, the domination of a few multinational corporations over the entire agriculture input sector;<sup>26</sup> fourth, the hyper-ownership and enclosure of seeds through legal and technological means,<sup>27</sup> leading to the increasing brittleness of traditional informal seed systems<sup>28</sup> and movements worldwide;<sup>29</sup> fifth, the continuing reduction in numbers of small-scale farms on which most of developing countries' population rely for their food production;<sup>30</sup> etc.

While agro-chemical companies have systematically used the argument of reducing hunger and malnutrition to promote policies<sup>31</sup> that strengthen their dominant position worldwide<sup>32</sup> and expand the commodification process,<sup>33</sup> it is undeniable that these strategies

---

<sup>23</sup> See L. R. HELFER, 2004; see also C. CHIAROLLA, 2006 *op.cit.*

<sup>24</sup> Ibid. See also J. ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR, 2005, "Protecting Crop Genetic Diversity for Food Security: Political, Ethical and Technical Challenges", *Nature Reviews Genetics*, Vol. 6, (12) at pp. 946-953.

<sup>25</sup> A. MORLEY, J. MCENTEE, AND T. MARSDEN, *op. cit.* at pp. 37-42 and 47-48 referring to several FAOSTAT data.

<sup>26</sup> See O. DE SCHUTTER, "Agribusiness and the Right to Food", 2009 At pp. 4-5; see also the failed tentative US\$46.5B takeover bid of Monsanto over Syngenta, available at [http://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/27/business/dealbook/monsanto-abandons-47-billion-takeover-bid-for-syngenta.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/27/business/dealbook/monsanto-abandons-47-billion-takeover-bid-for-syngenta.html?_r=0). With Monsanto being the world leader in seeds and genetically engineered traits and Syngenta in insecticides, fungicides and herbicides, the merger would have created an agricultural behemoth with the largest market share in the world in both seeds and agricultural chemicals.

<sup>27</sup> *Op. cit.* all references under note 9; see also A. MORLEY, J. MCENTEE, AND T. MARSDEN, *op. cit.* at p. 49.

<sup>28</sup> Louwaars defines 'informal seed systems' as "covering methods of local seed selection, production and diffusion." They are also called 'traditional', 'local' or 'farmers' seed systems' since "they operate mainly at farmer and community levels both in terms of production and exchange mechanisms." Louwaars prefers referring to 'farmers' seed systems' as "being the most neutral term and one that made clear that the ones operating this system are the farmers themselves." Informal seed systems are opposed to 'formal seed systems', i.e. commercial seed systems which developed in industrialised countries in the second half of the nineteenth century. "The development of a commercial breeding and seed sector in the USA was especially enhanced by the discovery of the phenomenon of heterosis and the subsequent introduction of hybrid varieties of maize. This trend separated crop improvement and seed production from other regular farm operations, creating different specialised actors, including breeders, seed producers and seed conditioners." N. LOUWAARS, 2008, "Seeds of Confusion. The Impact of Policies on Seed Systems" (Wageningen Universiteit, 2008) at p. 32.

<sup>29</sup> O. DE SCHUTTER, "Seed Policies and the Right to Food: Enhancing Agrobiodiversity and Encouraging Innovation", 2009 at p. 4 § 7. See also N. LOUWAARS, "Seeds of Confusion. The Impact of Policies on Seed Systems," At p. 29. Louwaars points to the problematic coexistence between farmers' seed systems (i.e. informal networks between farmers operating at local or community levels) and commercial seed systems (including the fact that the commercial seed systems aims at reducing further and further the informal networks).

<sup>30</sup> M. A. ALTIERI AND C. I. NICHOLLS, 2012 at pp. 6-7.

<sup>31</sup> R. B. SHAPIRO, "Growth through Global Sustainability: An Interview with Monsanto's Ceo, Robert B. Shapiro," ed. J. MAGRETTA (Harvard Business Review, 1997); R. B. SHAPIRO, "Open Letter from Monsanto Ceo Robert B. Shapiro to Rockefeller Foundation President Gordon Conway and Others", Monsanto Company; see also E. SIMANIS, "The Monsanto Company: Quest for Sustainability," ed. S. HART (Kenan-Flagler Business School: Sustainable Enterprise Program of the World Resources Institute, 2001).

<sup>32</sup> See for example Monsanto's website <http://www.monsanto.com/pages/default.aspx>, Syngenta's website <http://www.syngenta.com/global/corporate/en/Pages/home.aspx>, or Bayer CropScience's website, available at <http://www.cropscience.bayer.com/>.

<sup>33</sup> C. CHIAROLLA, 2006 *op.cit.* at pp. 25-26 & 42. Chiarolla "considers the extent to which the patent system needs to be modified in order to prevent agricultural exemptions, enjoyed by plant breeders and farmers under sui generis plant variety protection, from being overridden by patent claims that extend to plants and plant varieties. It is suggested that sui generis

have not reached the “official objective” of eliminating hunger and malnutrition.<sup>34</sup> Indeed, although the number of hungry people has diminished,<sup>35</sup> the first Millennium Development Goal (MDG) to eradicate poverty and hunger and the “Zero Hunger” 2015 Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) are far from being achieved.<sup>36</sup>

The problem is therefore not so much about our capacity of producing enough food (indeed studies have shown that within our limited world resources, we are able to feed our population),<sup>37</sup> but rather about managing and facilitating the access to food and the seeds needed for its production in a fair and equitable manner.<sup>38</sup>

---

PVP regimes should respond to broad societal objectives and promote sustainable agriculture.” For an earlier similar proposal, see P. CULLET, 1999, “Revision of the Trips Agreement Concerning the Protection of Plant Varieties”, *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, Vol. 2, (4).

<sup>34</sup> SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE AND FOOD SYSTEMS THEMATIC GROUP, “Solutions for Sustainable Agriculture and Food Systems”, 2013 U.N.S.D.S. NETWORK at p. 1; see also O. DE SCHUTTER AND G. VANLOQUEREN, 2011, “The New Green Revolution: How Twenty-First-Century Science Can Feed the World”, *Solutions Journal*, Vol. 2, (4); and A. DORWARD *et al.*, 2004, “A Policy Agenda for Pro-Poor Agricultural Growth”, *World Development*, Vol. 32, (1).

<sup>35</sup> *Ibid.* See the *Millennium Development Goals* (MDG) and in particular Target 1.C to halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger. See <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/>. The 2015 MDG report states that “Current estimates suggest that about 795 million people are undernourished globally. This means that nearly one in nine individuals do not have enough to eat. The vast majority of them (780 million people) live in the developing regions. However, projections indicate a drop of almost half in the proportion of undernourished people in the developing regions, from 23.3 per cent in 1990–1992 to 12.9 per cent in 2014–2016. This is very close to the MDG hunger target. Rapid progress during the 1990s was followed by a slower decline in hunger in the first five years of the new millennium and then a rebound starting around 2008. The projections for the most recent period mark a new phase of slower progress.” UNITED NATIONS, “Millennium Development Goals Report 2015”, 2015 at p. 20.

<sup>36</sup> *Ibid.* MDG Goal 1 Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger. “Although the MDG targets of halving the proportion of people living in extreme poverty and hunger have been met or almost met, the world is still far from reaching the MDG goal of eradicating extreme poverty and hunger. In 2015, an estimated 825 million people still live in extreme poverty and 800 million still suffer from hunger.” Eradicating poverty and hunger remains at the core of the post-2015 development agenda. at p.23. FAO, “The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2014 - Strengthening the Enabling Environment for Food Security and Nutrition”, 2014 ; see also O. DE SCHUTTER, “Building Resilience: A Human Rights Framework for World Food and Nutrition Security”, 2008 at pp. 4-6; finally see A. P. KAMERI-MBOTE AND P. CULLET, 1999, “Agro-Biodiversity and International Law-a Conceptual Framework”, *Journal of Environmental Law*, Vol. 11, (2).

<sup>37</sup> M. A. ALTIERI AND C. I. NICHOLLS, 2012 at pp. 4-5, Altieri states that “seventy eight percent of all malnourished children under five who live in the Third World are in countries with food surpluses”. Although the UN Food and Agriculture Organization claims that to feed nine billion people in 2050, and as people become more affluent, global agricultural production will need to increase by 70 per cent, various critics including Altieri dispute this claim. See also the Background Document Prepared by the UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food Olivier De Schutter on his Mission to the World Trade Organization (WTO), Presented to the Human Rights Council in March 2009 where he shows that poverty is one of the major cause for people to be undernourished, and that the majority of the world’s undernourished people are small farmers in developing countries who are net buyers of food. These farmers’ income is often too low to enable them to purchase the food available on the market. See Background Study to UN Doc. A/HRC/10/005/Add.2.

<sup>38</sup> F. M. LAPPE, J. COLLINS, AND P. ROSSET, 1998, “*World Hunger; Twelve Myths*”, New York, A Grove Press Book; see also O. DE SCHUTTER, “Building Resilience: A Human Rights Framework for World Food and Nutrition Security”, 2008 at p. 6 § 6; see also A. MORLEY, J. McENTEE, AND T. MARSDEN, *op. cit.* at p. 56. See also Pautasso *et al.* who stresses that “The conservation and management of agrobiodiversity is a key issue in the struggle to achieve food security for a growing world population in the face of global change”, in M. PAUTASSO *et al.*, 2013, “Seed Exchange Networks for Agrobiodiversity Conservation. A Review”, *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, Vol. 33, (1), at p. 153; see also A. SEN, 1981, “*Poverty and Famines: An Essay on Entitlement and Deprivation*”, Oxford university press.

A growing number of studies show that a different type of agriculture could better address the above mentioned needs,<sup>39</sup> taking into account the social, economic and environmental hazards.<sup>40</sup> In December 2010, Special rapporteur on the Right to Food Olivier De Schutter was pointing out that “States can and must achieve a reorientation of their agricultural systems towards modes of production that are highly productive, highly sustainable and that contribute to the progressive realization of the human right to adequate food.”<sup>41</sup> Drawing on an extensive review of the scientific literature published in the last five years,<sup>42</sup> the Special Rapporteur identifies agroecology<sup>43</sup> as a mode of agricultural development to be promoted. Ecological agriculture<sup>44</sup> demonstrates that yields can be

---

<sup>39</sup> See above note 16 on the first report of IPES-FOOD, 2016. See also the Sustainable Agriculture and Food Systems Thematic Group, which foresees that regions are likely to suffer moderate to high costs in the Business-As-Usual scenario of unsustainable agricultural development. “In the absence of change towards a new, shared global framework for sustainable development of agriculture and food systems, a Business-As-Usual trajectory would have severe implications for food and nutritional security, economic and social development, public health as well as environmental sustainability”. SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE AND FOOD SYSTEMS THEMATIC GROUP, 2013 at pp. 10-12. See also the results of a 30 years research comparing organic and conventional farming by the Rodale Institute (a non-profit organization dedicated to pioneering organic farming through research and outreach). RODALE INSTITUTE, “The Rodale Institute’s 30-Year Farming Systems Trial Report”, 2011 . Their landmark Farming Systems Trial® is the longest- running side-by-side comparison of organic and conventional agriculture. For over sixty years, the Institute has been researching the best practices of organic farming and sharing findings with farmers and scientists throughout the world, advocating for policies that support farmers, and educating consumers about how going organic is the healthiest option for people and the planet.

<sup>40</sup> Numerous studies exist for different plants. Here are few examples. For wheat: S. S. JONES, 2004, “Breeding Resistance to Special Interests”, *Organic Farming Research Foundation Information Bulletin*, Vol. Fall 2004, (14); for sown grasslands: I. PRIETO *et al.*, 2015, “Complementary Effects of Species and Genetic Diversity on Productivity and Stability of Sown Grasslands”, *Nature Plants*, Vol. 1; for fungi see P. STAMETS, 2005, “*Mycelium Running : How Mushrooms Can Help Save the World*”, Berkeley, Ten Speed Press. Paul Stamets obtained a patent for his invention ‘application Ser. No. 09/678,141 for MYCOPESTICIDES, filed Oct. 3, 2000, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,660,290’; and for a general overview see J. CAPLAT, 2014, “*Changeons D’agriculture-Réussir La Transition*”, Paris, Actes Sud; for an example in El Salvador see M. LAPLACE, “Le Salvador Bannit Le Roundup De Monsanto Et Connait Des Récoltes Records”, *L’info Ecologique au Quotidien*, 27 April 2015 (accessed on 14 September 2015).

<sup>41</sup>O. DE SCHUTTER, “Agroecology and the Right to Food”, 2010 at p. 1. See also O. DE SCHUTTER AND G. VANLOQUEREN, 2011 *op.cit.*. See also M. PAUTASSO *et al.*, 2013 *op.cit.* at p. 153; O. DE SCHUTTER, “Towards More Equitable Value Chains: Alternative Business Models in Support of the Right to Food”, 2011 .

<sup>42</sup> Miguel Altieri, Professor of Agroecology at the University of California, Berkeley in the Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, is one of the most eminent experts in the field. He published extensively on topic, *inter alia* M. A. ALTIERI *et al.*, “Agroecology: The Scientific Basis of Alternative Agriculture”, 1987 ; M. A. ALTIERI AND L. MERRICK, 1987, “In Situ Conservation of Crop Genetic Resources through Maintenance of Traditional Farming Systems”, *Economic Botany*, Vol. 41, (1); M. A. ALTIERI, 1999, “The Ecological Role of Biodiversity in Agroecosystems”, *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, Vol. 74, (1); M. A. ALTIERI, 2002, “Agroecology: The Science of Natural Resource Management for Poor Farmers in Marginal Environments”, *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, Vol. 93, (1).

<sup>43</sup>Altieri defines agroecology as an application of ecological science to the study, design and management of sustainable agro-eco systems. This is applied at the farm-level, but also across the global network of food production, distribution and consumption (i.e. including food production systems, processing and marketing, the role of the consumer, and the policy level). Agroecology uses knowledge from many disciplines, *inter alia* agricultural and ecological science and traditional knowledge systems. It questions conventional approaches which are centered on the use of science to promote economic growth. See M. A. ALTIERI AND M. D. FAMINOW, 1996, “Agroecology: The Science of Sustainable Agriculture”, *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 44, (2). De Schutter further specifies that agroecology seeks ways to enhance farming systems by mimicking natural processes, using biological interactions and synergies to support production, O. DE SCHUTTER, “Agroecology and the Right to Food”, 2010. See also D. SÁNCHEZ CARPIO AND S. BECHEVA, “Agro-Ecology: Building a New Food System for Europe”, ed. F.O.T.E. EUROPE (2014)

<sup>44</sup> “Agroecology-based production systems are biodiverse, resilient, energetically efficient, socially just, and comprise the basis of an energy, productive and food sovereignty strategy. (...) Agroecological systems are deeply rooted in the ecological rationale

doubled within ten years' time;<sup>45</sup> and that favouring diversity increases productivity while facing environmental challenges.<sup>46</sup> Steve Wratten, Professor of Ecology at Lincoln University, confirms these observations.<sup>47</sup> He says we “have the protocols or recipes” to do this, “but getting governments to adopt it has a major barrier: international corporations.”<sup>48</sup> Wratten points here to a crucial issue: the necessity for political will to cooperate and promote collectively a fair and equitable access regime to food and seeds (i.e. against the agro-chemical giants).

This observation highlights the imperative need for all stakeholders in the world food chain – and especially States – to cooperate in order to operate a transition towards a sustainable agriculture and food system. As mentioned above, of access to seeds for producing food and reaching food security worldwide is of vital importance.<sup>49</sup> Indeed, States are highly interdependent with regard to the provision of food and agriculture plant varieties.<sup>50</sup> Countries' interdependence justifies a “compulsory” cooperation between States in establishing and protecting a fair and equitable access to seeds. This international

---

of traditional small-scale agriculture, representing long established examples of successful agricultural systems characterized by a tremendous diversity of domesticated crop and animal species maintained and enhanced by ingenious soil, water, and biodiversity management regimes, nourished by complex traditional knowledge systems. Such systems have fed much of the region's population for centuries and continue to feed people in many parts of the planet.” In M. A. ALTIERI, F. R. FUNES-MONZOTE, AND P. PETERSEN, 2012, "Agroecologically Efficient Agricultural Systems for Smallholder Farmers: Contributions to Food Sovereignty", *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, Vol. 32, (1) at p. 2.

<sup>45</sup> Steve Wratten, Professor of Ecology at Lincoln University [http://www.nzherald.co.nz/element-magazine/news/article.cfm?c\\_id=1503340&objectid=11489292](http://www.nzherald.co.nz/element-magazine/news/article.cfm?c_id=1503340&objectid=11489292). See also the results of the 30 years comparative research project between organic and conventional agriculture conducted by the Rodale Institute, *op cit.* RODALE INSTITUTE, 2011.

<sup>46</sup> Altieri states that “the global south has the agroecological potential to produce enough food on a global per capita basis to sustain the current human population, and potentially an even larger population, without increasing the agricultural land base. The reason why the potential resides in the South and not in the North, is because in developing countries still resides a large peasant-indigenous population, with a rich traditional agricultural knowledge and a broad genetic diversity which conforms the basis of resilient diversified agroecosystems.” M. A. ALTIERI AND C. I. NICHOLLS, 2012 at p. 25. See also M. ALTIERI, F. FUNES-MONZOTE, AND P. PETERSEN, 2012, "Agroecologically Efficient Agricultural Systems for Smallholder Farmers: Contributions to Food Sovereignty", *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, Vol. 32, (1).

<sup>47</sup> Although a recent study from the Metaforum thinktank of the KU Leuven University doubts that agroecology can really feed the world, pointing to the vague definition of the concept, to the fact that agroecology cannot replace conventional agriculture and questioning whether it is judicious to replace a performant system with an agricultural system, which objectives and producing techniques are not sufficiently clear. See METAFORUM KU LEUVEN, "Voedselproductie En Voedselzekerheid: De Onvolmaakte Waarheid", 2015, in particular at pp.30-33. However, this report is easily contestable on these points when looking at the very limited number of studies and references referred to on agroecology and when keeping in mind that “the funding available for organic research is again negligible, remaining at about 2% of the total investment into agricultural research in Flanders” (showing that conventional agriculture strongly remains the dominant position). For this last argument, see P. BARET *et al.*, "Research and Organic Farming in Europe", 2015 at p. 8.

<sup>48</sup> M. A. ALTIERI AND C. I. NICHOLLS, 2012.

<sup>49</sup> E. A. FRISON, J. CHERFAS, AND T. HODGKIN, 2011, "Agricultural Biodiversity Is Essential for a Sustainable Improvement in Food and Nutrition Security", *Sustainability*, Vol. 3.

<sup>50</sup> C. KHOURY *et al.*, "Estimation of Countries' Interdependence in Plant Genetic Resources Provisioning National Food Supplies and Production Systems", 2015; FAO, 1998 at pp. 20-23. See also C. FOWLER AND T. HODGKIN, 2004, "Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: Assessing Global Availability", *Annual Review of Environment & Resources*, Vol. 29, (1) at p. 147; and J. ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR, 2005 *op.cit.* at pp. 949-950.

cooperation challenge can be addressed by setting up (global) institutional arrangements.<sup>51</sup> This is precisely why the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture<sup>52</sup> (hereafter the Treaty or Plant Treaty) was shaped and adopted in 2001. Steered by sustainable development principles, the Plant Treaty designs several tools to help countries reach their food security and sustainable agriculture overall goals.<sup>53</sup> Two major provisions – the Multilateral System of access and benefit-sharing (MLS)<sup>54</sup> and the recognition of Farmers' Rights (FRs)<sup>55</sup> – are designed as incentives for Contracting Parties to provide a facilitated access to seeds to all food and agriculture stakeholders, including smallholder farmers. The MLS is viewed as a global commons system,<sup>56</sup> where stakeholders manage together the access to seeds, their conservation and sustainable use. Both tools – the Multilateral System and Farmers' Rights – aim at proposing an alternative path to the current food and agriculture system blocked in the middle of a private/public good dilemma. However, little thorough research has been conducted on analysing whether these tools adequately respond to the need for reaching food security and sustainable agriculture through collective management of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture (PGRFA, or seeds).<sup>57</sup>

The present research explores the consideration of seeds and the MLS as a global commons system to facilitate the provision of seeds worldwide for food security and sustainable agriculture. The aim is to (Part I) set the contextual field in which the Plant Treaty has its origins and identify the general challenges related to PGRFA management; (Part II) understand why seed exchanges remain problematic notwithstanding the implementation of

---

<sup>51</sup> R. O. KEOHANE AND E. OSTROM, "Introduction", in R.O. KEOHANE AND E. OSTROM (eds), *Local Commons and Global Interdependence: Heterogeneity and Cooperation in Two Domains*, London, Sage Publications, 1995, at p. 13. See also S. JUNG CURT, 2007, "Institutional Interplay in International Environmental Governance: Policy Interdependence and Strategic Interaction in the Regime Complex on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" (Humboldt Universität, 2007) at p. 33. Jungcurt states that "analyses of international interdependence start from the observation that in many areas of public policy issues that were once considered purely national concerns now spill across borders and are global in reach and impact. A key problem in such cases is how to induce contributions from a sufficiently large number of states to provide an adequate level of benefits. When there are many beneficiaries, each of whose contribution is small relative to the cost of provision, the good will not be provided in optimal quantity, unless institutional arrangements exist that induce incentives to provide it."

<sup>52</sup> International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, FAO Res. 3/2001, 3 November 2001 (entered into force 29 June 2004); 2400 UNTS 379. Throughout the present research, the words 'Treaty', 'Plant Treaty', and 'ITPGRFA' are used interchangeably. The Treaty can be found in Annex 1 to this book.

<sup>53</sup> C. FRISON, 2006, "The Principles of Sustainable Development in the Context of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources in Food and Agriculture", *McGill International Journal of Sustainable Development Law & Policy*, Vol. 2, (2).

<sup>54</sup> Plant Treaty, Articles 11-13.

<sup>55</sup> Plant Treaty, Article 9.

<sup>56</sup> M. HALEWOOD, 2013, "What Kind of Goods Are Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture? Towards the Identification and Development of a New Global Commons", *International Journal of the Commons*, Vol. 7, (2). See also J. SANTILLI, 2011, "Agrobiodiversity and the Law: Regulating Genetic Resources, Food Security and Cultural Diversity", New York, NY, Earthscan.

<sup>57</sup> The terms "seed" is used in lay term to designate PGRFA. This use is not in conformity with the actual definition of PGRFA or seeds. It is done so for simplicity of writing.

the Plant Treaty; and (Part III) overcome the deficit of Contracting Parties' obligations in reaching their food security and sustainable agriculture overall goals by elaborating on the *Theory of the Commons*<sup>58</sup> (in particular regarding States' recognition of FRs, their conservation and sustainable use responsibilities, as well as their access and benefit-sharing obligations). The present chapter is divided into seven sections. Section 1 describes the research approach; section 2 outlines the research map; section 3 explains the theoretical framework, i.e. the theory of the Commons; section 4 clarifies research motivations; section 5 sketches complementary research methods, i.e. the contextual analysis; section 6 delineates the scope of the work; and section 7 ends by identifying how the present work contributes to the state of the art.

### Section 1. Research approach

The Treaty creates a facilitated access to the world's major crops and forages, with a provision for benefit-sharing. The facilitated access mechanism of the Treaty constitutes a shift in the concepts pertaining to PGRFA management and thereby is an important first step towards food security and sustainable agriculture.<sup>59</sup> However, preliminary findings reveal that the Treaty only partially answers the actors' need for an easy access to seeds.<sup>60</sup> Understanding why current PGRFA exchanges are problematic and how conservation, sustainable use, access and benefit-sharing provisions under the Treaty can be promoted for food security and sustainable agriculture purposes, requires taking a rather interdisciplinary research approach (§1). Furthermore, while different research methodologies are possible, an inductive research approach has been chosen to carry out the work (§2).

---

<sup>58</sup> The theory of the commons developed following Hardin's paper on the "Tragedy of the Commons". It was then widely addressed by Elinor Ostrom, whose seminal book "Governing the Commons" revolutionized the field. The theory is explained below under Section 3 and is detailed in Chapter 6.

<sup>59</sup> O. DE SCHUTTER, "The Role of the Right to Food in Achieving Sustainable Global Food Security", 2009 UNITED NATIONS.

<sup>60</sup> C. FRISON, T. DEDEURWAERDERE, AND M. HALEWOOD, 2010, "Intellectual Property and Facilitated Access to Genetic Resources under the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *European Intellectual Property Review*, Vol. 32, (1). This article was published as a response to the paper published by C. LAWSON, 2009, "Intellectual Property and the Material Transfer Agreement under the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *ibid.* Vol. 31, (5).

## § 1 Underlying interdisciplinarity for a sustainable development perspective

A researcher trained in law who is studying an international Treaty will generally produce a purely legal piece of work, which necessarily applies classic legal research methods. Notwithstanding the fact that the present work is rooted in legal methods, it is also profoundly inspired by interdisciplinary approaches (including economic, social and above all political sciences) and even more a transdisciplinary approach.<sup>61</sup> Like any sustainable development topic, fully understanding the international seed regulatory system requires taking a 360° view of the problems related to seed conservation, use and exchange. Therefore, following the direction that major scholars have paved promoting interdisciplinary research,<sup>62</sup> I widened my spectrum and stepped out of the strict legal field by enriching my analysis with concepts (sustainable development principles), theories (governance) and research methods (sociology and anthropology tools) from other disciplines. Indeed, combining methods, theories and concepts from other scientific fields have fed my analysis and recommendations with proposals that better reflect stakeholders' diversity of interests at stake. Notwithstanding this transdisciplinary-inspired research, I do not claim that my research is one hundred percent transdisciplinary. Undeniably, only collaboration between several researchers with different background and trainings, as encouraged by Ostrom, can achieve a truly inter- and transdisciplinary work.<sup>63</sup> My hope is that looking at my work with other additional lenses has enriched my legal research with "an interdisciplinary bundle of methods",<sup>64</sup> apt to respond to the complex requirements of any sustainable development discipline.

## § 2 Inductive research approach

The purpose of the thesis is to bring a theoretical insight to the Treaty, using the theory of the commons, in order to understand how the Treaty is (dys-)functioning and to make

---

<sup>61</sup> Transdisciplinarity goes further than interdisciplinarity. As stated by Klein *et al.* "[t]he core idea of transdisciplinarity is different academic disciplines working jointly with practitioners to solve real-world problem." J. T. KLEIN *et al.*, 2012, "Transdisciplinarity: Joint Problem Solving among Science, Technology, and Society: An Effective Way for Managing Complexity", Birkhäuser at p. 4; see also A. WIEK AND A. I. WALTER, 2009, "A Transdisciplinary Approach for Formalized Integrated Planning and Decision-Making in Complex Systems", *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 197, (1); G. H. HADORN *et al.*, 2006, "Implications of Transdisciplinarity for Sustainability Research", *Ecological Economics*, Vol. 60, (1).

<sup>62</sup> A. R. POTEETE, M. A. JANSSEN, AND E. OSTROM, 2010, "Working Together: Collective Action, the Commons, and Multiple Methods in Practice", Princeton University Press, at pp. 255-257; see also L. A. FENNELL, 2011, "Ostrom's Law: Property Rights in the Commons", *International Journal of the Commons*, Vol. 5, (1), at pp. 22-23.

<sup>63</sup> A. R. POTEETE, M. A. JANSSEN, AND E. OSTROM, *cit.*, at pp. 255-257.

<sup>64</sup> E. VAN ZIMMEREN, 2011, "Towards a New Patent Paradigm in the Biomedical Sector? Facilitating Access, Open Innovation and Social Responsibility in Patent Law in the Us, Europe and Japan" (KU Leuven, 2011) at p. 24.



normative proposals so as to improve its implementation. Different approaches can reach this purpose: a deductive approach (starting from the theoretical framework of the commons and moving down towards the case-study of the Treaty); or a more inductive approach (starting from the field and moving up towards the theory). Depending on what approach is taken, the theoretical framework will be used at a different moment in the research process. In a deductive approach, the theoretical framework will come early in the thesis structure. This approach allows reaching a high abstract theoretical level of reasoning.<sup>65</sup> On the contrary, when taking a more inductive approach,<sup>66</sup> the theoretical framework is mobilized later, only after the analysis of the case-study, i.e. the Treaty. Indeed, it is the very results of the Treaty analysis that leads to choosing the theory of the commons as theoretical framework.

Coming from the experts' field of the Plant Treaty, it was more natural for me to begin my research with a bottom-up, inductive approach. Therefore, Part I starts by analyzing the context and history from which the Treaty is born. This first step in the inductive research is important as it sketches the tensions and problems in the international management of PGRFA. Based on this contextual identification, Part II moves on to assess the Treaty by carrying out a legal and a stakeholder analyses. Guided by the identified tensions in Part I, this second step in the inductive research allows to draft a list of problems in the implementation of the Treaty. Finally, from the results of this Treaty assessment, Part III makes the link with the theory of the commons, and reaches the final step of the inductive approach: build on the theory of the commons to provide normative proposals in order to improve the Treaty functioning and implementation.

### Section 2. Research map

As said above, the present thesis is divided into three main Parts, each of which covers a time period. (§1) Part I is descriptive and looks at the past (i.e. what existed before the Plant

---

<sup>65</sup> While I fully understand and agree with the fact that a deductive approach is common for a PhD research and that it allows reaching strong theoretical arguments that enrich the state of the art of the said theory, it is not the path I have chosen to follow.

<sup>66</sup> The inductive approach is less common in legal research. However, some authors advocate that implementing such approach in legal research enriches the research field. See for example R. FOQUÉ, 2012, "Grondslagen En Methoden Van Juridisch Onderwijs", *Law and Method*, Vol. 2, (2) at pp. 17-18. See also H. R. BERNARD, 2012, "Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches", Sage; and W. L. NEWMAN, 1991, "Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches", Allyn and Bacon.

Treaty?); (§2) Part II is evaluative and analyses the present (i.e. how does the Treaty function?); and (§3) Part III is normative and envisages the future (i.e. how should the Treaty be implemented to effectively reach its objectives?). Each Part is composed of one or two chapters and is outlined below following an identical internal structure: first research objectives are framed, then research hypothesis and question(s) are posed, and finally methodologies used are explained.

## **§ 1 (Thesis Part I) Plant genetic resources for food and agriculture management: digging the soil to assess fertility for collaboration**

### **A. Objectives: understanding the past seed management system**

Part I of this PhD aims at drawing a picture of the international seed regulatory system that developed during the twentieth century in order to understand on what basis the Plant Treaty was designed and set up. Throughout the analysis of all major international instruments related to seeds, the objective of Part I is to point out the shift from the consideration that seeds were public goods available to all, to the consideration that seeds are overly privatized goods, accessible to few following strict (legal, economic or technical) access conditions. Part I comprises two chapters. Chapter 2 describes the historical evolution of PGRFA management and the international instruments that have an impact on seed management. Chapter 3 analyses the tensions arising from this multifaceted international regime complex.

This descriptive first Part highlights major tensions resulting from the above-mentioned developments: i.e. the international regime complex for PGRFA and the hyper-ownership of seeds. These tensions express an imbalance of recognition in the rights pertaining to seeds: private hyper-ownership of seeds (through legal and technological tools) overpower collective rights over seeds (e.g. through (in-)effective Farmer's Rights). Part I demonstrates that the international community needed to design a new international convention to overcome these tensions: the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, which is investigated in Part II.

### B. Hypothesis

The historical evolution of PGRFA management has shifted the consideration that seeds are public goods freely available to all to the consideration that seeds are overly privatized goods, accessible to few following strict (legal, economic and technical) access conditions. This evolution has crystallised an imbalance of rights pertaining to seeds and contributed to further limit access to and exchanges of seeds between all stakeholders, thereby endangering seed conservation and sustainable use.

### C. Research questions

What is the historical evolution of the international seed management system before the Plant Treaty came into force? (Chapter 2)

What core tensions render the international seed management system so complex? (Chapter 3)

### D. Methods

To answer these questions, three steps were taken simultaneously. First, a legal analysis of the international instruments relating to seed management has been carried out. The method applied for this analysis is the same as the one used to study the Plant Treaty (see Part II below).<sup>67</sup> Nevertheless, it has not been conducted in as much depth because, contrary to the Treaty, these conventions are not central to this work.

Second, a wide literature review on the PGRFA management history was undertaken at the international level – from the mid twentieth century to nowadays – both from scientific legal and non-legal literature. For the non-legal literature, there was a lot of literature on PGRFA management, from a very wide range of actors and from different perspectives and disciplines. As for legal scientific literature on the Plant Treaty, there was very little until recently.<sup>68</sup> Today, scholars have become interested in the issue and there is a growing body of

---

<sup>67</sup> Articles 31-33, Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 23 May 1968, 8 I.L.M. 679. To avoid repetition, this method is detailed below.

<sup>68</sup> P. CULLET, "Food Security and Intellectual Property Rights in Developing Countries", *op. cit.* at pp. 12-21.

scientific literature on the topic, although still very limited compared to other fields of law.<sup>69</sup> This reading enabled me to grasp a fairly comprehensive picture of the international PGRFA management system.

Third, as explained below,<sup>70</sup> “law in books” and “law in practice” are two different things. While gathering information from publications, my concomitant experience as negotiator and observer in Treaty meetings also provided me with other useful knowledge. This knowledge is examined following a *modus operandi* described under Section 5 “Contextual Analysis” below. This contextual approach (inspired from socio-anthropological methodologies) is distinguished from the methods described here because it has been used throughout the research as a support tool to clarify the context and understand the law accordingly. There is no specific output from this method, apart from guiding the research all along. This has enabled, when necessary, to choose research directions and take decisions accordingly.

The literature review, legal study and supporting contextual analysis provide a thorough historical-legal description of the international instruments managing seeds between 1950 and 2001, which have highlighted specific tensions between stakeholders in the international management of PGRFA. These results allow to move towards the second step of the inductive research by evaluating the current International Treaty regulatory setting, covered in Part II of this thesis.

## **§ 2 (Thesis Part II) The plant genetic resources for food and agriculture regime: an assessment of the Plant Treaty**

### **A. Objectives: analyzing the current international seed regime**

The objective of Part II is to draw a precise portrait of the Plant Treaty functioning, of the constraints in the Treaty text and of the difficulties in its implementation, in order to understand why the Treaty does not reach its objectives. The analysis is twofold. First, a

---

<sup>69</sup> The Treaty is still a young instrument of international law: it was signed in 2001, it entered into force in 2004, but only started to be effectively ‘in function’ after the adoption of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement by the Governing Body in 2006. Several operationalizing tools have been adopted at later meetings (e.g. the compliance mechanism has only been finalized in 2013).

<sup>70</sup> See below section 4.

classical legal analysis of the Treaty is conducted (Chapter 4), to explain if and how it attempts to overcome the public/private good dichotomy for seed management. However, this legal study provides insufficient appreciation to fully understand the slow implementation of the Treaty and the difficulties in fulfilling its objectives. Therefore, as a complementary step, a stakeholder analysis is carried out (Chapter 5), where actors have identified limitations and constraints they face in their experience with the Treaty negotiation and implementation.

### B. Hypothesis

By creating the MLS, Contracting Parties have attempted to strike an equitable balance between public and private interests in access to seeds, but countries face difficulties in implementing the Treaty. The *de facto* imbalance of rights pertaining to seeds needs to be re-balanced in order to implement efficiently the MLS and allow stakeholders to reach the Treaty's objectives.

### C. Research questions

How do the Treaty and more specifically the MLS function? (Chapter 4)

What are the constraints identified by stakeholders that limit an efficient Treaty implementation? (Chapter 5)

### D. Methods

Part II is the second step of the inductive approach and constitutes the core analysis of my work. For each chapter a different method is implemented. The legal study of an international Treaty requires applying classical legal research methods. Therefore, Chapter 4 performs a reading of the Treaty text following the international law rules on Treaty interpretation. Then, through a stakeholder analysis, Chapter 5 confirms and complements the results of the legal analysis by recognizing concrete limits and constraints in the Treaty implementation identified by stakeholders. Combining these results provides a comprehensive set of information which allows to assess the implementation of the Treaty by its Contracting Parties and to propose paths for a better congruence between the Treaty's implementation tools and the Treaty's objectives.

### (1) *The legal analysis*

A classical legal analysis of the Treaty is conducted following the international law interpretation principles of the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties,<sup>71</sup> to understand the legal rules established by the Treaty.<sup>72</sup> The legal analysis is based on the text of the Treaty and other relevant international agreements; decisions taken by the Governing Body of the Treaty; reports of the negotiation meetings of the Treaty; etc. A caveat is made regarding the fact that access to some preliminary documents is not possible (e.g. audio records or verbatim proceedings of preparatory and negotiation meetings do not always exist and when they do, they are hardly accessible). This is an important note to make as this reduces the degree of transparency of the negotiations.<sup>73</sup> This is one of the reasons justifying the use of complementary methods of research. Furthermore, the findings from the legal analysis are cross-checked with data and statistics found mainly on the Treaty secretariat website.<sup>74</sup> This cross-check evaluation is necessary in the assessment of the Treaty implementation and was only possible after several years of functioning.<sup>75</sup>

To facilitate the reading and understanding of this thorough legal analysis, Treaty Articles are clustered into eight topics. These topics are important themes within the Treaty, but they are also relevant and related to the theory of the commons. These topics are: 1) sustainable agriculture and food security; 2) scope of the Treaty; 3) Farmers' Rights; 4) facilitated access to PGRFA; 5) benefit-sharing and the Benefit-sharing Fund; 6) legal procedural aspects (Third Party Beneficiary); 7) information and knowledge; and 8) participation and governance. Each topic is presented in the following manner: first all relevant Treaty Articles are clustered; then a historical and legal explanation of the Articles is

---

<sup>71</sup> Articles 31-33, Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 23 May 1968, 8 I.L.M. 679.

<sup>72</sup> Several methods are used to conduct this interpretative task, such as systematic interpretation, grammatical interpretation, technical interpretation or teleological interpretation. See Lina Kestemont (2015), « Methods for traditional legal research », in Reader 'Methods of Legal Research', (work in progress), at pp. 5-14.

<sup>73</sup> Several negotiators reported that this was desired by some member states and that important steps have occurred during informal discussions whether inside or outside the doors of the negotiating meeting room. Moreover, negotiators have reported that when verbatim proceedings were recorded, negotiators could still request to modify the text of the proceedings after the meeting was held. Notably, this has happened with several countries, including the US.

<sup>74</sup> <http://www.planttreaty.org/fr>

<sup>75</sup> The data I refer to was collected in 2015 and includes inter alia: the number of Contracting Parties (35), which have included PGRFA collections in the MLS and an estimated total number of accessions; data on CGIAR Centres' acquisition and distributions of PGRFA using the Standard Material Transfer Agreements (SMTA); data on the flow of PGRFA and on the SMTAs signed; list of countries, which passed legislation on Farmers' Rights; etc.

provided; finally the impact of their implementation is assessed using the above-mentioned cross-check evaluation.

### (2) Stakeholder analysis

The legal analysis is confirmed and complemented with information provided directly by actors involved in the Treaty negotiation and implementation, through a stakeholder analysis.

A classical definition of stakeholders is “any group of individual who can affect or is affected by the achievement of the organization objectives.”<sup>76</sup> Stakeholders may be natural persons,<sup>77</sup> groups or legal entities; they are not limited to insiders within the organization. The stakeholder analysis is constituted by the edition of a book where 29 major stakeholders<sup>78</sup> within the Plant Treaty policy area agreed to share their views, experience and hopes on the past, present and future challenges in the negotiation and implementation of the Treaty.<sup>79</sup> Based on the content of stakeholders’ chapters, the needs and constraints spotted by authors were analysed and listed into 17 “specific implementation challenges and constraints”.<sup>80</sup> For the last step of the inductive research approach in Part III, these problems are addressed where the theory of the commons is proposed as one way to mitigate them and allow stakeholders to reach the Treaty’s objectives.

---

<sup>76</sup> R. E. FREEMAN, 2010, *Strategic Management : A Stakeholder Approach*, Cambridge [u.a.], Cambridge Univ. Pressat p. 46. Modern stakeholder theories include any group or individual that can be influenced by, or can itself influence, the activities of the organisation, see A. L. FRIEDMAN AND S. MILES, 2002, “Developing Stakeholder Theory”, *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 39, (1).

<sup>77</sup> According to Bjornstad, “Individuals earning the label entrepreneurial leaders seem to have been crucial for the adoption of the ITPGRFA, thus supporting Young’s assumption that leadership is a necessary condition for regime formation. These leaders have in several aspects also been fundamental in addressing the issues in such a way that the developing countries partly got their interests included. »I. B. BJORNSTAD, “Breakthrough for ‘the South’? An Analysis of the Recognition of Farmers’ Rights in the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture”, 2004, p. 90.

<sup>78</sup> See Appendix 4 of the online PDF file of this thesis for the list of Stakeholders, available on my ResearchGate profile.

<sup>79</sup> C. FRISON, F. LÓPEZ, AND J. ESQUINAS-ALCÁZAR, T. (eds.), *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security : Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011.

<sup>80</sup> See Table 20.1 “Constraints, needs and implementation tools” in C. FRISON, F. LÓPEZ, AND J. ESQUINAS-ALCÁZAR, T., *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security : Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, at pp. 276-277.

**§ 3 (Thesis Part III) Planting the commons: towards redesigning the global seed commons**

**A. Objectives: moving towards an efficient Treaty by providing an equitable access to the global seed commons**

Part III contains one chapter (Chapter 6). Its objective is so make normative proposals as to what can be done in the implementation of the Treaty for it to reach its objectives. Based on the results of Part II, six underlying principles derived from the coupled analysis of the theory of the commons and the Treaty are used to try solving the above identified Treaty constraints. These underlying principles are: sustainability, interdependence, anticommons dilemma, physical and informational components inextricably bound to the use of seeds; community; and diversity, heterogeneity and complexity. Eight recommendations are made to enhance the functioning of the global seed commons, presented as an alternative to overcome the limits of the current seed regulatory setting resulting from the public/private good dichotomy. One cross-cutting aspect that appears all along the analysis is the lack of recognition of the role and rights of smallholder farmers. Recognition of Farmers' Rights at the international level could overcome the imbalance of rights pertaining to seeds and contribute to reach the food security and sustainable agriculture overall goals of the Treaty.

**B. Hypothesis**

Enhancing the MLS as a global seed commons contributes to a more efficient implementation of the Treaty and to better reaching the Treaty's goals of food security and sustainable agriculture. It constitutes an alternative way to overcome the dichotomy that appeared in the Treaty analysis between seeds defined exclusively as private goods and seeds characterized as public goods.

**C. Research question**

What underlying principles of the theory on the commons are useful to overcome the identified constraints in the Treaty implementation, and how? (Chapter 6)



## D. Method

Chapter 6 includes a governance approach to integrate the multilateral and multi-stakeholder cooperation dimension in support of the legal analysis of the Treaty. Looking at the governance dimension<sup>81</sup> is helpful to analyse the role played by stakeholders in the creation and implementation of the Treaty as a set of international, formal and binding norms.<sup>82</sup> The necessity of using such a wider “lens” is intrinsically linked to the universal and “common good nature” of PGRFA (i.e. the fact that all countries are highly interdependent). It implies that the success of the Treaty is rooted in a common interest of the main actors involved in the exchange of seeds, which leads to the creation of global common management mechanisms.<sup>83</sup> Moreover, the importance of informal means and channels cannot be made visible with a classic legal analysis, as they are not recognized by the formal system. Understanding law in a broad sense, as the creation of norms and rules to regulate actors, which includes informal norms, social norms,<sup>84</sup> and self-regulation,<sup>85</sup> can be done using political and social science concepts and methods. For these reasons, the theory of the commons (developed by Ostrom and others subsequently) is applied to see if and how managing seeds as a commons can mitigate the constraints identified in the Treaty implementation and overcome the problems raised by the legal imbalance of rights pertaining to seeds. The theoretical framework of the commons is explained below.

### Section 3. Theoretical framework – the theory of the commons

The international management for the conservation, sustainable use and access to seeds is a global challenge that requires multilateral and multi-stakeholder cooperation. Globalization has significantly increased this fundamental interdependence between States and between stakeholders. Analysing the Plant Treaty from an exclusively legal perspective

---

<sup>81</sup> D. LEVI-FAUR, 2012, *The Oxford Handbook of Governance*, Oxford University Press.

<sup>82</sup> Understanding why and how stakeholders interact is rooted in the general theory on Collective Action. See M. OLSON, 1971, *The Logic of Collective Action : Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*, Harvard University Press; T. SANDLER, 2004, *Global Collective Action*, Cambridge, England ; New York, Cambridge University Press. Olson insists on the free rider problem raised by any collective action. Some Treaty stakeholders view Northern countries as free-riders when taking resources from the South, using them, profiting from their benefits without sharing with the South.

<sup>83</sup> M. ZÜRN, "Global Governance as Multi-Level Governance", in D. LEVI-FAUR (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Global Governance*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2012, at p. 730.

<sup>84</sup> L. LESSIG, 1995, "The Regulation of Social Meaning", *The University of Chicago Law Review*, Vol. ; R. C. ELICKSON, 1998, "Law and Economics Discovers Social Norms", *The Journal of Legal Studies*, Vol. 27, (S2).

<sup>85</sup> I. AYRES AND J. BRAITHWAITE, 1992, *Responsive Regulation: Transcending the Deregulation Debate*, Oxford University Press.

would miss out much of the issues at stake. Indeed, policy is deeply intertwined with the international law-making process. To integrate this multilateral and multi-stakeholder cooperation dimension in support to the legal analysis of the Plant Treaty, this research is framed by a major theory from political sciences: the theory of the commons. Indeed, it provides a governance dimension<sup>86</sup> necessary to understand the role played by stakeholders in the creation and implementation of the Treaty as a set of international, formal and binding norms. Such a governance approach allows examining the legal shortcomings of the Treaty and understanding the interplay between stakeholders in the negotiation and implementation of the Treaty.

In this dissertation, it is argued that the wide international cooperation<sup>87</sup> between all stakeholders for the provision of PGRFA has resulted in the creation of a seed commons-type mechanism through the design of the Treaty's MLS. Indeed, the Treaty is the result of global cooperation based on commons principles;<sup>88</sup> and the mechanism that the Treaty puts in place is evidence of a "new multilateralism", echoing what UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon called for at the Fifth Summit of the Americas in 2009:

"We need a new vision, a new paradigm, a new multilateralism. A multilateralism that is organized around delivering a set of global goods. A multilateralism that harnesses both power and principle. A multilateralism that recognizes the interconnected nature of global challenges."<sup>89</sup>

The legal and stakeholder analyses<sup>90</sup> carried out in the central Part to this work highlight this multilateralism in managing global challenges, but they also point to constraints in the Treaty implementation that need to be overcome for an efficient provision of the Treaty's objectives. Analysing these limitations through the lens of governance may contribute to clarify why the system is not functioning well and propose actions and directions for all stakeholders to improve the implementation of the Treaty. The theory of the commons is

---

<sup>86</sup> See Chapter 6 for details.

<sup>87</sup> B. VOLLAN AND E. OSTROM, 2010, "Cooperation and the Commons", *Science*, Vol. 330, (6006) at pp. 923-924.

<sup>88</sup> J. B. HOLDER AND T. FLESSAS, 2008, "Emerging Commons", *Social & Legal Studies*, Vol. 17, (3); W. P. FALCON AND C. FOWLER, 2002, "Carving up the Commons - Emergence of a New International Regime for Germplasm Development and Transfer", *Food Policy*, Vol. 27, (3); see also G. VAN OVERWALLE, "Lessons from the Genetic Resource Commons for Governance," in *Reflexive Governance in the Public Interest. Democratic Governance and Collective Action - Global public services and common goods* (Brussels 2010).

<sup>89</sup> B. KI-MOON, "Official Remarks of the United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon at the Plenary Session of the Fifth Summit of the Americas," in *Fifth Summit of the Americas* (Port of Spain, Trinidad & Tobago April 17-19, 2009).

<sup>90</sup> See below Chapters 4 and 5 for the detailed content.

proposed as the governance approach to study the Treaty.<sup>91</sup> The concept of commons is not very well defined, and has even grown fuzzier with globalization and the complexification of wider resource governing systems. In 1968, Garrett Hardin published an (over-exploited) allegory named the “Tragedy of the Commons”<sup>92</sup>, where he analyzed the problems related to over-exploitation of finite resources under unlimited and free access conditions to all. He took the example of grazing and posed the pre-condition that rational people would always try to get the maximum and immediate profit from the use of a “common resource”,<sup>93</sup> and therefore lead to overgrazing and the destruction of the common pasture.<sup>94</sup> Hardin proposes three solutions to his tragedy: reducing world population to avoid overconsumption; or establishing an external institution to regulate the use of the resource, whether through public management (State) or through the market (i.e. enclose the commons).<sup>95</sup> Yet, his “explanation for the need to enclose the commons confounded the resource with its governance regime”.<sup>96</sup>

Later on, as a reaction to the supremacy of property rights (whether state or private) as the “best” system to manage resources, Elinor Ostrom<sup>97</sup> studied the management of common

---

<sup>91</sup> Authors have applied such mechanism to microbial resources or PGRFA: T. DEDEURWAERDERE *et al.*, 2009, “The Use and Exchange of Microbial Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture”, *Commission on genetic resources for food and agriculture*, Vol., (46); and M. HALEWOOD, 2010, “Governing the Management and Use of Pooled Microbial Genetic Resources: Lessons from the Global Crop Commons”, *International Journal of the Commons*, Vol. 4, (1).

<sup>92</sup> G. HARDIN, 1968, “The Tragedy of the Commons”, *Science*, Vol. 162, (3859).

<sup>93</sup> In game theory, this has been modeled under the prisoner’s dilemma. See A. RAPOPORT AND A. M. CHAMMAH, 1965, “*Prisoner’s Dilemma: A Study in Conflict and Cooperation*”, University of Michigan press.

<sup>94</sup> Hardin states that “[e]ach man is locked into a system that compels him to increase his herd without limit – in a world that is limited. Ruin is the destination toward which all men rush, each pursuing his own best interest in a society that believes in the freedom of the commons. Freedom of the commons brings the ruin to all.” G. HARDIN, 1968 *op.cit.* at p. 1244. This view is supported by Mancur Olson in his work on the logic of collective action, who states that “unless the number of individuals is quite small, or unless there is coercion or some other special device to make individuals act in their common interest, *rational, self-interested individuals will not act to achieve their common or group interest*” (emphasis in original text). M. OLSON, 1965, “*The Logic of Collective Action : Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*”, Harvard University Press, at p. 2. Although Olson was much more precautionary than Hardin in the proposed solution to the “tragedy”, leaving the question of common management open.

<sup>95</sup> “The tragedy of the commons as a food basket is averted by private property, or something formally like it.” G. HARDIN, 1968 *op.cit.* at p. 1245.

<sup>96</sup> E. BERGE AND F. VAN LAERHOVEN, 2011, “*Governing the Commons for Two Decades: A Complex Story*” at p. 161. Other criticism can be formulated against Hardin’s views, including the fact that in real life, people communicate and are rarely put in a situation where a common resource is used by different person who do not talk to each other and discuss how to manage the resource commonly. See also E. OSTROM, 1990, “*Governing the Commons : The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*”, Cambridge ; New York, Cambridge University Press at p. 7. Another criticism relates to the rational character of the human being. According to Sen, who worked on welfare economics, peoples’ values and commitments will also influence economic policies in terms of their effects on the well-being of the community. Therefore, ethical aspects are also important and may counterbalance the “rational part” of human’s behavior. A. SEN, 2003, “*Éthique Et Économie*”, Paris, PUF at p. 15 and 40; and more generally A. K. SEN, 1970, “*Collective Choice and Social Welfare*”, Elsevier. More details on the criticism to Hardin’s vision are provided below in Chapter 6.

<sup>97</sup> The theory of the Commons gained much visibility in 2009 when Elinor Ostrom received the Nobel Prize in Economic Sciences.

resources using a “bundle of rights” approach,<sup>98</sup> where she distinguishes between operational-level property rights and collective-choice property rights.<sup>99</sup> Indeed, according to Schlager and Ostrom, “[a]ssigning full ownership rights does not guarantee an avoidance of resource degradation and overinvestment”.<sup>100</sup> To get a deeper comprehension of the conditions for sustainable resource use and governance regimes, she analyzed Common Pool Resource (CPR) institutional arrangements<sup>101</sup> based on extensive field studies.<sup>102</sup> In her famous book “Governing the Commons”, Ostrom focused on case studies in agricultural production systems, e.g. irrigation, forestry, or fishery management systems. In her understanding, a commons is “any natural or manmade resource that is or could be held and used in common.”<sup>103</sup> Ostrom showed that stakeholders<sup>104</sup> can effectively set up rules together (i.e. self-organization) to manage resources established in a local common pool for their own use, and outside of the market or governmental intervention (i.e. self-governance). Thanks to these data and to her observations, she designed eight principles useful to govern an efficient CPR system<sup>105</sup>:

1. Clearly defined boundaries (i.e. effective exclusion of external unentitled parties);
2. Congruence between appropriation and provision rules and local conditions;
3. Collective-choice arrangements (i.e. allow most resource appropriators to participate in and modify the operational rules);
4. Effective monitoring (by monitors who are part of or accountable to the appropriators);

---

<sup>98</sup> The objective for Schlager and Ostrom is “to propose a property-rights scale ranging from authorized user, to claimant, to proprietor, and to owner, that provides a better analytical scheme for beginning to explain outcomes achieved by joint users of a common-pool resource (...). By examining the evidence (...), we are calling attention to the importance of discriminating among a range of incentives.” E. SCHLAGER AND E. OSTROM, 1992, “Property-Rights Regimes and Natural Resources: A Conceptual Analysis”, *Land economics*, Vol. at p. 259.

<sup>99</sup> “Operational activities are constrained and made predictable by operational-level rules regardless of the source of these rules. By the term “rules” we refer to generally agreed-upon and enforced prescriptions that require, forbid, or permit specific actions for more than a single individual. (...) Operational rules are changed by collective-choice actions. Such actions are undertaken within a set of collective-choice rules that specify who may participate in changing operational rules and the level of agreement required for their change. With regard to common-pool resources, the most relevant operational-level property rights are “access” and “withdrawal” rights. In regard to common-pool resources, collective-choice property rights include management, exclusion, and alienation.” E. SCHLAGER AND E. OSTROM, 1992 *op.cit.* at pp. 250-251.

<sup>100</sup> E. SCHLAGER AND E. OSTROM, 1992 *op.cit.* at p. 259.

<sup>101</sup> E. OSTROM, *cit.*.

<sup>102</sup> Ostrom conducted wide meta-analysis of existing common-pool resources case studies.; see E. OSTROM, *cit.*

<sup>103</sup> E. BERGE AND F. VAN LAERHOVEN, *cit.* at p. 161.

<sup>104</sup> Ostrom takes stakeholders as a point of departure for her research (whether empirical or theoretical); see E. OSTROM, *cit.* This approach is close to the research method I have implemented; see Section 1.

<sup>105</sup> Ostrom, (2009) *Governing the Commons*, table 3.1, at p. 90.

5. Graduated sanctions (scale of sanctions for appropriators violating community rules);
6. Conflict-resolution mechanisms (cheap and of easy access);
7. Minimal recognition of rights to organize (the self-determination of the community is recognized by higher-level/governmental authorities);

*Plus, for CPRs that are parts of larger systems:*

8. Nested enterprises (organization in the form of multiple layers of nested enterprises, with small local CPRs at the base level).

These design principles are helpful (but not compulsory) to identify whether other resource-management systems can be qualified as CPR or not.<sup>106</sup> Indeed, Ostrom leaves much space for heterogeneity and diversity in systems and places, insisting on the fact that the institutional arrangement should always be adapted to local needs and conditions in order to be efficient (which implies that other design principles may be better adapted to different situations).<sup>107</sup>

In 2008, there was little legal scientific literature talking about the Plant Treaty,<sup>108</sup> and hence very little on the relationship between the Treaty and the commons theory. Since then, some authors, including non-academics, have assimilated the Treaty's MLS to a commons-type management regime.<sup>109</sup> The MLS, as a virtual pool management mechanism for selected plants, has been qualified as "global commons" or "global crop commons",<sup>110</sup> "PGRFA

---

<sup>106</sup> E. OSTROM AND P. L. DELVILLE, 2009, "Pour Des Systèmes Irrigués Autogérés Et Durables: Façonner Les Institutions", Groupe de recherche et d'échanges technologiques, at p. 8 and 13 ; see also C. H. QUINN *et al.*, 2007, "Design Principles and Common Pool Resource Management: An Institutional Approach to Evaluating Community Management in Semi-Arid Tanzania", *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 84, (1).

<sup>107</sup> E. OSTROM, *cit.*; later confirmed in E. OSTROM (eds.), "Understanding Institutional Diversity", Princeton University Press, 2005; E. OSTROM, 2009, "Design Principles of Robust Property-Rights Institutions: What Have We Learned?", *PROPERTY RIGHTS AND LAND POLICIES*, K. Gregory Ingram, Yu-Hung Hong, eds., Cambridge, MA: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, Vol. ; see also M. COX, G. ARNOLD, AND S. V. TOMÁS, 2010, "A Review of Design Principles for Community-Based Natural Resource Management", *Ecology and Society* Vol. 15, ((4))

<sup>108</sup> End of 2007, less than 25 scientific publications on the Plant Treaty were collected, more than half of which are authored by non-academics. FAO documents and publications are not counted in this list. To cite examples: D. COOPER, 2002, "The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law*, Vol. 11, (1); I. B. BJORNSTAD, 2004; M. RUIZ-MULLER, 2006, "Non-Conventional Uses of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: The Situation of International Centres under the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *Yearbook of International Environmental Law*, Vol. 15, (1); E. TSIJOMANI, *ibid.* International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: Legal and Policy Questions from Adoption to Implementation", Vol. ; C. GERSTETTER *et al.*, 2007, "The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture within the Current Legal Regime Complex on Plant Genetic Resources", *Journal of world intellectual property*, Vol. 10, (3/4).

<sup>109</sup> T. DEDEURWAERDERE, 2010, "Institutionalizing Global Genetic Resource Commons: Towards Alternative Models for Facilitating Access in the Global Biodiversity Regime", *International Journal of Ecological Economics and Statistics*, Vol.

<sup>110</sup> M. HALEWOOD, I. L. NORIEGA, AND S. LOUAFI, 2012, "Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons: Challenges in International Law and Governance", Routledge.

Commons”,<sup>111</sup> “communal seed treasury”,<sup>112</sup> “common seed pool”,<sup>113</sup> “global genetic commons”,<sup>114</sup> “positive commons”,<sup>115</sup> etc. These terms are often used loosely in relation to the Treaty, without a precise definition or application<sup>116</sup> of existing solid scientific literature on the commons theory.<sup>117</sup> Building on this trend, Ostrom’s theory is used as a framework to screen the MLS through the lens of the commons. The aim is to analyse whether the MLS, as it has been created by Contracting Parties to the Treaty, can be understood as a CPR, according to Ostrom’s definition. My analysis leads to the conclusion that it is not fully the case.<sup>118</sup> One of the difficulties relates to the global dimension of the MLS.<sup>119</sup> Another problem lies in the fact that Contracting Parties have designed the institutional arrangement (even if it is based on prior existing practices by specific PGRFA stakeholders), and are managing it, with no formal space for all stakeholders to participate in the management of the MLS,<sup>120</sup> and with little trust emanating from stakeholders’ collaboration in the Governing Body forum.<sup>121</sup> Recent developments in the theory of the commons have expanded its frontier to other disciplines (law, philosophy, sociology) and have allowed for reconceptualising Ostrom’s institutional analysis into envisaging the commons as a collective political construct.<sup>122</sup> These new

---

<sup>111</sup> M. HALEWOOD AND K. NNADOZIE, "Giving Priority to the Commons: The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", in G. TANSEY AND T. RAJOTTE (eds), *The Future Control of Food - a Guide to International Negotiations and Rules on Intellectual Property, Biodiversity and Food Security*, London, Earthscan, 2008 at p 120.

<sup>112</sup> K. RAUSTIALA AND D. G. VICTOR, 2004, "The Regime Complex for Plant Genetic Resources", *International Organization*, Vol. 58, (2) at p. 303; and L. R. HELFER, 2004 at p. 87.

<sup>113</sup> K. RAUSTIALA AND D. G. VICTOR, 2004 *op.cit.* at p. 303.

<sup>114</sup> S. SAFRIN, 2004 *op.cit.* at p. 644. W. P. FALCON AND C. FOWLER, 2002 *op.cit.* at p. 200; see also L. R. HELFER, "Using Intellectual Property Rights to Preserve the Global Genetic Commons: The Itpgrfa", in K. MASKUS AND J. REICHMAN (eds), *International Public Goods and Transfer of Technology under a Globalized Intellectual Property Regime*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2005 at pp. 219-220.

<sup>115</sup> G. VAN OVERWALLE, "L'intérêt Général, Le Domaine Public, Les Commons Et Le Droit Des Brevets D'invention", in M. BUYDENS AND S. DUSSOLIER (eds), *L'intérêt Général Et L'accès À L'information En Propriété Intellectuelle*, Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2008.

<sup>116</sup> With the notable exception of the following publication: M. HALEWOOD, 2013, "What Kind of Goods Are Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture? Towards the Identification and Development of a New Global Commons", *op.cit.*

<sup>117</sup> To cite only the most famous authors from an economic perspective on 'negative commons': G. HARDIN, 1968 *op.cit.*; on 'positive commons' E. OSTROM, "Governing the Commons : The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action", *op. cit.* And E. OSTROM *et al.*, 1999, "Revisiting the Commons: Local Lessons, Global Challenges", *Science*, Vol. 284, (5412); and finally on a more legal perspectives of common goods see U. MATTEI, 2011, "Beni Comuni : Un Manifesto", Roma, Laterza And F. CAPRA AND U. MATTEI, 2015, "The Ecology of Law : Toward a Legal System in Tune with Nature and Community", Berrett-Koehler.

<sup>118</sup> See Chapter 6 below.

<sup>119</sup> See T. DEDEURWAERDERE, 2012, "Design Principles of Successful Genetic-Resource Commons for Food and Agriculture", *International Journal of Ecological Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 26, (3); and E. BROUSSEAU *et al.*, 2012, "Global Environmental Commons: Analytical and Political Challenges in Building Governance Mechanisms", Oxford University Press. As confirmed by Henry and Dietz or by Stern, a transposition of the design principles from the local to a global setting is not self-evident. A. D. HENRY AND T. DIETZ, 2011, "Information, Networks, and the Complexity of Trust in Commons Governance" or P. C. STERN, *ibid.* "Design Principles for Global Commons: Natural Resources and Emerging Technologies".

<sup>120</sup> For more details, see Chapter 6 section 8.

<sup>121</sup> B. SIX *et al.*, 2015, "Trust and Social Capital in the Design and Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action", *International Journal of the Commons*, Vol. 9, (1), at pp. 164-167.

<sup>122</sup> P. DARDOT AND C. LAVAL, 2014, "Commun: Essai Sur La Révolution Au Xxie Siècle", la Découverte; see also P. DARDOT AND C. LAVAL, 2010, "Du Public Au Commun", *Revue du MAUSS*, Vol. 35, (1).

“commons narratives”<sup>123</sup> are concisely explored and used to make normative proposals to mitigate the identified conceptual constraints in the Treaty functioning.

### Section 4. Research motivation

Hearing about this new Treaty in 2004, I was fascinated by the way it attempted to strike a balance between public and private interests: alleviate poverty, secure food for all and at the same time protect and promote innovation in breeding activities.<sup>124</sup> The MLS, as an international tool to manage access and benefit-sharing for food and agriculture plants, creatively addresses these public/private objectives in its legal provisions.

However, studying “law in books” is restrictive. As a researcher, one can gain a lot of information and experience from the study of “law in action”.<sup>125</sup> Investigating actively the Plant Treaty from the inside, allowed me to gain a thorough contextual understanding of this international law in formation.<sup>126</sup> During my participation in Plant Treaty meetings as a negotiator and observer, I could comprehend better the issues at stake, and had a direct access to important informal information and to networking stakeholders. Most of all, this field experience facilitated my comprehension of underlying and sometimes hidden issues in the negotiations. It contributed to my choice of combining research methods as a support to

---

<sup>123</sup> For an economic perspective see the French economist Benjamin Coriat: B. CORIAT, 2013, “Le Retour Des Communs. Sources Et Origines D’un Programme De Recherche”, *Revue de la régulation. Capitalisme, institutions, pouvoirs*, Vol., (14) and B. CORIAT, 2015, “Le Retour Des Communs: & La Crise De L’idéologie Propriétaire”, Éditions Les Liens qui libèrent. For a legal perspective, see the Italian school with Ugo Mattei, Alberto Lucarelli and others: F. CAPRA AND U. MATTEI, 2015, “*The Ecology of Law: Toward a Legal System in Tune with Nature and Community*”, Berrett-Koehler Publishers; U. MATTEI, 2011, “*Beni Comuni-Un Manifesto (in Italian)*”; A. LUCARELLI, 2011, “Note Minime Per Una Teoria Giuridica Dei Beni Comuni”, *Espaço Jurídico*, Vol. 12, (2); A. LUCARELLI, 2013, “*La Democrazia Dei Beni Comuni*”, Editore Laterza; A. DANI, 2014, “Il Concetto Giuridico Di “Beni Comuni” Tra Passato E Presente”, *Historia et ius*, Vol. and also S. RODOTÀ, 2012, “*Il Diritto Di Avere Diritti*”, Laterza Roma-Bari. For a socio-philosophical perspective see the works from Pierre Dardot and Christian Laval: P. DARDOT AND C. LAVAL, 2010, “Du Public Au Commun”, *op.cit.*; and P. DARDOT AND C. LAVAL, “*Commun: Essai Sur La Révolution Au Xxie Siècle*”, *op. cit.*; see also S. GUTWIRTH AND I. STENGERS, 2016, “Le Droit À L’épreuve De La Résurgence Des Commons”, Vol.

<sup>124</sup> In 2003-2004, I wrote my LL.M Master thesis on “the influence of “communalism” in the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture”.

<sup>125</sup> The phrase “law in action” is attributed to Roscoe Pound: R. POUND, 1910, “Law in Books and Law in Action”, *American Law Review*, Vol. 44. Further work following *legal realists scholars in the law-and-society tradition*, developed a “bottom up” approach. See J.-L. HALPERIN, 2011, “Law in Books and Law in Action: The Problem of Legal Change”, *Maine Law Review*, Vol. 64. For an easy approach to the topic, read the blog post by Bill Clune, Voss-Bascom Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Wisconsin Law School, “Law in action and law on the books: A primer”, posted on 12 June, 2013, available at <https://newlegalrealism.wordpress.com/2013/06/12/law-in-action-and-law-on-the-books-a-primer/>.

<sup>126</sup> This contextual analysis is explained below under Section 5.

the legal methodology. Indeed, understanding the law in the right way necessitates a clear contextual picture, which can be provided by complementary research means.<sup>127</sup>

When I started my PhD research, the legal innovation in the MLS tickled my curiosity, but at that time it was (and still is) a young instrument, being complemented by implementation tools developed and adopted during the Governing Body meetings taking place every two years since 2006. Throughout my field experience, I could detect tensions between stakeholders, and issues that remained unresolved.<sup>128</sup> I was hoping that conducting research on the Plant Treaty and more specifically the MLS could help smoothen these tensions and promote the implementation of the Treaty. At that time, this was the main purpose for my study: contribute to an efficient implementation of the Treaty by identifying issues where tensions remained and propose solutions to alleviate them. After some time gaining scientific experience and theoretical knowledge, I was able to frame an innovative research approach<sup>129</sup> analysing the Treaty management system through the lens of the commons theory as explained above.

### Section 5. Contextual analysis

Interpreting the law requires having a clear understanding of the context in which the law is designed and implemented. To acquire such comprehension, the present research is fed with information obtained through different methods inspired from other disciplines, i.e. sociology and anthropology. Indeed, the strict legal analysis of the Treaty only partly explains its slow implementation and the points of tensions between its stakeholders. Therefore, guidance and evidence were sought through open interviews with Treaty stakeholders and participatory observation at all but one Treaty Governing Body meetings between 2006 and 2015.<sup>130</sup> This contextual analysis is not used as a method per se and will not present

---

<sup>127</sup> Clune contends that “[t]he meaning of law is often ambiguous and open to interpretive judgment, leaving room for considerations of policy, politics, ideology, and value judgments based on the distinctive facts of particular cases.” Clune, B., “Law in action and law on the books: A primer”, *op. cit.*

<sup>128</sup> C. Frison, “International governance for conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA”, presentation made at the “World Conservation Congress”, October 9, 2008, Barcelona, Spain.

<sup>129</sup> C. Frison “The Multilateral System of access and benefit-sharing of the International Treaty: a Commons?”, PowerPoint presentation made at an internal seminar, UCLouvain, March 2010; see also C. Frison “Intellectual property Rights and the Plant Commons”, presentation made at the “Workshop Intellectual Property Law” of the “Ius Commune Conference 2010”, Leuven, Friday 26 November 2010.

<sup>130</sup> I did not attend the Fifth Session of the Governing Body, which took place from 24 to 28 September 2013, in Muscat, Oman.



specifically identifiable results and outputs. Rather it is used as a support tool (to choose research directions and take decisions accordingly) to clarify the research context and understand the law accordingly.

### § 1 Open interviews

Interviews are a classical method to collect information in socio-anthropological research. During my participation in Treaty meetings, I had the opportunity to meet with many PGRFA stakeholders. Based on the experience gained in conducting qualitative interviews<sup>131</sup> during a Belgian survey on biodiversity conservation,<sup>132</sup> interviews of Treaty stakeholders were carried out at every Governing Body meeting in order to (1) provide information on sensitive or hidden issues; (2) explain complex negotiation bargains; (3) highlight the stakes for each stakeholder group; (4) and identify other people to talk to in order to prepare for the stakeholder analysis book. Prior to every meeting, a list of stakeholder groups to be interviewed and a list of issues to be discussed were established. Most of the time, these issues were part of the agenda items addressed at the meeting. To maximize positive response to interview requests, interviews were kept very informal, were not recorded<sup>133</sup> and were anonymous. To avoid directing stakeholders' responses, I intervened the least possible in what the stakeholder wanted to say.<sup>134</sup>

In this PhD, interviews are not used as an empirical method of research but rather as a personal guide and cross-check information source for the legal and stakeholder analysis, strengthening the overall legal research. This approach proved to be useful as support to anecdotal evidence coming directly from experts in the field. It also confirmed or verified the fact that some stakeholders view the Treaty MLS as a common management system for seeds, as an alternative path aimed at solving the private/public tension dichotomy. Ostrom has been referred to several times by interviewees, thereby supporting the theoretical framework exploring the "global seed commons".

---

<sup>131</sup> J. OLIVIER DE SARDAN, 2008, "*La Rigueur Du Qualitatif: Les Contraintes Empiriques De L'interprétation Socio-Anthropologique [the Rigor of Qualitative: Empirical Constraints of Socio-Anthropological Interpretation]*", Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, Brylant.

<sup>132</sup> C. FRISON AND T. DEDEURWAERDERE, "Access to, Conservation and Use of Biological Diversity in the General Interest", 2006 .

<sup>133</sup> Rigorous anthropological interviews require *inter alia* recording the interviews. It was decided not to do so because it was not well accepted by negotiators, as most issues discussed were very sensitive. I recognize this deviation from the classical method.

<sup>134</sup> L. V. CAMPENHOUDT AND R. QUIVY, 2006, "*Manuel De Recherche En Sciences Sociales*" at pp.58-68; see also J. OLIVIER DE SARDAN, *cit.* at pp. 54-65.

## § 2 Participatory observation: meetings of the Governing Body as “field” experience

Participatory observation<sup>135</sup> is used in socio-anthropological sciences as one method to collect data and material from a field trip. Since 2004, I participated in many international meetings, mainly but not only of the Plant Treaty, either as an observer or as a negotiator (depending on the funding and mandate I had). Inspired by this participatory observation justification,<sup>136</sup> my experience in these meetings<sup>137</sup> as “field trips” allowed me to step into the community of the Plant Treaty and to understand negotiating mechanisms that are not referred to in scientific publications, Treaty documents and website or elsewhere.

Experiencing this approach has been particularly helpful in understanding why and how some public actors (e.g. international research centres, national gene banks, big research institutes) and private actors (e.g. seed industry) had a major impact on the development and implementation of the Treaty while other actors (peasant communities or smaller seed collections, such as the farmers’ seed exchange networks in France, or consumers) have remained marginal in influencing the design of the Treaty mechanism.

The contextual analysis contributed to provide a deeper understanding of the social and political issues at stake during the negotiation and implementation of the Treaty, which clearly impact on the creation of the norm. It enriched the legal interpretation of the identified issues and has opened doors that would otherwise have remained closed in appreciating why the Treaty struggles in reaching its objectives. Nonetheless, the contextual analysis is not *per se* a research method scientifically and rigorously implemented in the present work. As mentioned earlier, it was rather used as a complementary method along each of the three inductive research steps, guiding the decisions and directions taken throughout the work.

### Section 6. Scope of the research

The present research has a legal, a material and a temporal scope. All three aspects are delineated below.

---

<sup>135</sup> L. V. CAMPENHOUDT AND R. QUIVY, *cit.*; see also J. OLIVIER DE SARDAN, *cit.*, and P. LAURENT, 2011, “*Observation Participante Et Engagement En Anthropologie*”, Louvain-la-Neuve, Harmattan\_Academia.

<sup>136</sup> L. V. CAMPENHOUDT AND R. QUIVY, *cit.*, at pp. 177-180; see also P. LAURENT, *cit.*, at pp. 58-60; and J. OLIVIER DE SARDAN, *cit.* at pp. 39-104.

<sup>137</sup> I participated to all Governing Body meetings, except its Fifth Session, which took place in 2013 in Oman.

## § 1 The legal scope

Regarding the legal scope, the research focuses on the analysis of the Treaty. Related international instruments such as the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD),<sup>138</sup> the Trade related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement), and the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plant (UPOV) will be touched upon, but only to describe the context and serve the arguments made on the Treaty. A Human Rights approach<sup>139</sup> will similarly not be addressed, although it is contended that it is an important component, which requires further research.

### A. The Convention on Biological Diversity and the Nagoya Protocol

Due to its “fall-back-regulatory-instrument” position, the CBD and its Nagoya Protocol will partly be addressed in Part I, but only to explain the access and benefit-sharing concept and mechanism.

### B. TRIPS, UPOV and intellectual property rights issues

The TRIPS agreement and UPOV will be mentioned when talking about intellectual property rights (IPRs) issues related to plants.<sup>140</sup> Although the topic of this work is introduced with an example illustrating the issues at stake from the intellectual property field, it is clearly stated that this research is not an IPR piece of work, as this perspective has already been addressed.<sup>141</sup> Future negotiation outcomes in the World Intellectual Property Organization

---

<sup>138</sup> For an assessment of the linkages between the Treaty and the CBD, see K. GARFORTH AND C. FRISON, "Key Issues for the Relationship between the Convention on Biological Diversity and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", 2007 .

<sup>139</sup> O. DE SCHUTTER, "Building Resilience: A Human Rights Framework for World Food and Nutrition Security", 2008; in relating the Human Rights aspects with the question of IP see G. VAN OVERWALLE, 2010, "Human Rights' Limitations in Patent Law", *Intellectual Property and Human Rights: A Paradox*, Vol. ; see also P. CULLET, 2007, "Human Rights and Intellectual Property Protection in the Trips Era", *Human Rights Quarterly*, Vol. 29.

<sup>140</sup> P. CULLET, 1999, "Revision of the Trips Agreement Concerning the Protection of Plant Varieties", *op.cit.*; P. CULLET, 2001, "Plant Variety Protection in Africa: Towards Compliance with the Trips Agreement", *Journal of African Law*, Vol. 45, (01); P. CULLET AND R. KOLLURU, 2003, "Plant Variety Protection and Farmers' Rights-Towards a Broader Understanding", *Delhi Law Review*, Vol. 2; see also a national case study provided for India P. CULLET AND J. RAJA, 2004, "Intellectual Property Rights and Biodiversity Management: The Case of India", *Global Environmental Politics*, Vol. 4, (1).

<sup>141</sup> Previous colleagues have already studied this topic from an IP perspective. See the PhD theses of Nicolas Brahy and Fulya Batur. N. BRAHY, 2006, "The Property Regime of Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge : Institutions for Conservation and Innovation" (Université catholique de Louvain, 2006); and F. BATUR, 2014, "Agrobiodiversity Conservation and Plant Improvement : Adjustments in Intellectual Property Rights Reclaiming the Public Domain Towards Sustainability and Equity" (Université catholique de Louvain, 2014).

(WIPO) fora<sup>142</sup> could be relevant to this research when dealing with the IP questions for genetic resources and traditional knowledge,<sup>143</sup> but as no legally binding instruments have been adopted yet and as the negotiations are on hold, these negotiations will not be addressed.<sup>144</sup> Consistently with this choice, the theoretical framework of this work is not the theory of property.<sup>145</sup> Analysing international seed management through this property lens would benefit the field. Further research in this direction is greatly encouraged.<sup>146</sup>

### C. Biosafety and GMOs

Biosafety international regulation<sup>147</sup> could also be relevant as the PGRFA covered by the Treaty are potentially genetically modified organisms (GMOs). However, The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety regulates the safe transfers and the commercialization aspects of GMO products, and does not touch upon the common management for the conservation, sustainable use and access and benefit-sharing of PGRFA.<sup>148</sup> Therefore, this instrument is considered outside of the limits of this thesis.

---

<sup>142</sup> Such as the Intergovernmental Committee (IGC) on Intellectual Property, Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge and Folklore, or within the context of the negotiations on Substantive Patent Law Treaty.

<sup>143</sup> G. VAN OVERWALLE, 2005, "Protecting and Sharing Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge: Holder and User Tools", *Ecological Economics*, Vol. 53, (4); see also G. VAN OVERWALLE, "A Man of Flowers: A Reflection on Plant Patents, the Right to Food and Competition Law", in J. DREXL, *et al.* (eds), *Technology and Competition - Technologie Et Concurrence. Contributions in Honour of Hanns Ullrich - Mélanges En L'honneur De Hanns Ullrich*, Brussels, Larcier, 2009.

<sup>144</sup> The negotiations at the IGC are currently on hold, due to political holdbacks and blockages from certain countries. See "US Proposes Suspension of WIPO TK Committee; Switzerland and Others Counter" (11/09/2015) by Catherine Saez for "IP Watch"; available at <http://www.ip-watch.org/2015/09/11/us-proposes-suspension-of-wipo-tk-committee-switzerland-and-others-counter/> (accessed on September 10, 2015).

<sup>145</sup> Using law & economics, Nicolas Brahy has examined the management system for genetic resources and traditional knowledge from such property perspective. N. BRAHY, "The Property Regime of Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge : Institutions for Conservation and Innovation,".

<sup>146</sup> In line with this suggestion, the Maison Française d'Oxford organizes a workshop on "CommonPlant - Reframing the legal system to face the challenges of an increasing world population and the preservation of agrobiodiversity", 30th September/1st October 2016 in Oxford, UK. The aim is to move beyond the reflection upon plant private property and access using the theory of property towards a third way between public and public property: the commons.

<sup>147</sup> The Biosafety Cartagena Protocol to the CBD. A detailed analysis of its implementation is provided in the following book M.-C. CORDONIER SEGGER, F. PERRON-WELCH, AND C. FRISON, 2012, *Legal Aspects of Implementing the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety*, Cambridge ; New York, Cambridge University Press.

<sup>148</sup> For information on the implementation of the Cartagena Protocol M.-C. CORDONIER SEGGER, F. PERRON-WELCH, AND C. FRISON, *cit.*; and especially in Africa, see C. FRISON AND T. JOIE, 2006, "Elaboration D'une Reglementation De Biosecurite Par Certains Pays En Developpement: Experiences Dans La Mise En Oeuvre Du Protocole De Cartagena En Afrique De L'ouest", *Law Env't & Dev. J.*, Vol. 2; and C. FRISON AND T. JOIE, "Expériences Sur L'élaboration De Nouvelles Lois De Développement De La Biosécurité Et De La Biotechnologie: Perspectives De Réformes Légales En Afrique De L'ouest", in T.F. MCINERNEY (eds), *Searching for Success: Narrative Accounts of Legal and Institutional Reform in Developing Countries*, Rome, International Development Law Organization IDLO, 2006.

### D. International law versus national legislations on biodiversity or seed management

This work remains exclusively at the international level and will not dig into national implementation of the Treaty, or only mention them in a very limited way as examples. Seed legislations are largely national and will therefore not be dealt with (even though they have a strong impact on seed exchange), except when mentioned as illustrations. Indeed, attention is centred on the international level as the aim is to understand governing mechanisms set by stakeholders in the Treaty at the global level, using global theories.

### E. Human rights

Furthermore, due to time, resources and scope restrictions, the Human Rights' perspective on access to seeds and the right to food have not been deeply explored.<sup>149</sup> The right to food can be defined as “the right to have regular, permanent and unrestricted access, either directly or by means of financial purchases, to quantitatively and qualitatively adequate and sufficient food corresponding to the cultural traditions of the people to which the consumer belongs, and which ensure a physical and mental, individual and collective, fulfilling and dignified life free of fear.”<sup>150</sup> The former United Nations Commission on Human Rights (now United Nations Human Rights Council) has established a specific mandate on the right to food<sup>151</sup> since the year 2000 by nominating a Special Rapporteur in the right to food<sup>152</sup> to promote the full realization of the right to food inter alia through the adoption of measures at

---

<sup>149</sup> G. VAN OVERWALLE, "A Man of Flowers: A Reflection on Plant Patents, the Right to Food and Competition Law", *op. cit.*, at pp. 311-329.

<sup>150</sup> This definition is in line with the core elements of the right to food as defined by General Comment No. 12 of the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (the body in charge of monitoring the implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in those states which are party to it). The Committee declared that “the right to adequate food is realized when every man, woman and child, alone or in community with others, has physical and economic access at all times to adequate food or means for its procurement. The right to adequate food shall therefore not be interpreted in a narrow or restrictive sense which equates it with a minimum package of calories, proteins and other specific nutrients. The right to adequate food will have to be realized progressively. However, States have a core obligation to take the necessary action to mitigate and alleviate hunger even in times of natural or other disasters.” Available at <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/issues/food/Pages/FoodIndex.aspx>

<sup>151</sup> The Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights defines the Special Rapporteur “as an independent expert appointed by the Human Rights Council to examine and report back on a country situation or a specific human rights theme. This position is honorary and the expert is not a staff of the United Nations nor paid for his/her work. Since 1979, special mechanisms have been created by the United Nations to examine specific country situations or themes from a human rights perspective. The United Nations Commission on Human Rights, replaced by the Human Rights Council in June 2006, has mandated experts to study particular human rights issues. These experts constitute what are known as the United Nations human rights mechanisms or mandates, or the system of special procedures.” Available at <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/issues/food/Pages/FoodIndex.aspx>

<sup>152</sup> The first Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food was Jean Ziegler. He performed two mandates from 2000 to 2004 and then to 2008. Olivier De Schutter succeeded with two mandates from 2008 to 2014. The current Rapporteur is Hilal Elver.

the national, regional and international levels.<sup>153</sup> An example of such measures occurred in 2009, where UN countries have adopted a “Declaration of the World Summit on Food Security”,<sup>154</sup> where “Five Rome Principles for Sustainable Global Food Security” have been defined to achieve four strategic objectives to “take urgent action to eradicate hunger from the world”.<sup>155</sup> The Special Rapporteur on the right to food pleads to improve the global governance of food security. He stresses that “[i]n times of crisis, more than ever, only by strengthening multilateralism can we hope to effectively realize the right to food.”<sup>156</sup> Although the right to food is enjoying a growing recognition for the last decade,<sup>157</sup> it suffers serious implementation and enforcement problems.<sup>158</sup> In 2015, several studies have been published on the inter-relation of human rights, seeds laws and Farmers’ Rights,<sup>159</sup> paving the way for further research on the intersection between access to seeds and the right to food.<sup>160</sup>

### F. International law and international relations

Finally, State cooperation within international negotiating fora holds a key place and impact in this research. Notwithstanding the fact that the analysis shows that cooperation

---

<sup>153</sup> C. FRISON AND P. CLAEYS, "Right to Food in International Law", in P. THOMPSON AND D. KAPLAN (eds), *Encyclopedia of Food and Agricultural Ethics*, Springer Netherlands, 2014.

<sup>154</sup> “World leaders convened at FAO Headquarters for the World Summit on Food Security unanimously adopted a declaration pledging renewed commitment to eradicate hunger from the face of the earth sustainably and at the earliest date. Countries also agreed to work to reverse the decline in domestic and international funding for agriculture and promote new investment in the sector, to improve governance of global food issues in partnership with relevant stakeholders from the public and private sector, and to proactively face the challenges of climate change to food security.” See <http://www.fao.org/wsfs/en/>

<sup>155</sup> *Declaration of the World Summit on Food Security* § 1, at [http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/Summit/Docs/Final\\_Declaration/WSFS09\\_Declaration.pdf](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/Summit/Docs/Final_Declaration/WSFS09_Declaration.pdf)

<sup>156</sup> Report “Crisis into opportunity: reinforcing multilateralism” presented to the Human Rights Council, Follow-up session on the Global Food Crisis at the 12th session, 17 September 2009, at p. 1 and 22-25, available at [http://www.srfood.org/images/stories/pdf/officialreports/20090917\\_a-hrc-12-31\\_en.pdf](http://www.srfood.org/images/stories/pdf/officialreports/20090917_a-hrc-12-31_en.pdf)

<sup>157</sup> C. SAGE, 2014, “Food Security, Food Sovereignty and the Special Rapporteur Shaping Food Policy Discourse through Realising the Right to Food”, *Dialogues in Human Geography*, Vol. 4, (2); see also P. CULLET, 2005, “Seeds Regulation, Food Security and Sustainable Development”, *op.cit.*; and N. LAMBEK *et al.*, 2014, *Rethinking Food Systems: Structural Challenges, New Strategies and the Law*, Springer Science & Business Media; C. FRISON AND P. CLAEYS, *op. cit.*; O. DE SCHUTTER, “The Role of the Right to Food in Achieving Sustainable Global Food Security”, 2009.

<sup>158</sup> L. NIADA, 2006, “Hunger and International Law: The Far-Reaching Scope of the Human Right to Food”, *Conn. J. Int'l L.*, Vol. 22, at pp. 177-199. Niada details extensively the enforcement of the right to food and suggests that the right to food may benefit from enforcement mechanisms other than judicial and legally binding ones (at p. 195). See also P. CLAEYS, 2015, “The Right to Food: Many Developments, More Challenges”, *Canadian Food Studies - La Revue Canadienne des Etudes sur l'Alimentation*, Vol. 2, (2 - Special Issue).

<sup>159</sup> A. CHRISTINCK AND M. WALLOE TVEDT, 2015, “The Upov Convention, Farmers’ Rights and Human Rights”, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH; LA VIA CAMPESINA AND GRAIN, “Seed Laws That Criminalize Farmers”, 2015 and T. BRAUNSCHEWIG *et al.*, “Owning Seeds, Accessing Food - a Human Rights Impact Assessment of Upov 1991 Based on Case Studies in Kenya, Peru and the Philippines”, 2014 THE BERNE DECLARATION; see also L. R. HELFER, “Mapping the Interface between Human Rights and Intellectual Property”, in C. GEIGER (eds), *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Intellectual Property*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, 2015.

<sup>160</sup> The right to food requires that everyone has adequate access to food or the means to procure it. See *Report of Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food*, UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY (Aug. 22, 2010), available at <http://www.righttofood.org/new/PDF/A62289.pdf>.

between states constitutes a very important aspect in understanding the seed regime-complex, due to the legal focus of the present research and to a lack of training in international relations (IR), I do not claim to conduct research following IR methods.<sup>161</sup> Again, further research in the field would benefit the resolution of the issues at stake.

## **§ 2 The plant genetic resources for food and agriculture material scope**

As for the material scope, it is limited to plant genetic resources for food and agriculture covered by the Treaty (Article 3). The Treaty defines PGRFA as “any genetic material of plant origin of actual or potential value for food and agriculture”.<sup>162</sup> The Treaty defines “*genetic material*” as “any material of plant origin, including reproductive and vegetative propagating material, containing functional units of heredity.” However, the MLS creates a more restrictive sub-category of seeds, listed in Annex I to the Treaty. Article 11.2 stipulates that the MLS covers only the “PGRFA listed in Annex I that are under the management and control of the Contracting Parties and in the public domain.” Article 12.3 (a) further specifies that “access shall be provided solely for the purpose of utilization and conservation for *research, breeding and training for food and agriculture*, provided that such purpose does not include chemical, pharmaceutical and/or other non-food/feed industrial uses.” (Emphasis added)<sup>163</sup> This means that PGRFA that are used for another purpose, such as the production of bio-fuels, cosmetics or pharmaceuticals are not considered as PGRFA under the MLS. This distinction is important as the exchange mechanism and applicable law will differ when the subject matter is PGRFA or other plant genetic resources (i.e. plant genetic resources used for bio-fuels, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, etc.). Indeed, for the latter, it is most likely that the CBD and its access and benefit-sharing (ABS) obligations under the Nagoya Protocol are applicable.<sup>164</sup>

---

<sup>161</sup> Yet, IR publications are referred to when appropriate; e.g. S. JUNG CURT, “Institutional Interplay in International Environmental Governance: Policy Interdependence and Strategic Interaction in the Regime Complex on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture,”.

<sup>162</sup> Plant Treaty, Article 2.

<sup>163</sup> See Chapter 4, section 2 for an extensive explanation of the Treaty scope issues.

<sup>164</sup> See Chapter 2, section 5.

### **§ 3 The temporal scope**

Lastly, regarding the temporal scope, the historical analysis of seed management is limited to a period of time covering the second half of the twentieth century (1960-2000), and the legal analysis of the implementation of the Treaty is concentrated on the last decade (2004-2016). This temporal scope covers the important historical facts that have influenced the design of the Treaty, i.e. the development of IPRs and plant breeders' rights; the rise of Farmers' Rights and the recognition of States' sovereign rights over their genetic resources; and the emergence of a common governing tool to manage PGRFA.

## **Section 7. Contribution to the state of the art**

The added value of this research is three-fold: on the theoretical level; on the methodological level; and on the technical level.

### **§ 1 Contribution to the theoretical state of the art**

On the theoretical level, it is the first time that a legal analysis of the Plant Treaty is carried out in such depth, screening all legal documents related to the Treaty negotiation and implementation (until October 2015). Furthermore, the legal analysis has been expanded to include policy dimension, in order to take into account the fact that the international regulation of PGRFA is a highly politicized topic.<sup>165</sup> Added to this, it is also the first time that the Treaty is examined using a governance lens, i.e. the theory of the commons, and with an all-embracing perspective. The identification of six important underlying principles relating the Treaty to the theory of the commons is a contribution to the understanding of the commons theory. Using these principles as well as the classic (Ostrom) and new vogue (*inter alia* Mattei, Dardot and Laval) commons scholars' work to make normative proposals towards redesigning an effective global seed commons constitutes a further contribution to the study of the theory of the commons.

---

<sup>165</sup> In 2010, a legal thesis on the Treaty was carried out in a restrictive approach; see T. T. V. DINH, 2010, "Le Traité International Sur Les Ressources Phytogénétiques Pour L'alimentation Et L'agriculture: Instrument Innovant Pour La Gestion De L'agro-Phytodiversité" (Université de Limoges, 2010).



## **§ 2 Contribution to the methodological state of the art**

On the methodological level, widening the research methods to other concepts, theories and methods from other disciplines (political sciences, sociology, and anthropology) allows to embrace a 360° analysis of the subject. Doing so addresses well topics relating to sustainable development, such as the Treaty. Undertaking a legal and a stakeholder analyses through the lens of governance enables to cover many different aspects, which a sole legal analysis would miss. This method also answers the rising call to implement interdisciplinary methods in scientific research. Furthermore, doing so using an inductive research approach (starting from the context and moving up towards a case-study and finally towards a theory) is unusual and original, as generally, a theory is applied to a specific case study. While results found by using an inductive approach are more uncertain, it allows for greater creativity in the normative contribution to the state of the art.

## **§ 3 Contribution to the technical state of the art**

Finally, at a technical level, this thesis aims at formulating recommendations addressed at Treaty stakeholders. Following the identification of 17 constraints in the implementation of the Treaty, eight specific conceptual constraints are highlighted as problematic in the current design of the common management of seeds. Using the theory of the commons and specifically identified underlying principles, eight recommendations are made to mitigate these conceptual constraints and feed the debate and negotiations during the review process of the Treaty, currently taking place in the Governing Body. Thereby, the author of the present work hopes to contribute to clarifying challenging issues at stake during the Treaty's review process and guiding the redesign of an effective global seed commons for reaching food security and sustainable agriculture.



## **Overall conclusion and further developments**

### *Synthesis*

Access to seeds for farmers (like access to land or to water) is an essential component for reaching food security and sustainable agriculture. However, there are several impediments to easy access including: erosion of agrobiodiversity; legal and technological tools enclosing PGRFA; political hurdles. These impediments are amplified by risks and hazards resulting from climate change. These are immediate challenges which Humanity has to address in the collective interest.

The present PhD thesis attempted to unravel some of the questions and difficulties related to these challenges by analysing in great detail the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, which aims at conserving, sustainably using and facilitating access to PGRFA. Implementing an unusual inductive research approach, where several disciplines, theories, concepts and methods are mixed, a thorough legal analysis of the Treaty was carried out and complemented by a stakeholders' analysis and a participatory observation-type field research within the Treaty's forum. This mixed method allowed to capture a 360° view and to understand the issues at stake in the international negotiations regulating access to seeds.

The research results showed that, although the Treaty and its instruments (Multilateral System of access and benefit-sharing, Third Party Beneficiary, Benefit-sharing Fund, etc.) are very innovative from an international law perspective, the in-depth study of their implementation revealed major dysfunctions. Their examination enabled to identify eight important conceptual constraints in the Treaty's structure, which hinder Contracting Parties to reach the set objectives. The theory of the commons has been identified as a useful theoretical framework to address these constraints. Six commons' underlying principles were set forward to mitigate these constraints, and eight recommendations were formulated in an attempt to improve the Treaty at the conceptual level. Table 6.2 below provides a summary of the conceptual constraints and recommendations.

## Overall Conclusion

By transforming the current intergovernmental multilateral legal instrument into an effective and collectively constructed political *Global Seed Commons*, the overall objective of this work is to contribute to designing an alternative path to the current seed regulatory setting entangled in an out-of-date public/private good dichotomy appropriation scheme. One cross-cutting aspect that appears all along the analysis is the lack of recognition of the role and rights of smallholder farmers. Recognition of Farmers' Rights at the international level is promoted as a compulsory step in order to overcome the imbalance of rights pertaining to seeds and to reach the food security and sustainable agriculture overall goals of the Treaty.

<b>Treaty topics</b>	<b>Conceptual constraints</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>
1. Sustainable agriculture & food security	Overall goals of Treaty not reached <i>inter alia</i> because not recognized as direct objectives	Formally recognize food & nutrition security and sustainable agriculture as direct objectives of the Treaty
2. Scope	Difference between scope of Treaty and scope of MLS leading to dysfunction	Harmonize the scope of the MLS with that of the Treaty to include all PGRFA Expand the Treaty boundaries to make it truly global
3. Farmers' Rights	No recognition of farmers' role in PGRFA management and of their associated rights at the international level in the same terms as IPRs	Formally recognize Farmers' Rights at the international law level Commit to implement these rights at the national level
4. Facilitated access	Facilitated access is absent for the ultimate beneficiaries i.e. farmers	Recognize a direct facilitated access to PGRFA for farmers Promote <i>sui generis</i> PVP systems to recreate effective farmers' exemption
5. Benefit-sharing / Benefit-sharing Fund	Farmers are put in a passive situation of beneficiaries denying their <i>de facto</i> active role as main stakeholder in the food production chain	Benefits of the Treaty should reach all beneficiaries Reposition Farmers as active stakeholders in the Treaty, MLS and BSF management

<p>6. Information / knowledge</p>	<p>Appropriation, Protection</p> <p>Availability mainly of one type of information of interest to breeders</p>	<p>Develop the GLIS keeping in mind the overall goals of the Treaty and the needs of smallholder farmers</p> <p>Seek means to turn the MLS into a space where traditional knowledge would be protected from misappropriation</p>
<p>7. Third Party Beneficiary</p>	<p>Preservation of MLS rights, but not preservation of all stakeholders' rights.</p> <p>Lack of system to balance powers</p>	<p>Advertise on the 3PB's role &amp; procedures to the Treaty community and the public</p> <p>Deal with 3PB cases in a more transparent way</p> <p>Expand 3PB's mandate to compliance</p>
<p>8. Participation / governance</p>	<p>Governance of MLS remains at state level</p> <p>Lack of inclusion of all stakeholders at all levels</p> <p>Problem of trust</p>	<p>Allow all stakeholders to effectively participate in the global seed commons governance</p>

Table 6.2: Summary table of recommendations

*Future Developments*

This doctoral thesis provides recommendations for the political construct of a global seed commons, which are hoped to be useful in the current review process of the Treaty. However, it does not provide all the answers, but rather opens many more questions. In the following last paragraphs, two kinds of further research are proposed: developments on the theoretical level and on the technical level.

On the theoretical level, several directions could be followed.

First, as a continuation to the present use of the theory of the commons, further work could be carried out with what has been called “the new vogue of the commons”.<sup>1610</sup> Dardot

---

<sup>1610</sup> See the above mentioned authors in Chapter 6 such as Ugo Mattei, Pierre Dardot and Christian Laval, Benjamin Coriat, etc.

and Laval<sup>1611</sup> question the notion of appropriation and promote the collective and political decision to design specific resources or services as not appropriable. Inappropriability is envisaged as a necessary new category, next to the public and the private ownership and management of resources or services, if the objective is to serve the collective interest and sustainability requirement. Could inappropriability be envisaged for governing PGRFA? Mattei and Capra<sup>1612</sup> call for a new vision of the role of Law, as an all-embracing science, an integral part of a whole, i.e. taking an ecological perspective of the Law. This is a seducing perspective for those observing the functioning of nature and humanity within nature as a “holistic system”. Applying this to PGRFA management would require to position ourselves differently; to rethink our approach to the farmer-seed (human-nature) relationship.

Second, the Treaty, and the present research findings, could be examined through the lens of the Global Public Goods (GPG) theory developed in the early 2000s by Inge Kaul *et al.*<sup>1613</sup> The GPG theory attempts to provide answers to problems related to globalization. Kaul *et al* argue that many contemporary’s international crises – such as food crises – have their roots in serious Global Public Goods undersupply. They identify three policy gaps to be closed for their theory to reach normative and effective impacts on international legal regimes: a “jurisdictional gap”, a “participation gap”, and an “incentive gap”. The jurisdictional gap focuses on the “discrepancy between a globalized world and national, separate units of policy-making.” The participation gap highlights that today, international cooperation is still mainly an intergovernmental process, whereas important new global actors, such as international non-governmental organizations or citizens’ actions, have emerged. The incentive gap stresses the importance of promoting international cooperation in the implementation of international agreements. These gaps match quite well many of the Treaty constraints identified above. Further research could assess if and how mitigating these gaps would improve the effectiveness of the Global Seed Commons.<sup>1614</sup> A general questioning of the role of States in international law would need to be addressed with regard to the necessary transition towards

---

<sup>1611</sup> P. DARDOT AND C. LAVAL, 2010, "Du Public Au Commun", *op.cit.* ; P. DARDOT AND C. LAVAL, "Commun: Essai Sur La Révolution Au Xxie Siècle", *op. cit.*.

<sup>1612</sup> F. CAPRA AND U. MATTEI, "The Ecology of Law: Toward a Legal System in Tune with Nature and Community", *op. cit.*.

<sup>1613</sup> I. KAUL, I. GRUNBERG, AND M. A. STERN (eds.), "Global Public Goods - International Cooperation in the 21st Century", Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1999 ; I. KAUL *et al.*, "Providing Global Public Goods - Managing Globalization", ; I. KAUL AND P. CONCEIÇÃO, 2006, "The New Public Finance : Responding to Global Challenges", New York, Oxford University Press .

<sup>1614</sup> This research is underway, with a preliminary study to be presented at the “3<sup>rd</sup> Thematic IASC Conference on Knowledge Commons” taking place next October in Paris. The paper to be presented with my colleague Charlotte de Callataÿ is entitled “Exploring the normativity and effectiveness of Global Public Goods with two case studies: the Global Seed Commons and the Convention on the Law of the Non-navigational Uses of International Watercourses”.

agro-ecologically sustainable systems.<sup>1615</sup> Indeed, States ought to find a new role, responding to the challenges of our transitioning Anthropocene, different from the welfare state or the liberal State, and facilitating or even empowering citizens in their initiatives towards sustainable livelihoods.

Studying the Treaty through the lens of Human Rights could also complement the present work, in particular regarding the formal recognition of Farmers' Rights at the international level. Indeed, developments taking place in promoting and recognizing specific rights to seeds, to land, to water, to food, and all embracing peasants' rights<sup>1616</sup> within different fora could greatly enhance the Treaty's implementation. Using the concept of "essential resource"<sup>1617</sup> as a complementary concept promoting the common management of PGRFA could be one way to enter this human rights approach.

Several other theoretical frameworks could be useful to work on the Treaty. Behavioral studies could be an interesting field to research in order to unravel the delicate question of trust during Treaty negotiations and in collective management systems, especially within communities constituted by heterogeneous seed stakeholders. In international relations, studies could further build on the results of this thesis by digging the difficult question of designing horizontally coherent international policies. That is to say, to develop policies with a holistic view of the general system in which the policy is designed (i.e. relate it with neighboring policies). Applied to PGRFA management, this would mean to relate the international agricultural policy to a (currently inexistent) international food policy, involving health-, environmental-, and economic-related policies, etc...

Further research at a technical level could also supplement this work. While it is not the direct objective of this PhD to propose ready-to-implement solutions to the Treaty implementation constraints identified throughout the analysis, the overall objective is to serve the discussions of the Treaty review process, which aims at mitigating the said constraints. Along that line, several suggestions are made to propose additional technical investigations.

---

<sup>1615</sup> O. DE SCHUTTER, "La Cage Et Le Labyrinthe : S'évader De La Religion De La Croissance," in *21ème Congrès des économistes belges de langue française* (Liège2015), at pp. 9-10.

<sup>1616</sup> *Draft Declaration On The Rights Of Peasants And Other People Working In Rural Areas*, Advanced Version 27/01/2015, Discussed at the Third Session of the Open-ended Intergovernmental Working Group of the United Nations Human Rights Council, which took place from 17 to 20 May 2016, in Geneva, available at <http://www.ohchr.org/en/hrbodies/hrc/ruralareas/pages/3rdsession.aspx>

<sup>1617</sup> K. PISTOR AND O. DE SCHUTTER, *cit.*.

First, in the IP field, further exploration on how to (re-)design a farmer's exemption in the MLS in relation to the existing legislation on plant variety protection and patents is greatly needed, in furtherance of Correias' proposal.<sup>1618</sup> Could the MLS coupled with *sui generis* plant variety protection laws recreate an effective farmer's exemption? Additionally, a clearer understanding and vision of how to protect PGRFA-related traditional knowledge is required. Besides, further work is needed to mitigate the impediments of access to PGRFA due to national seed laws. Digging into the technicalities of intellectual property protection legislation and seed laws is therefore crucial.

In addition, in international relations studies and public international law, further exploration is needed to review the governance systems in the Treaty. Would an adaptation of the Governing Body rules allow for a FAO Committee on World Food Security-type of multi-stakeholder governance? How could participatory democracy<sup>1619</sup> be mobilized to promote an effective multi-stakeholders governance in the Treaty?

Additionally, highly technical issues have been raised regarding the administrative burden of PGRFA management and exchanges between stakeholders. A deeper examination of the SMTA technical rules regarding tracking and identification would be useful in order to facilitate the access to Annex I PGRFA. Financial issues are also key to the dysfunction of the Treaty. Different means of funding the Treaty ought to be envisaged and tested, etc. The list of technical developments could be quite long.<sup>1620</sup>

These theoretical and technical developments provide interesting avenues for further investigation.

---

<sup>1618</sup> C. M. CORREA, "Plant Variety Protection in Developing Countries: A Tool for Designing a Sui Generis Plant Variety Protection System: An Alternative to Upov 1991,".

<sup>1619</sup> L. BLONDIAUX, 2008, "*Le Nouvel Esprit De La Démocratie: Actualité De La Démocratie Participative*", Seuil Paris ; and moving towards a deliberative democracy, see C. GIRARD AND A. LE GOFF, 2010, "*La Démocratie Délibérative: Anthologie De Textes Fondamentaux*", Hermann.

<sup>1620</sup> Not to mention biodiversity-related studies, where innovative strategies and technologies for conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA would benefit the implementation of the Treaty, and in particular focus on *in situ* and on-farm conservation and sustainable development strategies. N. MAXTED, B. V. FORD-LLOYD, AND J. G. HAWKES, 2013, "*Plant Genetic Conservation: The in Situ Approach*", Springer Science & Business Media; E. DULLOO, "Conservation and Availability of Plant Genetic Diversity: Innovative Strategies and Technologies" (paper presented at the IV International Symposium on Plant Genetic Resources, Acta Horticulturae 2015,



## **Bibliography**

### **List of International Conventions and Declarations**

**1961** - *International Union for the Protection of Plant Varieties (UPOV)*, International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants of 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1961, as revised in Geneva on 10<sup>th</sup> November 1972, on 23<sup>rd</sup> October 1978 and on 19<sup>th</sup> March 1991.

**1969** - *Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties*, 23 May 1969, 8 I.L.M. 679.

**1972** - *Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment*, Stockholm 1972, 16 June - UN Doc. A/CONF.48/14, 11 ILM 1461 (1972).

**1973** - *Convention on the Grant of European Patents (European Patent Convention)* of 5<sup>th</sup> October 1973, as amended by decisions of the Administrative Council of the European Patent Organization of 21 December 1978, 13 December 1994, 20 October 1995, 5 December 1996, 10 December 1998 and 27 October 2005.

**1983** - *International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources*, adopted by FAO Conference Resolution 8/83 in Rome on 23<sup>rd</sup> November 1983.

**1992** - *Agenda 21*, adopted by Resolution 3 of the Nairobi Conference, the UNCED, held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. 22 May 1992 at the UNEP Conference held in Nairobi, Kenya

**1992** - *Rio Declaration on Environment and Development*, adopted in Rio de Janeiro on 14 June 1992, Report of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, UN Doc. A/CONF.151/6/Rev.1 (1992), 31 ILM 874 (1992).

**1992** - *Convention on Biological Diversity*, signed at the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Conference on Environment and Development, 5 June 1992, 31 I.L.M. 818 (entered into force 29 December 1993).

**1994** - *Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)*, Annex 1C of the Marrakesh Agreement establishing the World Trade organization (WTO), signed in Marrakesh on 15<sup>th</sup> April 1994

**1996** - *Leipzig Declaration on Conservation and Sustainable Utilization of PGRFA* adopted on June 23, 1996 at the Fourth International Technical Conference on Plant Genetic Resources

**1996** - *Global Plan of Action for the Conservation and Sustainable Utilization of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, adopted on June 23, 1996 at the Fourth International Technical Conference on Plant Genetic Resources

**1996** - *The Rome Declaration on World Food Security* was adopted at the World Food Summit, 13-17 November 1996, Rome, Italy

**2000** - *Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)* adopted during the 6-8 September 2000 United Nations Millennium Summit, in New York.

**2001** - *International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, adopted by FAO Conference Resolution 3/01, on 3<sup>rd</sup> November 2001 (entry into force 23 June 2004), 2400 UNTS 303.

**2002-** *Bonn Guidelines on access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from their utilization*, adopted at CBD-COP 6, in The Hague, Netherlands 7 - 19 April 2002, UNEP/CBD/COP/6/20, Annex 1, Decision VI/24A

**2007** - *Declaration of Nyéléni* adopted in Sélingué, Mali on 27 February 2007 at the Global Forum for Food Sovereignty

**2008** - *Cordoba Declaration on the Right to Food and the Governance of the Global Food and Agricultural Systems*, 10 December 2008, was launched on the occasion of the 60th anniversary of the Universal declaration of Human Rights following a process pursued at the Right to Food Forum organised by the FAO Right to Food Unit

**2010** - *Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity*, adopted on 29 October 2010 in Nagoya, Japan by the CBD-COP 10, decision X/1, and entered into force on 12 October 2014

**2011** - *Second Global Plan of Action for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture* adopted by FAO Council at its 143rd Session in November 2011.

**2015** - *Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)* adopted by the UN Sustainable Development Summit, 25-27 September, 2015, in New-York. The 17 SDGs of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development entered into force on 1 January 2016.

*Draft Declaration on the Rights of peasants and other people working in rural areas*, Advanced Version 27/01/2015, discussed at the third session of the open-ended intergovernmental working group of the United Nations Human Rights Council, which took place from 17 to 20 May 2016, in Geneva, available at <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RuralAreas/Pages/3rdSession.aspx>

## Treaty's Official Documents

### I. Documents of all Sessions of the Governing Body of the Treaty

#### *First session of the Governing Body*

##### - Report

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/REPORT, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

##### - Working Documents

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Rules of Procedure of the Governing Body", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/3, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Financial Rules of the Governing Body", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/4, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Funding Strategy of the International Treaty", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/5, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Standard Material Transfer Agreement", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/6, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Procedures and Operational Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and to Address Issues of Non-Compliance", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/7, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Possible Establishment of a Permanent Technical Advisory Committee", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/8, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Agreements between the Governing Body and the IARCS of the CGIAR and other Relevant International Institutions", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/9, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of Article 6 of the International Treaty: Sustainable Use of Plant Genetic Resources", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/10, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Appointment of the Secretary and other Matters Related to the Establishment of the Secretariat", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/11, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Assessment of Progress in the Inclusion of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture held by Natural and Legal Persons in the Multilateral System", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/12, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the Biennium 2006/2007", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/13, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Relationship between the Governing Body and the Global Crop Diversity Trust", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/14, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Relationship between the Governing Body and the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/15, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Arrangements for Supporting the Participation of Developing Country Contracting Parties in Meetings of the Governing Body and its Subsidiary Bodies", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/16, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Annotated Draft Rules of Procedure of the Governing Body", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/3 Add.1, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Annotated Draft Financial Rules of the Governing Body", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/4 Add.1, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

- **Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Progress Report on Work towards the Assessment of Patent Data Relevant to Availability and Use of Material From the International Network of Ex Situ Collections under the Auspices of FAO and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: a Draft Patent Landscape Surrounding Gene Promoters Relevant to Rice", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.17, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of Article 9 of the FAO International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: Farmers' Rights", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Circ1, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Credentials Committee", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.18 Rev.1, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Status of Ratification of the International", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.2 Rev.1, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report by the FAO Legal Counsel on the Consistency of the Draft Rules of Procedure of the Governing Body, the Draft Financial Rules of the Governing Body, the Draft Procedures and Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and to Address Issues of Non-Compliance and the Draft Funding Strategy, with FAO's Administrative Rules and Procedures and the Provisions of the International Treaty", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.10, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Analysis of FAO's Overall Work on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and the Work of other Relevant Institutions, Identifying the Financial and Human Resources Involved", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.12, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Statement of Competence and Voting Rights Submitted by the European Community (EC) and its Member States", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.13, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Note for Participants", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.14, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair of the Contact Group for the Drafting of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.15, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Participation of Governmental and Non-Governmental Bodies and Agencies Participating in the First Session of the Governing Body", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.16, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Status of Ratification of the International Treaty", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.2, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Activities Undertaken by the Interim Secretariat", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.3, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Status of Cooperation with other International Organizations", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.4, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Status of the Global Crop Diversity Trust", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.5, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Status of Implementation of the Global Plan of Action", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.6, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions and Comments on the Draft Procedures and Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and to Address Issues of Non-Compliance", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.7, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions and Comments on the Draft Procedures and Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and to Address Issues of Non-Compliance - Additional Submission", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.7 Add.1, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Comments on and Suggested Text for the Draft Funding Strategy - Additional Submission", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.8, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Comments on and Suggested Text for the Draft Funding Strategy - Additional Submission", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.8 Add.1, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Contacts Made by the Interim Secretariat with Relevant Organisations, in order to Promote the Funding Strategy", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.9, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Priority Setting, Eligibility Criteria and Operational Procedures Relevant for the Implementation of the Funding Strategy of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", First Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-1/06/Inf.11, Madrid, Spain, 12-16 June 2006.

*Second session of the Governing Body*

- **Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/REPORT, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

- **Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/4, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report by the Secretary", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/5, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Financial Rules of the Governing Body", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/6, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/7, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of the Funding Strategy", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/8, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation and Analysis of Submissions by Contracting Parties and other Relevant Organizations concerning the Implementation of the Funding Strategy", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/9, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from the Global Crop Diversity Trust", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/10, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Progress in the Inclusion of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture in the Multilateral System", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/11, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Procedures for the Third Party Beneficiary", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/12, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Consideration of the Material Transfer Agreement to be used by International Agricultural Research Centres for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture not included in Annex 1 of the Treaty", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/13, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Consideration of the Material Transfer Agreement to be Used by International Agricultural Research Centres for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture not included in Annex 1 of the Treaty",

Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/13 Rev.1, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Procedures and Operational Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and to Address Issues of Non-Compliance", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/14, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation and Analysis of Submissions by Contracting Parties and other Relevant Organizations Concerning the Implementation of Article 6", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/15, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Mechanisms for Cooperation with the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/16, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Status of Cooperation with other International Organizations, Including Agreements between the Governing Body and the International Agricultural Research Centers of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research and other relevant International Institutions", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/17, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Possible Establishment of a Permanent Technical Advisory Committee", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/18, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Progress of Programme of Work and Budget, 2006/7 and on Actions taken by the Secretariat Regarding Decisions of the First Session of the Governing Body", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/19, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the 2008-09 Biennium", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/20, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Document on the Survey on Capacity Building for Implementation of the Treaty", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/4 Add.1, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

### **Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of Article 9 of the FAO International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: Farmers' Rights", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Circ.1, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "The Development of Farmers' Rights in the Context of the International Undertaking and Article 9", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.6, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Statement of Competence and Voting Rights submitted by the European Community (EC) and its Member States", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.10, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "List of Observers who Have Requested Approval To Be Represented At The Second Session Of The Governing Body", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.12, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Delegates' Handbook", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.2, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Contracting Parties", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.3, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Technology Support for the Implementation of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit-Sharing", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.4, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation and Analysis of Submissions made by Contracting Parties and Observers on Compliance", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.5, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Document on Activities related to the Supporting Components of the Treaty", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.7, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information received from Relevant Organizations concerning the Implementation of Article 6", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.8.1, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report by the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) on its Activities to Support the Implementation of the Funding Strategy of the Treaty", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.9, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

Treaty Secretariat, "Experience of the Centres of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) with the Implementation of the Agreements with the Governing Body, with Particular Reference to the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Second Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-2/07/Inf.11, Rome, Italy, 29 October-2 November 2007.

---

### *Third session of the Governing Body*

#### **Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Governing body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Report, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

#### **Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/03, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.



Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Secretary", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/04, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Financial Rules of the Governing Body-Background Information", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/05 Add.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Financial Rules of the Governing Body", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/05, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Procedures and Operational Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and Address Issues of Non-Compliance", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/06, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Procedures and Operational Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and Address Issues of Non-Compliance", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/06 Rev.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Co-Chairs of the Ad-Hoc Advisory Committee on the funding Strategy", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/07, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Strategy Plan for the Implementation of the Benefit-sharing Fund of the Funding Strategy", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Actions Taken by the Secretary on the Implementation of the Funding Strategy", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/08, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Actions Taken by the Secretary on the Implementation on the Funding Strategy", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/08 Rev.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report From the Global Crop Diversity Trust", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/10, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair of the Ad-Hoc Third Party Beneficiary Committee", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/11, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair of the Ad-Hoc Third Party Beneficiary Committee", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/11 Rev.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Assessment of Progress in the Inclusion in the Multilateral System of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Held by Natural or Legal Persons", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/12, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Review of the Implementation of the Multilateral System", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/13, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Review of the Implementation and Operation of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/14, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Review of the Material Transfer Agreement Being Used by the International Agricultural Research Centres of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research and Other Relevant International Institutions, for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture not Included in Annex I of the Treaty", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/15, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of Article 6", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/16, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Cooperation between the Governing Body and the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, and Coordination of their Fields of Intergovernmental Work", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/17, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Progress Report on Partnerships, Synergies and Cooperation with Other Organizations", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/18, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Matters Arising from the Report of the Independent External Evaluation of FAO Related to the Treaty", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/19, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Programme of Work and Budget 2008-09 Financial Report", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/20, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Programme of Work and Budget 2008-09 Financial Report", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/20 Rev.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the 2010-2011 Biennium", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/21 Add.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and budget for the 2010-11 Biennium", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/21, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

### **Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Statement of Competence and Voting Rights Submitted by the European Community (EC) and its Member States", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.2, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation and Analysis of Submissions Made by Contracting Parties and Observers on Procedures and Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and Address Issues of Non- Compliance", Third Session of

the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.3, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions sent by Contracting Parties, other Governments, and Relevant Institutions and Organizations on the Implementation of Article 6", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.5, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Expert Consultations on Information Technologies to Support the Implementation of the Multilateral System", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.4, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Collection of Views and Experiences submitted by contracting Parties and Other Relevant Organizations on the Implementation of Article 9", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.6 Add.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on FAO Activities Related to the Supporting Components of the Treaty", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.7, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Approval of the First Projects under the Benefit-Sharing Fund", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.11, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "The Draft Business Plan", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.12, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "List Of Observers Who Have Requested Approval to be Represented at the Third Session of the Governing Body in Accordance With Rule VII.2 of the rules of Procedure", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.13 Rev.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Experience of the International Agricultural Research Centre of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research With the Implementation of the Agreements with the Governig Body, With Particular Reference to Use of the Standard Material Transfer Agreeemet for Annex 1 and Not Anexx 1 Crops", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.15, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Collection of Views and Experiences submitted by Contracting Parties and Other Relevant Organizations on the Implementation of Article 9", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.6, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Collection of Views and Experiences submitted by Contracting Parties and Other Relevant Organizations on the Implentation of Article 9", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.6 Add.3, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Collection of Views and Experiences submitted by Contracting Parties and Other Relevant Organization on the Implementation of Article 9", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.6 Add.5, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Fund Disbursement Strategy of the Global Crop Diversity Trust", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.8, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions Made by Contracting Parties on the Implementation of the Funding Strategy", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.9, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions sent by Contracting Parties, Others Governments, and Relevant Institutions and Organizations on the Implementation of Article 6", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.5 Add.1, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Collection of Views and Experiences Submitted by contracting Parties and Other Relevant Organizations on the Implementation of Article 9", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.6 Add.4, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Pollinators: Neglected Biodiversity of Importance to Food and Agriculture", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.10, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Collection of Views and Experiences Submitted by Contracting Parties and Other Relevant Organizations on the Implementation of Article 9", Third Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-3/09/Inf.6 Add.2, Tunis, Tunisia, 1-5 June 2009.

---

### ***Fourth session of the Governing Body***

#### **Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Governing body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Report, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

#### **Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chairperson of the Fourth Session of the Governing Body", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/04, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Secretary", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/05, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Financial Rules of the Governing Body", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/06, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Financial Rules of the Governing Body", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/06 Add.1, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Co-chairs of the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Procedures and Operational Mechanisms to Promote Compliance and Address Issues of Non-Compliance", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/07, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Co-chairs of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/08, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Funding Strategy", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/09, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Funding Strategy - Implementation of projects approved under the Second Round of the Project Cycle of the Benefit-Sharing Fund: Interim procedures and arrangements", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/09 Add.1, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Business Plan for the implementation of the Treaty", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/11, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit Sharing", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/12, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Reviews and assessments under the Multilateral System, and of the Implementation and Operation of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/13, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair of the Ad Hoc Third Party Beneficiary Committee", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/14, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Operations of the Third Party Beneficiary", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/15, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of Article 9, Farmers' Rights", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/16, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of Article 6", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/17, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Policy coherence and complementarity of the work of the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and of the Governing body of the International Treaty", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/18, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Vision paper on the development of the global information system in the context of Article 17 of the Treaty", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/19, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from the Global Crop Diversity Trust", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/20, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Selection of the members of the Executive Board of the Global Crop Diversity Trust, and possible options for the simplification of the normal selection and appointment procedures", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/21, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Relationship with the Convention on Biological Diversity", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/22, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Progress report on partnerships, synergies and cooperation with other organizations, including agreements between the Governing Body and the IARCS and other relevant international institutions under Article 15 of the Treaty", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/23, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Matter arising from the Report of the Independent External Evaluation of FAO of relevance to the Treaty", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/24, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Organisation of inter-sessional work under the Treaty", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/25, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Work Programme and Budget 2010-11: Financial Report", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/26, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the 2012-2013 Biennium", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/27, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the 2012-2013 Biennium", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/27 Add.1, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Resolutions of the Fourth Session of Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/28, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Note for Participants - GB4", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.02, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on FAO Activities related to the supporting components of the Treaty", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.04, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Experience of the IARC of the CGIAR with the implementation of the agreements with the Governing Body, with particular reference to the use of the standard material transfer agreement for Annex 1 and non-Annex 1 crops", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.05, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of views and experiences on the Implementation of Farmer's Rights submitted by Contracting Parties and relevant organizations", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.06, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Multilateral System and the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.07, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Multilateral System and the Standard Material Transfer Agreement Information Documents", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.08, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of submissions by Contracting Parties on the implementation of the Multilateral System", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.09, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Experiences of international institutions with the implementation of the agreements with the Governing Body under Article 15 of the Treaty, with particular reference to the use of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement for Annex I and non-Annex I crops", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.10, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Statement of competence and voting rights submitted by the European Union (EU) and its member states", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.11 Rev.1, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Revised Genebank Standards", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.12, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of submissions by private sector entities, and other natural and legal persons on the implementation of the Multilateral System", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.13, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft updated Global Plan of Action for the conservation and sustainable utilization of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Inf.14, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

Treaty Secretariat, "Global Consultations on Farmers' Rights in 2010", Fourth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-4/11/Circ.1, Bali, Indonesia, 14-18 March 2011.

---

*Fifth session of the Governing Body*

- **Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Governing body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Report, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

- **Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chair", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/03, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Secretary", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/04, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the implementation of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit Sharing", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/05, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit Sharing", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/05 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit-sharing - Addendum to the Draft Resolution", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/05 Add.2, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Reviews and Assessments under the Multilateral System, and of the Implementation and Operation of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/06, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Funding Strategy", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/07, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Reviewed Operational Procedures for the Benefit-sharing Fund, including the draft Policy on Conflict of Interest", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/07 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Elements for the Mid-Term Plan of the Benefit-Sharing Fund", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/07 Add.2, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Funding Strategy - Draft resolution", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/07 Add.3, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.



Treaty Secretariat, "Arrangements for the Ad Hoc Open-Ended Working Group to Expand Benefit-Sharing and the Scope of the Multilateral System", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/07 Add.4, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Execution of the Project Cycle of the Benefit-sharing Fund since the Fourth Session of the Governing Body", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/08, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of the Article 6 Sustainable Use of Plant genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/09, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of Article 9, Farmers' Rights", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/10, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Cooperation with the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/11, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Transfer of activities or tasks from the Commission to the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: legal, administrative and financial implication", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/12, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "List of Observers who have requested approval to be represented at the Fifth Session of the Governing Body in accordance with rule Vii.2 of the Rules Of Procedure", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/13, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Cooperation with the Convention on Biological Diversity", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/14, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from the Global Crop Diversity Trust", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/15, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Procedures for the Selection and Appointment of the Members of the Executive Board of the Global Crop Diversity Trust", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/16, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Vision Paper on the Further Development of Article 17, Global Information System", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/17, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Compliance Committee", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/18, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Standard Voluntary Reporting Format", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/18 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Operations of the Third Party Beneficiary", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/19, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report On The Operations Of The Third Party Beneficiary", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/19 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Business Plan", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/20, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Relationship between the Governing Body and the International Agricultural Research Centres of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research and other Relevant International Institutions under Article 15 of the Treaty", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/21, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Partnerships, Synergies and Cooperation with other Organizations", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/22, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Partnerships, Synergies and Cooperation with Other Organizations", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/22 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Matters Relevant to the Treaty Arising from the FAO Reform Process and the Review of Statutory Bodies", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/23, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Financial Report on Progress of the Work Programme and Budget for the 2012-2013 Biennium", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/24, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the 2014-15 Biennium", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/25, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Proposed Indicative Scale of Contributions for 2014 and 2015", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/25 Add.1 Rev.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Donor-supported activities under the Special Fund for Agreed Purposes: Report on activities in 2012-13 and projects proposed for further donor support", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/25 Add.2, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

- **Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from the Second High-Level Roundtable on the International Treaty", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Circ.01, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from the Third High-Level Roundtable on the International Treaty", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Circ.02, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Informe del Taller Regional para America Latina y el Caribe - Quito", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Circ.03, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Note for Participants", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.02, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Ad Hoc Advisory Technical Committee on the Standard Material Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.03, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Ad Hoc Advisory Technical Committee on the Standard Material Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.03 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Seventh Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.04, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Resumed Seventh meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy Supp 1", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.04 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the second resumed seventh meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.04 Add.2, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable Use", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.05, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation and Analysis of Submissions sent by Contracting Parties, Other governments and Relevant Institutions and Organizations on the Implementation of Article 6", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.06, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Synthesis of the Outcomes of the Stakeholder Consultation on the Implementation of Article 6", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.07, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Synthesis of the Outcomes of the Stakeholder's Consultation on the Implementation of Article 6 – Addendum 1", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.07 Add.1, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions by Contracting Parties and other Relevant Organizations, and the Reports of Regional Workshops on the Implementation of Article 9", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of

the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.08, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Genebank Standards for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.09, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Lessons Learnt during the Execution of the First and Second Rounds of the Project Cycle", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.10, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the First Round of the Project Cycle of the Benefit-sharing Fund", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.11, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Progress Report on the Implementation of the Second Round of the Project Cycle", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.12, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the FAO Activities Related to the Supporting Components of the Treaty", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.13, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Record of the First Meeting of the Compliance Committee", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.14, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Submissions from Contracting Parties on the implementation of the Multilateral System and the on the use of the SMTA", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.15, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Reports of meetings on the establishment of a platform for the co-development and transfer of technology", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.16, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Technical Consultation to Promote Public-Private Partnerships for Pre-breeding", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.17, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

Treaty Secretariat, "Statement of Competence and Voting Rights Submitted by the European Union (Eu) And Its Member States", Fifth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.18, Muscat, Oman, 24-28 September 2013.

---

### *Sixth session of the Governing Body*

#### **Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Governing body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Report, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

**- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Chairperson", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/04, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Secretary", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/05, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Resolution 1/2015: Measures to enhance the functioning of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit-Sharing", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/06 Add.1, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Consolidated Report on the Deliberations of the Ad Hoc Open-Ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, during the 2014–2015 Biennium", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/06 Rev.2, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Vision paper on the development of the Global Information System", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/07, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Implementation of the Multilateral System", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/08, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Reviews and Assessments under the Multilateral System and of the Implementation and Operation of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/09, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Operations of the Third Party Beneficiary", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/10, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Funding Strategy", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/11, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of the Programme of Work on Sustainable Use of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/12, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report and Review of Submissions on the Implementation of Article 9, Farmers' Rights", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/13, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Compliance", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/14, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Compliance: Corrigendum to the Standard Reporting Format", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/14 Add.1, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on cooperation with the Convention on Biological Diversity", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/15, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from the Global Crop Diversity Trust", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/16, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Cooperation with the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/18, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from Institutions that have signed Article 15 Agreements", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/20, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Cooperation with Other International Bodies and Organizations", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/21, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Cooperation with Other International Bodies and Organizations", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/22, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Functional Autonomy of the International Treaty within the Framework of FAO", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/23, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Financial Report on Progress of the Work Programme and Budget for the 2014-2015 Biennium", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/24, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the 2016-17 Biennium", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/25, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Work Programme and Budget for the 2016-17 Biennium: Donor-supported activities under the Special Fund for Agreed Purposes", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/25 Add.1, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Proposed Indicative Scale of Contributions for 2016 and 2017", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/25 Add.2, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Renewal of the Term of Office of the Secretary of the Treaty", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/26, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

- **Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Development of a Toolbox for Sustainable Use of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.03, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Execution of the Project Cycle of the Benefit-Sharing Fund since the Fifth Session Of The Governing Body", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.04, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions on Farmers' Rights for the Sixth Session", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.05, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Submissions on Farmers' Rights for the Sixth Session- Addendum 1", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.05 Add.1, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Statement of Competences and Voting Rights Submitted by the European Union (EU) and its Member States", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.06, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Additional Financial Information on Progress of the Work Programme and Budget for the 2014-2015 Biennium", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.07, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report from the Secretariat of the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.08, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Additional information on financial and administrative implications related to the transfer of activities or tasks from the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture to the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.09, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Third Meeting of the Platform for the Co-Development and Transfer of Technologies", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.10, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Secretary of the Global Forum on Agricultural Research (GFAR) on the collaboration with the International Treaty", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.11, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the United Nations Environment Programme", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.12, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of a Multi-stakeholder Workshop organized by Switzerland: The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: What Investment Strategy for the Benefit-Sharing Fund?", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.13, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Final Report on the Execution of the Second Project Cycle of the Benefit-sharing Fund", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.14, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity on Cooperation with the International Treaty", Sixth Session of the Governing Body of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/GB-6/15/Inf.15, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

---

## **II. Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy**

### **THIRD MEETING**

#### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-3/08/Report, Rome, Italy, 16-17 October 2008.

#### **- List of Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report related to the Implementation of the Funding Strategy from International Organisations", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-3/08/Inf.1, Rome, Italy, 16-17 October 2008.

Treaty Secretariat, "Operation of the Funding Strategy", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-3/08/2, Rome, Italy, 16-17 October 2008.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information and Reporting Requirements under the Funding Strategy", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-3/08/3, Rome, Italy, 16-17 October 2008.

### **FOURTH MEETING**

#### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Strategic Plan for the Implementation of the Benefit-Sharing Fund of the Funding Strategy", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Geneva, Switzerland, 12-13 March 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-4/09/Report, Geneva, Switzerland, 12-13 March 2009.

#### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Information and Reporting Requirements under the Funding Strategy", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-4/09/2, Geneva, Switzerland, 12-13 March 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Development of a Strategic Plan for the Implementation of the Funding Strategy", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-4/09/3, Geneva, Switzerland, 12-13 March 2009.

### **FIFTH MEETING**

#### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/Report, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

#### **- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on the Resource Mobilization Efforts, Including on Innovative Approaches", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/3, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.



Treaty Secretariat, "Execution of the Benefit-sharing Fund's project cycle (2010/2011): Call for Proposals 2010", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/4, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Operation of the Benefit-sharing Fund: Institutional Arrangements and procedures", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/5, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Operation of the Benefit-sharing Fund: Institutional Arrangements and procedures", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/5 Add.1, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Business Plan of the Governing Body - ACFS5", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/6, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Note for Participants", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/Inf.2, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "ACFS update: Resource mobilisation", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/Inf.3, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Policy Seminar on The International Treaty: Global Challenges and Future Direction", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/Inf.4, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

Treaty Secretariat, "Expert Advice on the Second Call for Proposals, Including a Strategy and Programme for The Benefit-Sharing Fund Rev 1", Fifth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-5/10/Inf.5, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 May 2009.

## **SIXTH MEETING**

### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-6/10/Report, Rome, Italy, 13-15 October 2010.

### **- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Resource mobilisation: Implementation of the Strategic Plan for the Implementation of the Benefit-sharing Fund", Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-6/10/3, Rome, Italy, 13-15 October 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Operation of the Benefit-sharing Fund: submissions from International Organizations", Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-6/10/4, Rome, Italy, 13-15 October 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Operation of the Benefit-sharing Fund: submissions from International Organizations", Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-6/10/4 Add.1, Rome, Italy, 13-15 October 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Operation of the Benefit-sharing fund: institutional arrangements and procedures", Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-6/10/6, Rome, Italy, 13-15 October 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Monitoring the Implementation of the Funding Strategy: Resources not under the direct control of the Governing Body", Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-6/10/8, Rome, Italy, 13-15 October 2010.

### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "ACFS update: Resource mobilisation", Sixth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-6/10/Inf.2, Rome, Italy, 13-15 October 2010.

**SEVENTH MEETING**

**- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/Report, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

**- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Resource Mobilisation: Implementation of the Strategic Plan for the Implementation of the Benefit-sharing Fund", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/3, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report on Lessons learnt during the Execution of the First and Second Rounds of the Project Cycle", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/4, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Review of the Operational Procedures of the Benefit-sharing Fund", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/5, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Further Development of the Mid-Term Programmatic approach", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/6, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Development of Partnerships architecture for the Benefit-sharing Fund", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/7, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Design and Structure of the Third Call for Proposal", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/8, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

**- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of the first and second rounds of the project cycle: Background information", Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7/12/Inf.1, Geneva, Switzerland, 18-20 September 2012.

**RESUMED MEETING OF THE SEVENTH MEETING**

**- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Resumed Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", Resumed Seventh Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, Doc. IT/ACFS-7 RES/13/Report, Geneva, Switzerland, 26-27 March 2013.

---

**III. Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System**

**FIRST MEETING**

**- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/Report, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

**- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Background and Terms of Reference", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/2, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Creating legal space for the Implementation of the Treaty in the Context of Access and Benefit-sharing", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/3, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Identification of PGRFA Under Control and Management of Contracting Parties, and in the Public Domain", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/4, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Legal and Administrative Measures to Encourage Natural and Legal Persons to Voluntarily Place Material in the Multilateral System", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/5, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Practical and legal implications for natural and legal persons putting material into the Multilateral System", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/6, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "In Situ Material and the Multilateral System: Standards for Access", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/7, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Transfer and use of PGRFA under the SMTA", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 1/10/8, Rome, Italy, 18-19 January 2010.

*SECOND MEETING*

**- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/Report, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

**- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "The reporting obligations of parties under the SMTA", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/3, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft outline of options and guide on practices, procedures and measures for the implementation of the Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/10, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Practical and legal implications for natural and legal persons putting material into the Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/2, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Updating of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement (SMTA)", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/4, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Restrictions on further transfer of PGRFA under Development", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/5, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "In Situ Material and the Multilateral System: Standards for Access", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/6, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Transfer and use of PGRFA under the SMTA: transfer to farmers for direct use for cultivation", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/7, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Non-food/non-feed industrial uses of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/8, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

Treaty Secretariat, "Repatriation of germplasm", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/9, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Note for Participants", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 2/10/Inf.2, Brasilia, Brazil, 31 August-2 September 2010.

## **THIRD MEETING**

### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the SMTA and the MLS", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/Report, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

### **- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Non-Food/non-Feed Uses of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/2, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Transfer and use of Plant Genetic Resources for Agriculture under the SMTA: transfer to farmers for direct use for cultivation", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/3, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Updating of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement in the context of Reporting Obligations of Parties", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/4, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Creating Legal Space for the Treaty in the context of Access and Benefit-Sharing", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/5, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Commercialization of a Product under the Multilateral System in the context of not-for-Profit Projects under Article 13 of the Treaty Rev 1", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/6, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Transfer of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture under the Multilateral System to Affiliate Companies", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/7, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Miscellaneous Questions for in-session Consideration", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/8, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

**- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Handbook to the Implementation of the Multilateral System of the International Treaty", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/Inf.22/Inf.2, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Note for Participants", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/Inf.3, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012.

**FOURTH MEETING**

**- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Technical Committee on the SMTA and the MLS", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/Report, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

**- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Recent Policy Developments of Relevance to the Implementation of the Multilateral System and the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/2, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "The Policy and Principles of the Management of Intellectual Assets of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/3, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Options for Reflecting Updates on the Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/4, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Creating Legal Space for the Treaty in the Context of the Access and Benefit-sharing", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/5, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Collection, Conservation and Distribution through the SMTA of samples of Plant Varieties Protected by Plant Breeder's Rights", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/6, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Miscellaneous Questions", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/7, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

**- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Note for Participants", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 4/12/Inf.2, Rome, Italy, 6-7 November 2012.

**RESUMED FOURTH MEETING**

**- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Resumed Fourth Meeting Of The Ad Hoc Advisory Technical Committee On The Standard Material Transfer Agreement And The Multilateral System", Resumed Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/AC-SMTA-MLS Res4/13/Report, Rome, Italy, 12 April 2013.

---

#### **IV. Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable Use of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture**

##### *FIRST MEETING*

###### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/Report, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

###### **- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Electronic Stakeholders Consultation for the Programme of Work on Sustainable Use", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/3, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Elements for the Definition of the Programme of Work on Sustainable Use of PGRFA (POW)", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/4, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Development of a toolbox on Sustainable Use of PGRFA", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/5, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Farmers' Rights - Compilation of Submissions Received and Report of the Regional Workshop", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/6, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

###### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Note for Participants", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/Inf.2, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Views and Experiences on the Implementation of Farmers' Rights submitted by Contracting Parties and relevant Organizations", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/Inf.3, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "The Toolbox: Description of the Concept, Components, and How Might be developed", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/Inf.4, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Views and Experiences on the Implementation of Farmers' Rights Submitted by Contracting Parties and Relevant Organizations – Addendum 1", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-1/12/Inf.3 Add.1, Rome, Italy, 8-9 November 2012.

##### *SECOND MEETING*

###### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/Report, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

###### **- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of the Programme of Work on Sustainable Use of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and Supporting Initiatives", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on

Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/3, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Interrelations Between The International Treaty And Relevant Instruments Of UPOV And WIPO", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/4, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "The initiative on Public-Private Partnerships on Pre-Breeding", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/Inf.1, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "The Platform for Co-Development and Transfer of Technologies (Platform)", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/Inf.2, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Input Paper from Bioversity International, CIAT, CIP and GBIF: Global Information System for In situ Conservation and On-farm Management of PGRFA", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/Inf.3, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "The Contribution of the Benefit-sharing Fund Projects to the Sustainable Use of PGRFA Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/Inf.4, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Compilation of Information received on Interrelations between the International Treaty and relevant Instruments of UPOV and WIPO", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/Inf.5, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Note for participants", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Committee on Sustainable of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Doc. IT/ACSU-2/15/Inf.7, Rome, Italy, 2-3 March 2015.

---

## **V. Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit-sharing**

### *FIRST SESSION*

#### **- Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/Report, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

#### **- Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Background on the Work Undertaken by the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy, and its Further Development", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/3, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Innovative Approaches Already Identified by the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/4, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Preparations for Future Meetings of the Working Group", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/5, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

#### **- Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Preparation of Studies by the Secretariat, as Mandated by the Governing Body", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/Inf.2, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information from Consultations with Relevant Stakeholders", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/Inf.3, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information from and Consultations with Relevant Stakeholders - Add.1", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/Inf.3 Add.1, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Note for Participants", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/Inf.4, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Preliminary Considerations on Possible Procedures to Amend the Treaty", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/Inf.5, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

- **Invitations and Notifications**

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Event on 13th May: History and existing work on Innovative approaches to mobilize income to the Benefit-sharing Fund: outcomes of the work undertaken by the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-1/14/Event, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

- **Others**

Treaty Secretariat, "Implementation of the Funding Strategy of the International Treaty - Resolution from GB5", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. Resolution 2/2013, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Material Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.3, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Committee on the Standard Material Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.3 Add.1, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Seventh Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.4, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the resumed session of the Seventh Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.4 Add.1, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the second resumed session of the Seventh Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Funding Strategy", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/GB-5/13/Inf.4 Add.2, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Standard Transfer Material Agreement", First Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Geneva, Switzerland, 14-16 May 2014.

### SECOND SESSION

- **Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to



Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/Report, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

### - **Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Synoptic Study 1: Estimating Income to be Expected from Possible Changes in the Provisions Governing the Functioning of the Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/3, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Synoptic Study 2: Policy and Legal Study on the Feasibility and Effects of Changes to the Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/4, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Synoptic Study 3: an Analysis on how to Enhance Mechanisms for Capacity-Building, Technology-Transfer and Information-Exchange", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/5, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Synoptic Study 4: Consultation with Stakeholder Groups", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/6, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

### - **Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Information Note for Participants", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/Inf.2, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "The Current Status Of The Multilateral System Of Access And Benefit-Sharing", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/Inf.3, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "Facilitator's Summary: Informal Stakeholder Workshop on Multilateral System of the International Treaty – Meridian Institute", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/Inf.4.1, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

Treaty Secretariat, "CGIAR services to enhance capacity building, technology transfer and information-exchange related to plant genetic resources for food and agriculture", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/Inf.4.2, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

### - **Background Study Papers**

MOELLER N.I. and STANNARD C., "Estimating Income To Be Expected From Possible Changes In The Provisions Governing The Functioning Of The Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, IT/OWG-EFMLS/Background Study 1, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

GUIRAMAND M., "An in-depth analysis of the factors that influence the willingness of stakeholder groups to make contributions to the Benefit-sharing Fund and to access plant genetic resources for food and agriculture from the Multilateral System", Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS/Background Study 4, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.

## THIRD SESSION

### - **Report**

Treaty Secretariat, "Report of the Third meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group", Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Report, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

### - Working Documents

Treaty Secretariat, “Draft Resolution for Consideration by the Governing Body, At Its Sixth Session: Measures To Enhance The Functioning of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit-Sharing”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/3, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

### - Information Documents

Treaty Secretariat, “Information Note for Participants”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.2, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Additional Submissions Received from Working Group Members and Others”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.3 Add.1, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Expansion of the Access and Benefit-sharing Provisions of the International Treaty: Legal Options”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.4 Rev.1, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Development of a Subscription System for users of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture under the Treaty (Measure III): Background Information”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.5, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Improving the Standard Material Transfer Agreement to Increase User-Based Payments and to Make it More User-Friendly (Measure IV): Background Information”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.6, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Options For Introducing A Termination Clause”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.7, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “The Benefit-sharing Fund and the Global Crop Diversity Trust: Succinct Analysis of Targets, Contributions and Resource Mobilization Strategies and other Relevant Information”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.8, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Typology of users of the Multilateral System and their regional distribution, including PGRFA under development”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.9, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Statistics on distribution of germplasm by recipient country and region”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Inf.9 Add.1, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, “Twenty five years of international exchanges of plant genetic resources facilitated by the CGIAR genebanks: a case study on international interdependence”, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-3/15/Research Paper 9, Brasilia, Brazil, 2-5 June 2015.

## *FOURTH SESSION*

### - Report

Treaty Secretariat, “Consolidated Report on the Deliberations of the Ad Hoc Open-Ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, during the 2014–2015 Biennium”, Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-6/15/6 Rev.2, Rome, Italy, 5-9 October 2015.

- **Working Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Draft Revised Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-4/15/3, Rome, Italy, 2 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Commentary on Structural Elements for the Development of a Subscription Model/System", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-4/15/4, Rome, Italy, 2 October 2015.

- **Information Documents**

Treaty Secretariat, "Note for Participants", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-4/15/Inf.2, Rome, Italy, 2 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Submissions received by the Working Group during the Biennium, in preparation for the Subscription System and the Draft Revised Standard Material Transfer Agreement", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-4/15/Inf.3, Rome, Italy, 2 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Possible Objectives and Elements of a Protocol to the International Treaty", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-4/15/Inf.4, Rome, Italy, 2 October 2015.

Treaty Secretariat, "Exploring a proposal to develop a mechanism of contributions by Contracting Parties to the Benefit-Sharing Fund", Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Doc. IT/OWG-EFMLS-4/15/Inf.5, Rome, Italy, 2 October 2015.

---

## Literature

AALBERTS T.E., *Constructing Sovereignty between Politics and Law*, Routledge, 2012.

ADAIR J.R., "The Bioprospecting Question: Should the United States Charge Biotechnology Companies for the Commercial Use of Public Wild Genetic Resources?", *Ecology Law Quarterly*, 1997, 24, 1, pp. 131-71.

AGRAWAL A., "Common Property Institutions and Sustainable Governance of Resources", *World Development*, 2001, 29, 10, pp. 1649-72.

———, "Common Resources and Institutional Sustainability", *The drama of the commons*, 2002, pp. 41-85.

ALCHION A.A., and DEMSETZ H., "The Property Right Paradigm", *Journal of Economic History*, 1973, 33, 1, pp. 12p.

ALLAIRE G., "Les Communs Comme Infrastructure Institutionnelle De L'économie Marchande", *Revue de la régulation. Capitalisme, institutions, pouvoirs*, 2013, 14, pp.

ALMEKINDERS C.J., and LOUWAARS N.P., "The Importance of the Farmers' Seed Systems in a Functional National Seed Sector", *Journal of New Seeds*, 2002, 4, 1-2, pp. 15-33.

ALSTON P., and WEILER J.H., "An 'Ever Closer Union' in Need of a Human Rights Policy", *European Journal of International Law*, 1998, 9, 4, pp. 658-723.

ALTIERI M., FUNES-MONZOTE F., and PETERSEN P., "Agroecologically Efficient Agricultural Systems for Smallholder Farmers: Contributions to Food Sovereignty", *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 2012, 32, 1, pp. 1-13.

ALTIERI M.A., "The Ecological Role of Biodiversity in Agroecosystems", *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 1999, 74, 1, pp. 19-31.

———, "Agroecology: The Science of Natural Resource Management for Poor Farmers in Marginal Environments", *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 2002, 93, 1, pp. 1-24.

- ALTIERI M.A., DANKELMAN I., DAVIDSON J., GOODMAN D., REDCLIFT M., DALY H., COBB JR J., PEARCE D., BARBIER E., and MARKANDYA A., 1987, *Agroecology: The Scientific Basis of Alternative Agriculture*, IIED, London (RU)
- ALTIERI M.A., and FAMINOW M.D., "Agroecology: The Science of Sustainable Agriculture", *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 1996, 44, 2, pp. 199-201.
- ALTIERI M.A., FUNES-MONZOTE F.R., and PETERSEN P., "Agroecologically Efficient Agricultural Systems for Smallholder Farmers: Contributions to Food Sovereignty", *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 2012, 32, 1, pp. 1-13.
- ALTIERI M.A., and MERRICK L., "In Situ Conservation of Crop Genetic Resources through Maintenance of Traditional Farming Systems", *Economic Botany*, 1987, 41, 1, pp. 86-96.
- ALTIERI M.A., and NICHOLLS C.I., 2012, *Agroecology Scaling up for Food Sovereignty and Resiliency* Springer
- ANDERSEN R., "The Interaction between International Agreements Pertaining to the Management of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and the Response of Developing Countries", *Conference Papers -- International Studies Association*, 2005, pp. 27p.
- , "Governing Agrobiodiversity: The Emerging Tragedy of the Anticommons in the South", *Conference Papers -- International Studies Association*, 2006, pp. 0p.
- , *Governing Agrobiodiversity : Plant Genetics and Developing Countries*, Global Environmental Governance, Aldershot, Hampshire, England Burlington, VT, Ashgate, 2008.
- ANDERSEN R., WINGE T., SOUTH ASIA WATCH ON TRADE ECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENT., and REGIONAL PROGRAMME ON RESEARCH CAPACITY BUILDING AND ADVOCACY ON TRADE., *The Plant Treaty and Farmers' Rights : Implementation Issues for South Asia*, Discussion Paper, Kathmandu, South Asia Watch on Trade, Economics & Environment, 2009.
- ANDERSON J.R., "Selected Policy Issues in International Agricultural Research: On Striving for International Public Goods in an Era of Donor Fatigue", *World Development*, 1998, 26, 6, pp. 1149-62.
- ANDERSSON K.P., and OSTROM E., "Analyzing Decentralized Resource Regimes from a Polycentric Perspective", *Policy sciences*, 2008, 41, 1, pp. 71-93.
- ANVAR L.S. "Semences Et Droit. L'emprise D'un Modèle Économique Dominant Sur Une Règlementation Sectorielle." Paris I Panthéon-Sorbonne, 2008.
- AOKI K., "Neocolonialism, Anticommons Property, and Biopiracy in the (Not-So-Brave) New World Order of International Intellectual Property Protection Symposium: Sovereignty and the Globalization of Intellectual Property", *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, 1999, 6, 1, pp.
- , "Weeds, Seeds & Deeds: Recent Skirmishes in the Seed Wars", *Cardozo Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 2004, 11, pp. 86.
- , *Seed Wars : Controversies and Cases on Plant Genetic Resources and Intellectual Property*, Durham, N.C., Carolina Academic Press, 2008.
- , "Seeds of Dispute: Intellectual-Property Rights and Agricultural Biodiversity", *Golden Gate University Environmental Law Journal*, 2010, 3, 1, pp. 82.
- , "Food Forethought: Intergenerational Equity and Global Food Supply-Past, Present, and Future", *Wisconsin Law Review*, 2011, 2, pp. 399-478.
- AOKI K., Kennedy Luvai, "Reclaiming Common Heritage Treatment in the International Plant Genetic Resources Regime Complex", *Michigan State Law Review*, 2007, 1, pp. 36.
- ASHLEY ROACH J., "The Central Arctic Ocean: Another Global Commons", *Global Policy*, 2012, 3, 1, pp. 82-84.
- AYRES I., and BRAITHWAITE J., *Responsive Regulation: Transcending the Deregulation Debate*, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- BÄCKSTRAND K., "Democratizing Global Environmental Governance? Stakeholder Democracy after the World Summit on Sustainable Development", *European Journal of International Relations*, 2006, 12, 4, pp. 467-98.

- BADSTUE L.B., BELLON M.R., BERTHAUD J., JUAAREZ X., ROSAS I.M., SOLANO A.M., and RAMIREZ A., "Examining the Role of Collective Action in an Informal Seed System: A Case Study from the Central Valleys of Oaxaca, Mexico", *Human Ecology*, 2006, 34, 2, pp. 249-73.
- BAILEY S., and MATTEI U., "Social Movements as Constituent Power: The Italian Struggle for the Commons", *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, 2013, 20, 2, pp. 965-1013.
- BALAND J.-M., and PLATTEAU J.-P., "Inequality and Collective Action in the Commons", *CRED, University of Namur*, 1996, pp.
- , "Wealth Inequality and Efficiency in the Commons Part I: The Unregulated Case", *Oxford Economic Papers*, 1997, 49, 4, pp. 451-82.
- , "Division of the Commons: A Partial Assessment of the New Institutional Economics of Land Rights", *American journal of agricultural economics*, 1998, 80, 3, pp. 644-50.
- , "Wealth Inequality and Efficiency in the Commons, Part II: The Regulated Case", *Oxford Economic Papers*, 1998, 50, 1, pp. 1-22.
- , "The Ambiguous Impact of Inequality on Local Resource Management", *World Development*, 1999, 27, 5, pp. 773-88.
- BARET P., MARCQ P., MAYER C., and PADEL S., 2015, *Research and Organic Farming in Europe*, Earth & Life Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium and Organic Research Centre, UK
- BARTON J.H., "Intellectual Property Management", *Biotechnology for Developing-Country Agriculture: Problems and Opportunities - A 2020 Vision for Food, Agriculture, and the Environment*, 1999, Focus 2, pp.
- BASLAR K., *The Concept of the Common Heritage of Mankind in International Law*, Developments in International Law V. 30, The Hague ; Boston Cambridge, MA, M. Nijhoff Publishers; Kluwer Law International, 1998.
- BATUR F. "Agrobiodiversity Conservation and Plant Improvement : Adjustments in Intellectual Property Rights Reclaiming the Public Domain Towards Sustainability and Equity." Université catholique de Louvain, 2014.
- BATUR F., and DEDEURWAERDERE T., "The Use of Agrobiodiversity for Plant Improvement and the Intellectual Property Paradigm: Institutional Fit and Legal Tools for Mass Selection, Conventional and Molecular Plant Breeding", *Life Sciences, Society and Policy*, 2014, 10, 1, pp. 14.
- BECHTOLD B., "Introduction: Beyond Hardin and Ostrom New Heterodox Research on the Commons", *Review of Radical Political Economics*, 2015, pp. 0486613415586975.
- BELLON M.R., HODSON D., and HELLIN J., "Assessing the Vulnerability of Traditional Maize Seed Systems in Mexico to Climate Change", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 2011, 108, 33, pp. 13432-37.
- BENKLER Y., "Overcoming Agoraphobia: Building the Commons of the Digitally Networked Environment", *Harv. JL & Tech.*, 1997, 11, pp. 287.
- , "Freedom in the Commons: Towards a Political Economy of Information", *Duke Law Journal*, 2003, 52, 6, pp. 1245-76.
- . "The Wealth of Networks. How Social Production Transform Markets and Freedom." Yale University, 2006.
- BENTE G., RÜGGENBERG S., KRÄMER N.C., and ESCHENBURG F., "Avatar-Mediated Networking: Increasing Social Presence and Interpersonal Trust in Net-Based Collaborations", *Human communication research*, 2008, 34, 2, pp. 287-318.
- BERG T., BJORNSTAD A., FOWLER C., and SKROPPA J., "Technology and the Gene Struggle", *NORAGRIC Occasional Paper - Series C, Development and Environment*, Norwegian Centre for International Agricultural Development, Agricultural University of Norway, 1991, pp.
- BERGE E., and VAN LAERHOVEN F., *Governing the Commons for Two Decades: A Complex Story*, Vol. 5, 2011, 2011.

- , "Governing the Commons for Two Decades: A Complex Story", *International Journal of the Commons*, 2011, 5, 2, pp. 160-87.
- BERKES F., COLDING J., and FOLKE C., *Navigating Social-Ecological Systems: Building Resilience for Complexity and Change*, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- BERNARD H.R., *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*, Sage, 2012.
- BERTACCHINI E. "Seeds and Semicommons." 47.
- . "Biotechnologies, Seeds and Semicommons." 2007.
- , "Coase, Pigou and the Potato: Whither Farmers' Rights?", *Ecological Economics - Elsevier*, 2008, 68, 1-2, pp. 183.
- , 2009, Contractually Constructed Research Commons: A Critical Economic Appraisal, Global Science and the Economics of Knowledge-sharing Institutions (G-SEKSI)
- BERTACCHINI E.E. "Property Rights and Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture." 2008.
- BEVILACQUA D., and DUNCAN J., "Towards a New Cosmopolitanism: Global Reflexive Interactive Democracy as a New Mechanism for Civil Society Participation in Agri-Food Governance", *Global Jurist - Advances*, 2010, 10, 1, pp.
- BIBER-KLEMM S., and COTTIER T. (eds), *Rights to Plant Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge. Basic Issues and Perspectives*, Berne, CABI, 2005.
- BJORNSTAD I.B., 2004, Breakthrough for 'the South'? An Analysis of the Recognition of Farmers' Rights in the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Fridtjof Nansen Institute, FNI Report 13/2004
- BLAKENEY M., 2011, Patents and Plant Breeding: Implications for Food Security, VU University Amsterdam
- , 2011, Trends in Intellectual Property Rights Relating to Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture,
- BLOMQUIST W., and OSTROM E., "Institutional Capacity and the Resolution of a Commons Dilemma", *Review of Policy Research*, 1985, 5, 2, pp. 383-94.
- BLONDIAUX L., *Le Nouvel Esprit De La Démocratie: Actualité De La Démocratie Participative*, Seuil Paris, 2008.
- BOCCI R., CHABLE V., KASTLER G., and LOUWAARS N., "Farm Seed Opportunities, Recommendations for on-Farm Conservation in Europe" In MAXTED N., DULLOO M. E., FORD-LLOYD B. V., FRESE L., IRIONDO J. AND DE CARVALHO M. A. P. (ed.) *Agrobiodiversity Conservation Securing the Diversity of Crop Wild Relatives*, CABI, 2011.
- BOLLIER D., "The Growth of the Commons Paradigm", *Understanding knowledge as a commons*, 2007, pp. 27.
- , *Think Like a Commoner: A Short Introduction to the Life of the Commons*, New Society Publishers, 2014.
- BOLLIER D., and HELFRICH S., *The Wealth of the Commons: A World Beyond Market and State*, Levellers Press, 2014.
- , *Patterns of Commoning*, Commons Strategy Group and Off the Common Press, 2015.
- BONADIO E., "Crop Breeding and Intellectual Property in the Global Village", *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2007, 29, 5, pp. 167.
- BONNEUIL C., DEMEULENAERE E., THOMAS F., JOLY P.-B., ALLAIRE G., and GOLDRINGER I., "Innover Autrement? La Recherche Face À L'avènement D'un Nouveau Régime De Production Et De Régulation Des Savoirs En Génétique Végétale", *Dossiers de l'environnement de l'INRA*, 2006, 30, pp. 29-51.
- BORDWIN H.J., "The Legal and Political Implications of the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic-Resources", *Ecology Law Quarterly*, 1985, 12, 4, pp. 1053-69.
- BORDWIN H.J., "The Legal and Political Implications of the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources", *Ecology Law Quarterly*, 1985, 12, pp. 1053-69.
- BOSELMANN K., "Plants and Politics: The International Legal Regime Concerning Biotechnology and Biodiversity", *Colorado Journal of International Environmental Law and Policy*, 1996, 7, 1, pp.

- BOWIE N., "The Moral Obligations of Multinational Corporations", *Problems of international justice*, 1988, 97, pp. 113.
- BOYLE J., *Shamans, Software, and Spleens : Law and the Construction of the Information Society*, Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1996.
- , "Foreword: The Opposite of Property?", *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 2003, 66, Winter/Spring 2003, 1&2, pp. 32.
- , "The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain", *Law & Contemporary Problems*, 2003, 66, 1/2, pp. 42p.
- , *The Public Domain : Enclosing the Commons of the Mind*, New Haven, Yale University Press, 2008.
- (eds), *The Public Domain. Enclosing the Commons of the Mind*, 2008.
- BRAGDON S., "International Law of Relevance to Plant Genetic Resources: A Practical Review for Scientists and Other Professionals Working with Plant Genetic Resources", *Issues in Genetic Resources*, 2004, 10, pp.
- BRAHY N. "The Property Regime of Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge : Institutions for Conservation and Innovation." Université catholique de Louvain, 2006.
- , *The Property Regime of Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge*, Bruxelles, Larcier, 2008.
- , *The Property Regime of Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge : Institutions for Conservation and Innovation*, Droit/Économie International, Bruxelles, Larcier, 2008.
- BRAUNSCHWEIG T., MEIENBERG F., PIONETTI C., and SHASHIKANT S., 2014, Owing Seeds, Accessing Food - a Human Rights Impact Assessment of Upov 1991 Based on Case Studies in Kenya, Peru and the Philippines, The Berne Declaration
- BRAVO G., and DE MOOR T., "The Commons in Europe: From Past to Future", *International Journal of the Commons*, 2008, 2, 2, pp.
- BROUSSEAU E., DEDEURWAERDERE T., JOUVET P.-A., and WILLINGER M., *Global Environmental Commons: Analytical and Political Challenges in Building Governance Mechanisms*, Oxford University Press, 2012.
- BRUINS M., 2009, The Evolution and Contribution of Plant Breeding and Related Technologies in the Future, Proceedings of the Second World Seed Conference, Rome, September 8-10, 2009
- BRUSH S.B., "Genetically Modified Organisms in Peasant Farming: Social Impact and Equity", *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, 2001, 9, 1, pp. 135-62.
- , "Farmers' Bounty Locating Crop Diversity in the Contemporary World", *Yale agrarian studies series*, 2004, pp.
- , "Heritage Protection: Seeking a Middle Ground", *Current Anthropology*, 2004, 45, 5, pp. 4p.
- , "Protecting Traditional Agricultural Knowledge", *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy*, 2005, 17, pp. 59.
- , "Farmers' Rights and Protection of Traditional Agricultural Knowledge", *World Development*, 2007, 35, 9, pp. 1499-514.
- BUCHANAN James M., and YOON Yong J., "Symmetric Tragedies: Commons and Anticommons", *Journal of Law and Economics*, 2000, 43, 1, pp. 1-14.
- BUCK M., "The Science Commons Project Approach to Facilitate the Exchange of Biological Research Material. Implications for an International System to Track Genetic Resources, Associated User Conditions and Traditional Knowledge", 2006, pp. 6.
- BUCK M., and HAMILTON C., "The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 2011, 20, 1, pp. 47-61.
- BUCK S.J., *The Global Commons: An Introduction*, Island Press, 1998.

- BURCH F., FANZO J., and FRISON E., "The Role of Food and Nutrition System Approaches in Tackling Hidden Hunger", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2011, 8 pp. 16.
- BURRIS S., DRAHOS P., and SHEARING C., "Nodal Governance", *Australian Journal of Legal Philosophy*, 2005, 30, pp. 30-58.
- BYERLEE D., and DUBIN H.J., "Crop Improvement in the Cgiar as a Global Success Story of Open Access and International Collaboration", *International Journal of the Commons*, 2010, 4, 1, pp. 452-80.
- CADIEUX K.V., and BLUMBERG R., "Food Security in Systemic Context", *Encyclopedia of Food and Agricultural Ethics*, 2014, pp. 979-88.
- CALABRESI G., and MELAMED D., "Property Rules, Liability Rules, and Inalienability: One View of the Cathedral", *Harvard Law Review*, 1972, 85, 6, pp. 39.
- CAMPENHOUDT L.V., and QUIVY R., *Manuel De Recherche En Sciences Sociales*, Paris, Dunod, 2006.
- CANDOLLE A.d., *Origine Des Plantes Cultivées*, Bibliothèque Scientifique Internationale, Xliii, Paris,, G. Baillière et cie, 1883.
- CAPLAT J., *Changeons D'agriculture-Réussir La Transition*, Paris, Actes Sud, 2014.
- CAPRA F., and MATTEI U., *The Ecology of Law : Toward a Legal System in Tune with Nature and Community* First edition. ed, Berrett-Koehler, 2015.
- CGIAR. "Consortion Constitution." edited by CGIAR, 2010.
- CHANG H.-J., "Rethinking Public Policy in Agriculture: Lessons from History, Distant and Recent", *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 2009, 36, 3, pp. 477-515.
- CHARLES D., *Lords of the Harvest : Biotech, Big Money, and the Future of Food*, Cambridge, Mass., Perseus Publ., 2001.
- , "Seed Treaty Signed; U.S., Japan Abstain", *Science*, 2001, 294, 5545, pp. 2p.
- , "Seeds of Discontent", *Science*, 2001, 294, 5543, pp. 3p.
- CHAYES A., and CHAYES A.H., *The New Sovereignty : Compliance with International Regulatory Agreements* 1st Harvard University Press pbk. ed, Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1998.
- CHEN J., "There's No Such Thing as Biopiracy...And It's a Good", *McGeorge Law Review*, 2006, 37, 1, pp. 1.
- CHIAROLLA C., "Commodifying Agriculture Biodiversity and Developement-Related Issues", *Journal of world intellectual property*, 2006, 9, 1, pp. 25-60.
- , "Plant Patenting, Benefit Sharing and the Law Applicable to the Food and Agriculture Organisation Standard Material Transfer Agreement", *Journal of world intellectual property*, 2008, 11, 1, pp. 1-28.
- , *Intellectual Property, Agriculture and Global Food Security : The Privatization of Crop Diversity*, Cheltenham, UK ; Northampton, MA, Edward Elgar, 2011.
- CHIAROLLA C., and JUNG CURT S., 2011, Outsanding Issues on Access and Benefit Sharing under the Multilateral System of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture,
- CHRISTENSEN E., "A Proposal to Preserve Genetic Diversity for Future Generations", *Stanford Law Review*, 1987, 40, 1, pp. 279-321.
- CHRISTINCK A., and WALLOE TVEDT M. "The Upov Convention, Farmers' Rights and Human Rights." edited by (BMZ) F. M. F. E. C. A. D.: DeutscheGesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH, 2015.
- CIRIACY-WANTRUP S.V., and BISHOP R.C., "Common Property as a Concept in Natural Resources Policy", *Nat. Resources J.*, 1975, 15, pp. 713.
- CLAEYS P., "The Creation of New Rights by the Food Sovereignty Movement: The Challenge of Institutionalizing Subversion", *Sociology*, 2012, 46, 5, pp. 844-60.
- , "Food Sovereignty and the Recognition of New Rights for Peasants at the Un: A Critical Overview of La Via Campesina's Rights Claims over the Last 20 Years", *Globalizations*, 2014, pp. 1-14.



- . "Human Rights and the Food Sovereignty Movement. Reclaiming Control." PhD Thesis, Université catholique de Louvain, 2014.
- , "The Right to Food: Many Developments, More Challenges", *Canadian Food Studies/La Revue canadienne des études sur l'alimentation*, 2015, 2, 2, pp. 60-67.
- CLAEYS P., and LAMBEK N., "Introduction: In Search of Better Options: Food Sovereignty, the Right to Food and Legal Tools for Transforming Food Systems" In LAMBEK N., CLAEYS P., WONG A. AND BRILMAYER L. (ed.) *Rethinking Food Systems. Structural Challenges, New Strategies and the Law*, Dordrecht, Springer, 2014.
- CLAPP J., and FUCHS D.A., *Corporate Power in Global Agrifood Governance*, Food, Health, and the Environment, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press, 2009.
- CLARK C.W., "Profit Maximization and the Extinction of Animal Species", *The journal of political economy*, 1973, pp. 950-61.
- , "The Economics of Overexploitation", *Science*, 1974, 181, pp. 630-34.
- CLEVELAND D.A., SOLERI D., and SMITH S.E., "Do Folk Crop Varieties Have a Role in Sustainable Agriculture?", *BioScience*, 1994, pp. 740-51.
- COASE R.H., "The Problem of Social Cost", *Journal of Law and Economics*, 1960, 3, pp. p. 1-44.
- ÇOBAN A., "Caught between State-Sovereign Rights and Property Rights: Regulating Biodiversity", *Review of International Political Economy*, 2004, 11, 4, pp. 736-62.
- COCHRAN G., and HARPENDING H., *The 10,000 Year Explosion: How Civilization Accelerated Human Evolution*, Basic Books, 2009.
- "Concise Oxford English Dictionary ". Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- CONWAY G., and TOENNIESSEN G., "Feeding the World in the Twenty-First Century", *Nature*, 1999, 402, pp. C55.
- COOK-DEEGAN R., and DEDEURWAERDERE T., "The Science Commons in Life Science Research: Structure, Function, and Value of Access to Genetic Diversity", *International Social Science Journal*, 2006, 58, 188, pp. 299-317.
- COOLSAET B., "Transformative Participation in Agrobiodiversity Governance: Making the Case for an Environmental Justice Approach", *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 2015, 28, 6, pp. 1089-104.
- COOLSAET B., BATUR F., BROGGIATO A., PITSEYS J., and DEDEURWAERDERE T., *Implementing the Nagoya Protocol: Comparing Access and Benefit-Sharing Regimes in Europe*, Hoteli Publishing, 2015.
- COOMES O.T., MCGUIRE S.J., GARINE E., CAILLON S., MCKEY D., DEMEULENAERE E., JARVIS D., AISTARA G., BARNAUD A., and CLOUVEL P., "Farmer Seed Networks Make a Limited Contribution to Agriculture? Four Common Misconceptions", *Food Policy*, 2015, 56, pp. 41-50.
- COOPER D., "The International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 1993, 2, 2, pp. 158-66.
- , "The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law*, 2002, 11, 1, pp.
- COOPER D., ENGELS J., and FRISON E.A., *A Multilateral System for Plant Genetic Resources: Imperatives, Achievements and Challenges*, Bioersity International, 1994.
- CORDONIER SEGGER M.-C., PERRON-WELCH F., and FRISON C., *Legal Aspects of Implementing the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety*, Treaty Implementation for Sustainable Development, Cambridge ; New York, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- CORIAT B., "Le Retour Des Communs. Sources Et Origines D'un Programme De Recherche", *Revue de la régulation. Capitalisme, institutions, pouvoirs*, 2013, 14, pp.
- , *Le Retour Des Communs: & La Crise De L'idéologie Propriétaire*, Éditions Les Liens qui libèrent, 2015.
- CORREA C.M., "An Innovative Option for Benefit-Sharing Payment under the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture - Implementing Article 6.11 Crop-Related Modality of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F.

- AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 321.
- CORREA C.M., "Plant Genetic Resources under the Management and Control of the Contracting Parties and in the Public Domain" In HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I. L. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons - Challenges in International Law and Governance*, Oxon, Earthscan from Routledge and Bioversity International, 2012, 177-86.
- . "Plant Variety Protection in Developing Countries: A Tool for Designing a Sui Generis Plant Variety Protection System: An Alternative to Upov 1991." by: Association for Plant Breeding for the Benefit of Society (APBREBES) and its member organizations: Berne Declaration, The Development Fund, SEARICE and Third World Network, 2015.
- COUNCIL N.R., *Managing Global Genetic Resources: Agricultural Crop Issues and Policies*, Washington, DC, The National Academies Press, 1993.
- COX M., ARNOLD G., and TOMÁS S.V., "A Review of Design Principles for Community-Based Natural Resource Management", *Ecology and Society* 2010, 15, (4), pp. 38.
- CRAGG G.M., KATZ F., NEWMAN D.J., and ROSENTHAL J., *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2012, 29, pp. 1407.
- CRUCIBLE GROUP, *People, Plant and Patents. The Impact of the Intellectual Property on Biodiversity, Conservation, Trade and Rural Society*, Ottawa, International Development Research Centre, 1994.
- CRUCIBLE GROUP II, 2001, Options for National Laws Governing Control over Genetic Resources and Biological Innovations,
- CRUCIBLE GROUP II 2000, Policy Options for Genetic Resources: People, Plants and Patents Revisited,
- CUBERO J.I., "Plant Breeders - the Point of View of a Plant Breeder on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 197-208.
- CULLET P., "Revision of the Trips Agreement Concerning the Protection of Plant Varieties", *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 1999, 2, 4, pp. 617-56.
- , "Plant Variety Protection in Africa: Towards Compliance with the Trips Agreement", *Journal of African Law*, 2001, 45, 01, pp. 97-122.
- , *Food Security and Intellectual Property Rights in Developing Countries*, RIBios (Réseau interdisciplinaire biosécurité): Institut universitaire d'études du développement (IUED), 2004.
- , "Intellectual Property Rights and Food Security in the South", *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2004, 7, 3, pp. 261-86.
- , "Seeds Regulation, Food Security and Sustainable Development", *Economic and Political Weekly*, 2005, pp. 3607-13.
- , "Human Rights and Intellectual Property Protection in the Trips Era", *Human Rights Quarterly*, 2007, 29, pp. 403 - 30.
- CULLET P., and KOLLURU R., "Plant Variety Protection and Farmers' Rights-Towards a Broader Understanding", *Delhi Law Review*, 2003, 2, pp. 41-59.
- CULLET P., and RAJA J., "Intellectual Property Rights and Biodiversity Management: The Case of India", *Global Environmental Politics*, 2004, 4, 1, pp. 97-114.
- DANI A., "Il Concetto Giuridico Di "Beni Comuni" Tra Passato E Presente", *Historia et ius*, 2014, pp.
- DARDOT P., and LAVAL C., "Du Public Au Commun", *Revue du MAUSS*, 2010, 35, 1, pp. 111-22.
- , *Commun: Essai Sur La Révolution Au Xxie Siècle*, la Découverte, 2014.
- DARWIN C., *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection*, London, J. Murray, 1859.

- DAWYNDT P., DEDEURWAERDERE T., and SWINGS J., 2006, Exploring the Microbiologicalcommons. Contributions of Bioinformatics and Intellectual Property Rights in Sharing Biological Information,
- DE ANGELIS M., "Reflections on Alternatives, Commons and Communities", *The Commoner*, 2003, 6, pp. 1-14.
- DE CARVALHO N.P., "From the Shaman's Hut to the Patent Office—in Search of Effective Protection for Traditional Knowledge", *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy*, 2005, 17, pp. 111-86.
- DE JONGE B., and LOUWAARS N., "The Diversity of Principles Underlying the Concept of Benefit Sharing" In WINTER G. AND KAMAU E. C. (ed.) *Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and the Law - Solutions for Access and Benefit Sharing*, London, Earthscan, 2009, 37-56.
- DE JONGE B., LOUWAARS N.P., and KINDERLERER J., "A Solution to the Controversy on Plant Variety Protection in Africa", *Nature biotechnology*, 2015, 33, 5, pp. 487-88.
- DE MOOR T., "The Silent Revolution: A New Perspective on the Emergence of Commons, Guilds, and Other Forms of Corporate Collective Action in Western Europe", *International review of social history*, 2008, 53, S16, pp. 179-212.
- , "Avoiding Tragedies: A Flemish Common and Its Commoners under the Pressure of Social and Economic Change During the Eighteenth Century<sup>1</sup>", *The Economic History Review*, 2009, 62, 1, pp. 1-22.
- , "Dossier « Le Champ Des Commons En Question : Perspectives Croisées » - from Common Pastures to Global Commons: A Historical Perspective on Interdisciplinary Approaches to Commons", *Natures Sciences Sociétés*, 2011, 19, 4, pp. 422-31.
- DE SCHUTTER O., 2008, Building Resilience: A Human Rights Framework for World Food and Nutrition Security, United Nations General Assembly
- , 2009, Agribusiness and the Right to Food United Nations General Assembly
- , "Governing World Food Security: A New Role for the Committee on World Food Security", *Right to Food and Nutrition Watch, Who Controls the Governance of the World Food System*, 2009, pp. 11-15.
- , 2009, The Role of the Right to Food in Achieving Sustainable Global Food Security,
- , 2009, Seed Policies and the Right to Food: Enhancing Agrobiodiversity and Encouraging Innovation, United Nations General Assembly
- . "Addressing Concentration in Food Supply Chains - the Role of Competition Law in Tackling the Abuse of Buyer Power." United Nations Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food, 2010.
- , 2010, Agroecology and the Right to Food, United Nations General Assembly
- , 2011, Towards More Equitable Value Chains: Alternative Business Models in Support of the Right to Food, United Nations
- . "The Reform of the Committee on World Food Security: The Quest for Coherence in Global Governance." In *CRIDHO Working Paper 2013/8*: UCLouvain, 2013.
- , 2015, La Cage Et Le Labyrinthe : S'évader De La Religion De La Croissance, 21ème Congrès des économistes belges de langue française
- DE SCHUTTER O., and VANLOQUEREN G., "The New Green Revolution: How Twenty-First-Century Science Can Feed the World", *Solutions Journal*, 2011, 2, 4, pp. 33.
- DE VISSCHER C., *Théories Et Réalités En Droit International Public*, A. Pedoné, 1955.
- DE VISSCHER C., *Problèmes D'interprétation Judiciaire En Droit International Public*, A. Pedone, 1963.
- DEDEURWAERDERE T., "The Institutional Economics of Sharing Biological Information", *International Social Science Journal*, 2006, 58, 188, pp. 351-68.
- (eds), *The Role of Law, Institutions and Governance in Facilitating Access to the Scientific Research Commons*, Edited by OVERWALLE G. V., Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2008.

- , "Institutionalizing Global Genetic Resource Commons: Towards Alternative Models for Facilitating Access in the Global Biodiversity Regime", *International Journal of Ecological Economics and Statistics*, 2010, pp.
- , *Self-Governance and International Regulation of the Global Microbial Commons: Introduction to the Special Issue on the Microbial Commons*, Vol. 4, Igitur, 2010.
- , "Design Principles of Successful Genetic-Resource Commons for Food and Agriculture", *International Journal of Ecological Economics and Statistics*, 2012, 26, 3, pp. 31-46.
- , "Institutionalizing Global Genetic Resource Commons for Food and Agriculture" In HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I. L. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons*, Oxon, Earthscan by Routledge - Bioversity International, 2013, 368.
- , *Sustainability Science for Strong Sustainability*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2014.
- DEDEURWAERDERE T., and COOK-DEEGAN R. (eds), *The Science Commons in Life Science Research: Structure, Function and Value of Access to Genetic Diversity*, Edited by UNESCO, Oxford, Blackwell Publishing, 2006.
- DEDEURWAERDERE T., IGLESIAS M., WEILAND S., and HALEWOOD M., "The Use and Exchange of Microbial Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *Commission on genetic resources for food and agriculture*, 2009, 46, pp. 67.
- DEL ANGEL-PÉREZ A.L., and ALFONSO M.B.M., "Totonac Homegardens and Natural Resources in Veracruz, Mexico", *Agriculture and Human Values*, 2004, 21, 4, pp. 329-46.
- DEMEULENAERE E., "A Political Ontology of Seeds: The Transformative Frictions of a Farmers' Movement in Europe", *Focaal*, 2014, 2014, 69, pp. 45-61.
- DEMEULENAERE É., and GOULET F., "Du Singulier Au Collectif. Agriculteurs Et Objects De La Nature Dans Les Réseaux D'agricultures "Alternatives"", *Terrains & travaux*, 2012, 1, pp. 121-38.
- DEMSETZ H., "Toward a Theory of Property Rights", *The American Economic Review*, 1967, 57, 2, pp. 347-59.
- DEMSETZ H., "Toward a Theory of Property Rights II: The Competition between Private and Collective Ownership", *Journal of Legal Studies*, 2002, 31, 2, pp. S653-S72.
- DESCHUTTER O., 2009, *The Role of the Right to Food in Achieving Sustainable Global Food Security*,
- DHAR B., 2002, *Sui Generis Systems for Plant Variety Protection*,
- DINH T.T.V. "Le Traité International Sur Les Ressources Phytogénétiques Pour L'alimentation Et L'agriculture: Instrument Innovant Pour La Gestion De L'agro-Phytodiversité." Université de Limoges, 2010.
- DIRZO R., and RAVEN P.H., "Global State of Biodiversity and Loss", *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 2003, 28, 1, pp. 137-67.
- DJOGHLAF A. "Message of Dr Ahmed Djoghla, the New Executive Secretary of the Convention on Biological Diversity, to the Environmental Ngos of Our Planet." Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, 2006.
- DORWARD A., KYDD J., MORRISON J., and UREY I., "A Policy Agenda for Pro-Poor Agricultural Growth", *World Development*, 2004, 32, 1, pp. 73-89.
- DRAHOS P., *A Philosophy of Intellectual Property*, Aldershot ; Brookfield, USA, Dartmouth, 1996.
- , "Securing the Future of Intellectual Property: Intellectual Property Owners and Their Nodally Co-Ordinated Enforcement Pyramid.", *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 2004, 36, pp. 53-77.
- , "A Defence of the Intellectual Commons", *Consumer Policy Review*, 2006, 16, pp. 2-5.
- DRAHOS P., and BRAITHWAITE J., *Information Feudalism: Who Owns the Knowledge Economy?*, Earthscan, 2002.
- DRUCKER A., and CARACCILO F., "The Economic Value of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In MOELLER N. I. AND STANNARD C. (ed.) *Identifying Benefit Flows. Studies on*

- the Potential Monetary and Non-Monetary Benefits Arising from the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Rome, FAO-Treaty Secretariat, 2012.
- DULLOO E., "Conservation and Availability of Plant Genetic Diversity: Innovative Strategies and Technologies" Paper presented at the IV International Symposium on Plant Genetic Resources, Brisbane, 2015.
- DUTFIELD G. (eds), *Intellectual Property, Biogenetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge*, London, Earthscan, 2004.
- DUTFIELD G., "Turning Plant Varieties into Intellectual Property: The Upov Convention", *The Future Control of Food: A guide to international negotiations and rules on intellectual property, biodiversity and food security*, 2008, pp. 27 - 47.
- DUTFIELD G., and SUTHERSANEN U., *Global Intellectual Property Law*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 2008.
- EBHOJIE K.E., "Patently Waiting for Sui Generis Rights: Systemic Biopiracy and Nigerian Traditional Knowledge in Vernonia Amygdalina", *Available at SSRN 2285684*, 2013, pp.
- EDELMAN M., *Peasants against Globalization: Rural Social Movements in Costa Rica*, Stanford University Press, 1999.
- "Editorial. Growing Access to Phenotype Data", *Nature Genetics*, February 2015, 47, 2, pp.
- EGZIABHER T.B.G., MATOS E., and MWILA G., "The African Regional Group: Creating Fair Play between North and South" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security : Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC., Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 41-56.
- ELLEN R., and PLATTEN S., "The Social Life of Seeds: The Role of Networks of Relationships in the Dispersal and Cultural Selection of Plant Germplasm", *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 2011, 17, 3, pp. 563-84.
- ELLICKSON R.C., "Law and Economics Discovers Social Norms", *The Journal of Legal Studies*, 1998, 27, S2, pp. 537-52.
- ENGELS J.M.M., DEMPEWOLF H., and HENSON-APOLLONIO V., "Ethical Considerations in Agro-Biodiversity Research, Collecting, and Use", *Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Ethics*, 2011, 24, 2, pp. 107-26.
- ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J., "Protecting Crop Genetic Diversity for Food Security: Political, Ethical and Technical Challenges", *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 2005, 6, 12, pp. 946-53.
- ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J., and HILMI A., "Las Negociaciones Del Tratado Internacional Sobre Los Recursos Fitogeneticos Para La Alimentation Y La Agricultura", *Recursos Naturales y Ambiente*, 53, pp. 20-29.
- ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J.T., "The Global System on Plant Genetic Resources", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 1993, 2, 2, pp. 151.
- ETC GROUP, December 2015, Breaking Bad: Big Ag Mega-Mergers in Play Dow + Dupont in the Pocket? Next: Demonsanto?,  
 ———, January/February 2012, The Greed Revolution. Mega Foundations, Agribusiness Muscle in on Public Goods,
- EVANS P.B., JACOBSON H.K., and PUTNAM R.D., *Double-Edged Diplomacy: International Bargaining and Domestic Politics*, Univ of California Press, 1993.
- EVENSON R.E., "Agricultural Research and Intellectual Property Rights" In MASKUS K. E. AND REICHMAN J. H. (ed.) *International Public Goods and Transfer of Technology under a Globalized Intellectual Property Regime*, Cambridge, UK ; New York, Cambridge University Press, 2005, 188-216.
- EVENSON R.E., and GOLLIN D., "Assessing the Impact of the Green Revolution, 1960 to 2000", *Science*, 2003, 300, 5620, pp. 758-62.
- FALCON W.P., and FOWLER C., "Carving up the Commons - Emergence of a New International Regime for Germplasm Development and Transfer", *Food Policy*, 2002, 27, 3, pp. 26.

- FAO, 1996, Report of the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Third Extraordinary Session,
- , 1996, The State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization
- , 1998, The State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization
- , 2001, Transaction Costs of Germplasm Exchange under Bilateral Agreements, 2001
- , 2010, Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization
- , 2011, Second Global Plan of Action for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, FAO
- , 2014, The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2014 - Strengthening the Enabling Environment for Food Security and Nutrition, Food and Agriculture Organization
- FENNELL L.A., "Commons, Anticommons, Semicommons", *RESEARCH HANDBOOK ON THE ECONOMICS OF PROPERTY LAW*, Kenneth Ayotte, Henry E. Smith, eds., Edward Elgar, 2011, 2010, pp.
- FENNELL L.A., "Ostrom's Law: Property Rights in the Commons", *International Journal of the Commons*, 2011, 5, 1, pp. 9-27.
- FOOTER M.E., "Intellectual Property and Agrobiodiversity: Towards Private Ownership of the Genetic Commons", *Yearbook of International Environmental Law*, 2000, 10, 1, pp. 48-81.
- FOQUÉ R., "Grondslagen En Methoden Van Juridisch Onderwijs", *Law and Method*, 2012, 2, 2, pp. 6-24.
- FORESIGHT. "The Future of Food and Farming: Challenges and Choices for Global sustainability." London: The Government Office for Science (GO-Science), 2011.
- FOWLER C., Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1994.
- FOWLER C., *Unnatural Selection : Technology, Politics, and Plant Evolution*, International Studies in Global Change,, Switzerland ; Langhorne, Pa. , U.S.A. /, Gordon and Breach, 1994.
- FOWLER C., and HODGKIN T., "Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: Assessing Global Availability", *Annual Review of Environment & Resources*, 2004, 29, 1, pp. 143-79.
- FOWLER C., and MOONEY P.R., *Shattering : Food, Politics, and the Loss of Genetic Diversity*, Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1990.
- FRANKEL O.H., "Genetic Conservation in Perspective" In FRANKEL O. H. AND BENNETT E. (ed.) *Genetic Resources in Plants—Their Exploration and Conservation*, London, Int. Biol. Program./ Blackwell, 1970.
- FRANKEL O.H., and HAWKES J.G., *Crop Genetic Resources for Today and Tomorrow*, International Biological Programme 2, Cambridge Eng. ; New York, Cambridge University Press, 1975.
- FREEMAN R.E., *Strategic Management : A Stakeholder Approach* Digitally print.. ed, Cambridge {[u.a.], Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010.
- FRIEDMAN A.L., and MILES S., "Developing Stakeholder Theory", *Journal of Management Studies*, 2002, 39, 1, pp. 1-21.
- FRISON C., "The Principles of Sustainable Development in the Context of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources in Food and Agriculture", *McGill International Journal of Sustainable Development Law & Policy*, 2006, 2, 2, pp.
- FRISON C., and CLAEYS P., "Right to Food in International Law" In THOMPSON P. AND KAPLAN D. (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Food and Agricultural Ethics*, Springer Netherlands, 2014, 1617-24.
- FRISON C., and DEDEURWAERDERE T., 2006, Access to, Conservation and Use of Biological Diversity in the General Interest, Federal Public Service of Public Health, the Safety of the Food Chain and the Environment
- FRISON C., DEDEURWAERDERE T., and HALEWOOD M., "Intellectual Property and Facilitated Access to Genetic Resources under the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2010, 32, 1, pp.

- FRISON C., and JOIE T., "Elaboration D'une Reglementation De Biosecurite Par Certains Pays En Developpement: Experiences Dans La Mise En Oeuvre Du Protocole De Cartagena En Afrique De L'ouest", *Law Env't & Dev. J.*, 2006, 2, pp. 164.
- , "Expériences Sur L'élaboration De Nouvelles Lois De Développement De La Biosécurité Et De La Biotechnologie: Perspectives De Réformes Légales En Afrique De L'ouest" In MCINERNEY T. F. (ed.) *Searching for Success: Narrative Accounts of Legal and Institutional Reform in Developing Countries*, Rome, International Development Law Organization IDLO, 2006.
- FRISON C., LÓPEZ F., and ESQUINAS-ALCÁZAR J., T. (eds), *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security : Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Edited by INTERNATIONAL E. A. B., Issues in Agricultural Biodiversity, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011.
- FRISON C., LÓPEZ F., and ESQUINAS-ALCÁZAR J.T., "General Conclusions: Summary of Stakeholders' Views and Suggestions to Cope with the Challenges in the Implementation of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCÁZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security. Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Oxon, Earthscan and Bioversity International, 2011.
- FRISON E., and HODGKIN T., "Strategic Opportunities to Strengthen Community-Based Approaches to Seed Agrobiodiversity" In THE GLOBAL ALLIANCE FOR THE FUTURE OF FOOD (ed.) *The Future of Food: Seeds of Resilience - a Compendium of Perspectives on Agricultural Biodiversity from around the World*, 2016.
- FRISON E.A., CHERFAS J., and HODGKIN T., "Agricultural Biodiversity Is Essential for a Sustainable Improvement in Food and Nutrition Security", *Sustainability*, 2011, 3, pp. 238.
- GARFORTH K., and CABRERA MEDAGLIA J., "Legal Reform for the Development and Implementation of Measures on Access to Genetic Resources and Benefit-Sharing" In (ed.) *Searching for Success - Narrative Accounts of Legal Reform in Developing and Transition Countries*, Rome, International Development Law Organization, 2006, 139-49.
- GARFORTH K., and FRISON C., 2007, Key Issues for the Relationship between the Convention on Biological Diversity and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Quaker International Affairs Programme
- GARFORTH K., LOPEZ-NORIEGA I., CABRERA MEDAGLIA J., NNADOZIE K., and NEMOGÁ G.R., 2005, Overview of the National and Regional Implementation of Access to Genetic Resources and Benefit-Sharing Measures, Centre for International Sustainable Development Law
- GEPTS P., "Crop Domestication as a Long-Term Selection Experiment", *Plant Breeding Reviews*, 2004, Vol. 24, 2, pp. 1 - 44.
- GEPTS P., "Who Owns Biodiversity, and How Should the Owners Be Compensated?", *Plant Physiology*, 2004, 134, 4, pp. 1295-307.
- GERBASI F., "Overview of the Regional Approaches - the Negotiating Process of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 321.
- GERSTETTER C., GÖRLACH B., NEUMANN K., and SCHAFFRIN D., "The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture within the Current Legal Regime Complex on Plant Genetic Resources", *Journal of world intellectual property*, 2007, 10, 3/4, pp.
- GIANARIS W.N., "Weighted Voting in the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank", *Fordham International Law Journal*, 1990, 14, 4, pp. 35.
- GINTIS H., BOWLES S., BOYD R., and FEHR E. (eds), *Moral Sentiments and Material Interests: The Foundations of Cooperation in Economic Life*, Vol. 6, MIT press, 2005.

- GIRARD C., and LE GOFF A., *La Démocratie Délibérative: Anthologie De Textes Fondamentaux*, Hermann, 2010.
- GIRARD F., and NOUVILLE C., "Propriété Industrielle Et Biotechnologies Végétales: La Nova Atlantis", *Revue internationale de droit économique*, 2014, 28, 1, pp. 59-109.
- GLOWKA L., "Emerging Legislative Approaches to Implement Article 15 of the Convention on Biological Diversity", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 1997, 6, 3, pp. 249-62.
- GOLDIE L.F.E., "Note on Some Diverse Meanings of the Common Heritage of Mankind", *Syracuse Journal of International Law and Commerce*, 1983, 10, pp.
- GONZALEZ C.G., "Climate Change, Food Security, and Agrobiodiversity: Toward a Just, Resilient, and Sustainable Food System", *Fordham Environmental Law Review*, Vol. 22, p. 493, 2011, 2011, pp.
- , "Bridging the North-South Divide: International Environmental Law in the Anthropocene", *PACE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW REVIEW*, 2015, 32, pp. 28.
- GOTOR E., and CARACCIOLO F., *An Empirical Assessment of the Effects of the 1994 in Trust Agreements on Irri Germplasm Acquisition and Distribution*, Vol. 4, Igitur, 2009.
- GRAIN, "The End of Farm-Saved Seed?", *GRAIN Briefing*, 2007, pp.
- GREIBER T., JANKI M., ORELLANA M., SAVARESI A., and SHELTON D.L., 2015, Conservation with Justice: A Rights-Based Approach,
- GUIRAMAND M., MOELLER N.I., and MARINO M., "Plant Breeding and the Use of the Standard Material Transfer Agreement: Consultation with Plant Breeding Experts" In MOELLER N. I. AND STANNARD C. (ed.) *Identifying Benefit Flows. Studies on the Potential Monetary and Non-Monetary Benefits Arising from the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Rome, FAO-Treaty Secretariat, 2012.
- GUTWIRTH S., and STENGERS I., "Le Droit À L'épreuve De La Résurgence Des Commons", 2016, pp.
- HAAS E.B., "Is There a Hole in the Whole? Knowledge, Technology, Interdependence, and the Construction of International Regimes", *International Organization*, 1975, 29, 3, pp. 827-76.
- HADIPRAYITNO I., "Food Security", *Encyclopedia of Food and Agricultural Ethics*, 2014, pp. 957-64.
- HADORN G.H., BRADLEY D., POHL C., RIST S., and WIESMANN U., "Implications of Transdisciplinarity for Sustainability Research", *Ecological Economics*, 2006, 60, 1, pp. 119-28.
- HALEWOOD M., 2000, The Crucible Group Experience, GFAR
- , "Governing the Management and Use of Pooled Microbial Genetic Resources: Lessons from the Global Crop Commons", *International Journal of the Commons*, 2010, 4, 1, pp. 33.
- , "What Kind of Goods Are Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture? Towards the Identification and Development of a New Global Commons", *International Journal of the Commons*, 2013, 7, 2, pp. 278-312.
- , "International Efforts to Pool and Conserve Crop Genetic Resources in Times of Radical Legal Change" In CIMOLI M., DOSI G., MASKUS K. E., OKEDIJI R. L., REICHMAN J. H. AND STIGLITZ J. E. (ed.) *Intellectual Property Rights. Legal and Economic Challenges for Development*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2014, 288-322.
- HALEWOOD M., ANDRIEUX E., CRISSON L., and GAPUSI J.R., "Implementing Mutually Supportive Access and Benefit Sharing Mechanisms under the Plant Treaty, Convention on Biological Diversity, and Nagoya Protocol", *Law Env't & Dev. J.*, 2013, 9, pp. 68.
- HALEWOOD M., and NNADOZIE K., "Giving Priority to the Commons: The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In TANSEY G. AND RAJOTTE T. (ed.) *The Future Control of Food - a Guide to International Negotiations and Rules on Intellectual Property, Biodiversity and Food Security*, London, Earthscan, 2008, 26.
- HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I.L., and LOUAFI S., *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons: Challenges in International Law and Governance*, Routledge, 2012.



- HALPERIN J.-L., "Law in Books and Law in Action: The Problem of Legal Change", *Maine Law Review*, 2011, 64, pp. 45.
- HAMILTON C., "Biodiversity, Biopiracy and Benefits: What Allegations of Biopiracy Tell Us About Intellectual Property", *Developing world bioethics*, 2006, 6, 3, pp. 158-73.
- HARDIN G., "The Tragedy of the Commons", *Science*, 1968, 162, 3859, pp.
- HARDOON D., "Wealth: Having It All and Wanting More. Oxfam International" Paper presented at the World Economic Forum, 2015.
- HARLAN J.R., *Crops & Man*, Foundations for Modern Crop Science Series, Madison, Wis., American Society of Agronomy, 1975.
- , *The Living Fields : Our Agricultural Heritage*, Cambridge [England] ; New York, NY, USA, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- HAUGEN H.M., "The Right to Food, Farmers' Rights and Intellectual Property Rights: Can Competing Law Be Reconciled?" In (ed.) *Rethinking Food Systems*, Springer, 2014, 195-218.
- , "Inappropriate Processes and Unbalanced Outcomes: Plant Variety Protection in Africa Goes Beyond Upov 1991 Requirements", *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2015, 18, 5, pp. 196-216.
- HAWTIN G., and FOWLER C., "The Global Crop Diversity Trust - an Essential Element of the Treaty's Funding Strategy" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 321.
- HEALD P.J., and CHAPMAN S., "Veggie Tales: Pernicious Myths About Patents, Innovation, and Crop Diversity in the Twentieth Century", *U. Ill. L. Rev.*, 2012, 11, 03, pp. 1051.
- HECQUET C. "Comment Faire Circuler Les Semences? Enjeux Et Perspectives Pour Les Alternatives." 2015.
- HEFLER L.R., "Using Intellectual Property Rights to Preserve the Global Genetic Commons: The Itpgrfa" In (ed.), 8.
- HELPER L., Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, 2002.
- HELPER L.R., 2004, *International Property Rights in Plant Varieties: International Legal Regimes and Policy Options for National Governments*, FAO
- , "Using Intellectual Property Rights to Preserve the Global Genetic Commons: The Itpgrfa" In MASKUS K. AND REICHMAN J. (ed.) *International Public Goods and Transfer of Technology under a Globalized Intellectual Property Regime*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2005, 8.
- HELPER L.R., "Mapping the Interface between Human Rights and Intellectual Property" In GEIGER C. (ed.) *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Intellectual Property*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, 2015, 6-18.
- HELLER M., *The Gridlock Economy: How Too Much Ownership Wrecks Markets, Stops Innovation, and Costs Lives*, Basic Books, 2010.
- HELLER M.A., "The Tragedy of the Anticommons: Property in the Transition from Marx to Markets", *Harvard Law Review*, 1998, 111, pp. 621.
- HELLER M.A., and DAGANT H., "The Liberal Commons", *Yale Law Journal*, 2001, 110, pp. 549.
- HELLER M.A., and EISENBERG R.S., "Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research", *Science*, 1998, 280, 5364, pp. 698-701.
- HENRY A.D., and DIETZ T., *Information, Networks, and the Complexity of Trust in Commons Governance*, Vol. 5, 2011, 2011.
- HERDT R.W. "Enclosing the Global Plant Genetic Commons." edited by FOUNDATION T. R.: Institute for International Studies, Stanford University, 1999, January 14.
- HESS C., "Mapping the New Commons", *SSRN eLibrary*, 2008, pp.
- HESS C., and OSTROM E., "Ideas, Artifacts, and Facilities: Information as a Common-Pool Resource", *Law and contemporary problems*, 2003, 66, 1/2, pp. 111-45.

- , "A Framework for Analysing the Microbiological Commons", *International Social Science Journal*, 2006, 58, 188, pp. 335-49.
- , *Understanding Knowledge as a Commons: From Theory to Practice*, Cambridge, MA, MIT Press, 2007.
- HO C.M., "Biopiracy and Beyond: A Consideration of Socio-Cultural Conflicts with Global Patent Policies.", *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform*, 2006, Vol. 39, pp.
- HOLDER J.B., and FLESSAS T., "Emerging Commons", *Social & Legal Studies*, 2008, 17, 3, pp. 299-310.
- HOPE J., Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- HORTON A., 2010, Analysis of World Bank Voting Reforms. Governance Remains Illegitimate and Outdated
- HOWARD P.H., "Visualizing Consolidation in the Global Seed Industry: 1996–2008", *Sustainability*, 2009, 1, 4, pp. 1266-87.
- HUBACEK K., and MAUERHOFER V., "Future Generations: Economic, Legal and Institutional Aspects", *Futures*, 2008, 40, 5, pp. 413-23.
- HUGHES B.B., "Local Commons and Global Interdependence: Heterogeneity and Cooperation in Two Domains - Keohane, R., Ostrom, E.", *American Political Science Review*, 1997, 91, 1, pp. 236-36.
- IDRC, "People, Plant and Patents. The Impact of the Intellectual Property on Biodiversity, Conservation, Trade and Rural Society", 1994, pp.
- IFAD, 2011, The Rural Poverty Report 2011, International Fund for Agricultural Development
- IPEF-FOOD, 2016, From Uniformity to Diversity: A Paradigm Shift from Industrial Agriculture to Diversified Agroecological Systems, International Panel of Experts on Sustainable Food systems
- IPGRI, "Access to Plant Genetic Resources and the Equitable Sharing of Benefits: A Contribution to the Debate on Systems for the Exchange of Germplasm", *Issues in Genetic Resources*, 1996, 4, pp.
- ISAAC G.E., and KERR W.A., "Bioprospecting or Biopiracy?", *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2004, 7, 1, pp. 35-52.
- IUCN, *Assessing Biodiversity and Sharing the Benefits : Lessons from Implementing the Convention on Biological Diversity* Edited by CARRIZOSA S., BRUSH S. B., WRIGHT B. D. AND MCGUIRE P., Iucn Environmental Policy and Law Paper, Cambridge, UK, IUCN-The World Conservation Union, 2004.
- JAFFEE S., and SRIVASTAVA J., "The Roles of the Private and Public Sectors in Enhancing the Performance of Seed Systems", *The World Bank Research Observer*, 1994, pp. 97-117.
- JARVIS D.I., HODGKIN T., STHAPIT B.R., FADDA C., and LOPEZ-NORIEGA I., "An Heuristic Framework for Identifying Multiple Ways of Supporting the Conservation and Use of Traditional Crop Varieties within the Agricultural Production System", *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 2011, 30, 1-2, pp. 125-76.
- JOHNSTON S., "Conservation Role of Botanic Gardens and Gene Banks", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 1993, 2, 2, pp. 172-81.
- , "The Convention on Biological Diversity: The Next Phase", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 1997, 6, 3, pp. 219-30.
- JONES S.S., "Breeding Resistance to Special Interests", *Organic Farming Research Foundation Information Bulletin*, 2004, Fall 2004, 14, pp.
- JONGE B.d., and KHORTALS M., "Vicissitudes of Benefits Sharing of Crop Benefits Resources: Downstream and Upstream", *Developing world business*, 2006, 6, 3, pp. 144 -57.
- JUNGCURT S. "Institutional Interplay in International Environmental Governance: Policy Interdependence and Strategic Interaction in the Regime Complex on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture." Humboldt Universität, 2007.
- KAHNEMAN D., and TVERSKY A., *Choices, Values, and Frames*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

- KALAUGHER E., VISSER B., RESOURCES C.f.G., and CENTRE T.N.W.U.a.R., "A Summary and Analysis of Existing International Plant Genetic Resources Networks - Fao Background Study Paper N°16", *Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, 2002, pp.
- KAMAU E.C., and WINTER G. (eds), *Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and the Law. Solution for Access and Benefit Sharing*, London, Earthscan, 2009.
- KAMAU E.C., and WINTER G., "Introduction to the International Abs Regime and a Comment on Its Transposition by the Eu, An", *Law Env't & Dev. J.*, 2013, 9, pp. iv.
- KAMERI-MBOTE A.P., and CULLET P., "Agro-Biodiversity and International Law-a Conceptual Framework", *Journal of Environmental Law*, 1999, 11, 2, pp. 257-79.
- KARJALA D.S., "Misappropriation as a Third Intellectual Property Paradigm", *Columbia Law Review*, 1994, 94, 8, pp. 2594-609.
- KAUL I., and CONCEIÇÃO P., *The New Public Finance : Responding to Global Challenges*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2006.
- KAUL I., CONCEIÇÃO P., LE GOULVEN K., and MENDOZA R.U. (eds), *Providing Global Public Goods - Managing Globalization*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2003.
- KAUL I., GRUNBERG I., and STERN M.A. (eds), *Global Public Goods - International Cooperation in the 21st Century*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1999.
- KEOHANE R.O., and OSTROM E., "Introduction" In KEOHANE R. O. AND OSTROM E. (ed.) *Local Commons and Global Interdependence: Heterogeneity and Cooperation in Two Domains*, London, Sage Publications, 1995, 1-26.
- KHOURY C., ACHICANOY H.A., BJORKMAN A., NAVARRO-RACINES C., GUARINO L., FLORES-PALACIOS X., ENGELS J.M.M., WIERSEMA J., DEMPEWOLF H., RAMÍREZ-VILLEGAS J., CASTAÑEDA-ÁLVAREZ N., FOWLER C., JARVIS A., RIESEBERG L., and STRUIK P., 2015, Estimation of Countries' Interdependence in Plant Genetic Resources Provisioning National Food Supplies and Production Systems, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)
- KHOURY C.K., ACHICANOY H.A., BJORKMAN A.D., NAVARRO-RACINES C., GUARINO L., FLORES-PALACIOS X., ENGELS J.M., WIERSEMA J.H., DEMPEWOLF H., and RAMÍREZ-VILLEGAS J., 2015, Where Our Food Crops Come From: A New Estimation of Countries' Interdependence in Plant Genetic Resources, International Center for Tropical Agriculture
- KI-MOON B. "Official Remarks of the United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon at the Plenary Session of the Fifth Summit of the Americas." In *Fifth Summit of the Americas*. Port of Spain, Trinidad & Tobago, April 17-19, 2009.
- KIESLER S., SIEGEL J., and MCGUIRE T.W., "Social Psychological Aspects of Computer-Mediated Communication", *American psychologist*, 1984, 39, 10, pp. 1123.
- KLEIN J.T., GROSSENBACHER-MANSUY W., HÄBERLI R., BILL A., SCHOLZ R.W., and WELTI M., *Transdisciplinarity: Joint Problem Solving among Science, Technology, and Society: An Effective Way for Managing Complexity*, Birkhäuser, 2012.
- KLOPPENBURG J.R. "First the Seed. The Political Economy of Plant Biotechnology, 1492-2000." xxii, 425 p. Madison, Wisconsin: University of Wisconsin Press, 2004.
- KLOSE N., *America's Crop Heritage: The History of Foreign Plant Introduction by the Federal Government*, Iowa State College Press, 1950.
- KOO B., NOTTENBURG C., and PARDEY P.G., "Plants and Intellectual Property: An International Appraisal", *Science*, 2004, 306, 5700, pp. 1295-97.
- KOONAN S., 2014, India's Sui Generis System of Plant Variety Protection, Quaker United Nations Office
- KRATTIGER A.F., *Intellectual Property Management in Health and Agricultural Innovation : A Handbook of Best Practices : Executive Guide*, Oxford ; Davis, CA, MIHR : PIPRA, 2007.
- LA VIA CAMPESINA, and GRAIN, 2015, Seed Laws That Criminalize Farmers, La Via Campesina - GRAIN

- LAERHOVEN F.v., and OSTROM E., "Traditions Et Évolutions Dans L'étude Des Communs", *Revue de la régulation. Capitalisme, institutions, pouvoirs*, 2013, 14, pp.
- LAIRD S.A., and WYNBERG R., 2005, The Commercial Use of Biodiversity: An Update on Current Trends in Demand for Access to Genetic Resources and Benefit-Sharing, and Industry Perspectives on Abs Policy and Implementation, Convention on Biological Diversity Secretariat
- LAMBEK N., CLAEYS P., WONG A., and BRILMAYER L., *Rethinking Food Systems: Structural Challenges, New Strategies and the Law*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2014.
- LAPLACE M. "Le Salvador Bannit Le Roundup De Monsanto Et Connaît Des Récoltes Records." *L'info Ecologique au Quotidien*, 27 April 2015.
- LAPPÉ F.M., COLLINS J., and FOWLER C., *Food First : Beyond the Myth of Scarcity* Rev. and updated. ed, New York, Ballantine Books, 1979.
- LAPPE F.M., COLLINS J., and ROSSET P., *World Hunger; Twelve Myths*, New York, A Grove Press Book, 1998.
- LARSON B.A., and BROMLEY D.W., "Property Rights, Externalities, and Resource Degradation: Locating the Tragedy", *Journal of Development Economics*, 1990, 33, 2, pp. 235-62.
- LAURENT P., *Observation Participante Et Engagement En Anthropologie* Edited by HERMESSE J., SINGLETON M. AND VUILLEMENOT A.-M., Investigations D'anthropologie Prospective: Implications Et Explorations Éthiques En Anthropologie, Louvain-la-Neuve, Harmattan\_Academia, 2011.
- LAWSON C., "Intellectual Property and the Material Transfer Agreement under the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31, 5, pp. 244-54.
- LEBUANEC B., "Evolution of the Seed Industry During the Past Three Decades", *Seed Testing - International*, 2007, 134, pp. 6.
- LEISEROWITZ A.A., KATES R.W., and PARRIS T.M., "Sustainability Values, Attitudes, and Behaviors: A Review of Multinational and Global Trends", *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 2006, 31, 1, pp. 413-44.
- LENNÉ J.M., and WOOD D., *Agrobiodiversity Management for Food Security : A Critical Review*, Wallingford, CABI Publishing : [distributor] CAB INTERNATIONAL, 2011.
- LESSIG L., "The Regulation of Social Meaning", *The University of Chicago Law Review*, 1995, pp. 943-1045.
- LEVI-FAUR D., *The Oxford Handbook of Governance*, Oxford University Press, 2012.
- LIBECAP G.D., "The Conditions for Successful Collective Action" In KEOHANE R. O. AND OSTROM E. (ed.) *Local Commons and Global Interdependence. Heterogeneity and Cooperation in Two Domains*, 1994, 161-90.
- LINDERFALK U., *On the Interpretation of Treaties: The Modern International Law as Expressed in the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties*, Vol. 83, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- LOUWAARS N. "Seeds of Confusion. The Impact of Policies on Seed Systems." Wageningen Universiteit, 2008.
- LOUWAARS N., DONS H., VAN OVERWALLE G., RAVEN h., ARUNDEL A., EATON D., and NELIS A., 2009, Breeding Business. The Future of Plant Breeding in the Light of Developments in Patent Rights and Plant Breeder's Rights, Wageningen UR,
- LOUWAARS N., TRIPP R., and EATON D., "Intellectual Property Rights in the Breeding Industry: Farmers' Interests", *Agricultural and rural developement*, 2006, 14, pp.
- LOUWAARS N.P., and DE BOEF W.S., "Integrated Seed Sector Development in Africa: A Conceptual Framework for Creating Coherence between Practices, Programs, and Policies", *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 2012, 26, 1, pp. 39-59.
- LUCARELLI A., *Beni Comuni. Dalla Teoria All'azione Politica*, Dissensi, 2011.
- , "Note Minime Per Una Teoria Giuridica Dei Beni Comuni", *Espaço Jurídico*, 2011, 12, 2, pp. 11-20.

- , *La Democrazia Dei Beni Comuni*, Editore Laterza, 2013.
- MACMILLAN F., "Alternating the Contours of the Public Domain" In WAELDE C. AND MACQUEEN H. (ed.) *Intellectual Property. The Many Faces of the Public Domain*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2007.
- MADISON M.J., FRISHMANN B.M., and STRANDBURG K.J., "Constructing Commons in the Cultural Environment", *Cornell Law Review*, 2010, 95, pp. 657-710.
- MANZELLA D., "The Design and Mechanics of the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit Sharing" In HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I. L. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons*, Oxon, earthscan by Routledge - Bioversity International, 2013, 150.
- MARDEN E., "The Neem Tree Patent: International Conflict over the Commodification of Life", *Boston College International and Comparative Law Review*, 1999, 22, pp. 279.
- MATTEI U., *Beni Comuni : Un Manifesto* 1. ed, Saggi Tascabili Laterza, Roma, Laterza, 2011.
- , "The State, the Market, and Some Preliminary Questions About the Commons", *Paper presented as part of the project "Human Rights of People Experiencing Poverty" at the University of Turin as part of the DGIII Social Cohesion of the Council of Europe. Accessed Feb, 2011, 5, pp. 2012.*
- MATTHEWS D., "Role of International Ngos in the Intellectual Property Policy-Making and Norm-Setting Activities of Multilateral Institutions", *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 2007, 82, 3, pp. 1369.
- MAXTED N., FORD-LLOYD B.V., and HAWKES J.G., *Plant Genetic Conservation: The in Situ Approach*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- MAXTED N., KELL S., and MAGOS BREHM J., 2011, Options to Promote Food Security: On-Farm Management and in Situ Conservation of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, FAO
- MCCARTHY J., "Commons as Counterhegemonic Projects", *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, 2005, 16, 1, pp. 9-24.
- MCDONALD B., and MATTHEW R.A., 2009, Food Security in a Global Age: Addressing Challenges from Malnutrition, Food Safety and Environmental Change, American Political Science Association 2009 Annual Meeting
- MCGUIRE S., and SPERLING L., "Seed Systems Smallholder Farmers Use", *Food Security*, 2016, 8, 1, pp. 179-95.
- MCSHERRY C., *Who Owns Academic Work: Battling over the Control of Intellectual Property*, Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 2001.
- MEKOUAR A., "A Global Instrument on Agrobiodiversity: The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *FAO Legal Papers Online*, 2002, 24, pp.
- MERGES R., "Intellectual Property Rights and Bargaining Breakdown: The Case of Blocking Patents", *Tennessee Law Review*, 1994, 62, pp. 75 - 106.
- , "Institutions for Intellectual Property Transactions: The Case of Patent Pools", *Expanding the Boundaries of Intellectual Property: Innovation Policy for the Knowledge Society*, 2001, pp. 123 - 66.
- MERGES R.P., "Of Property Rules, Coase, and Intellectual Property", *Columbia Law Review*, 1994, 94, 8, pp. 2655-73.
- METAFORUM KU LEUVEN, 2015, Voedselproductie En Voedselzekerheid: De Onvolmaakte Waarheid, KU Leuven
- MGBEOJI I., "Patents and Traditional Knowledge of the Uses of Plants: Is a Communal Patent Regime Part of the Solution to the Scourge of Bio Piracy?", *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, 2001, 9, 1, pp. 163-86.
- , "Beyond Rhetoric: State Sovereignty, Common Concern, and the Inapplicability of the Common Heritage Concept to Plant Genetic Resources", *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 2003, 16, 04, pp. 821-37.
- MOELLER N.I., 2014, Summary of User Opinions, Following Interviews with Members of the Seed Industry, FAO - PlantTreaty Secretariat

- MOELLER N.I., and STANNARD C., 2013, Identifying Benefit Flows. Studies on the Potential Monetary and Non-Monetary Benefits Arising from the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, FAO - Treaty Secretariat
- MOL A.P.J., *Globalization and Environmental Reform : The Ecological Modernization of the Global Economy*, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press, 2001.
- MOONEY P., "International Non-Governmental Organizations. The Hundred Year (or So) Seed War – Seeds, Sovereignty and Civil Society – a Historical Perspective on the Evolution of ‘the Law of the Seed’" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, FAO, Bioversity International and Earthscan, 2011, 321.
- MOORE G., "The Third Party Beneficiary" In HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I. L. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons. Challenges in International Law and Governance*, Oxon, Earthscan by Routeledge and Bioversity International, 2013.
- MOORE G., and FRISON E., "International Research Centres - the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, Bioversity International and FAO, 2011, 149-62.
- MOORE G., and TYMOWSKI W., 2005, Explanatory Guide to the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, IUCN
- MORGERA E., BUCK M., and TSILOUMANI E., *The 2010 Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-Sharing in Perspective: Implications for International Law and Implementation Challenges*, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2012.
- MORGERA E., TSILOUMANI E., and BUCK M., *Unraveling the Nagoya Protocol: A Commentary on the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-Sharing to the Convention on Biological Diversity*, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2014.
- MORLEY A., MCENTEE J., and MARSDEN T., "Food Futures - Framing the Crisis" In MARSDEN T. AND MORLEY A. (ed.) *Sustainable Food Systems - Building a New Paradigm*, Oxon, Routledge, 2014.
- MORTEN HAUGEN H., "The Right to Food, Farmers' Rights and Intellectual Property Rights: Can Competing Law Be Reconciled?" In LAMBEK N., CLAEYS P., WONG A. AND BRILMAYER L. (ed.) *Rethinking Food Systems. Structural Challenges, New Strategies and the Law*, Dordrecht, Springer, 2014, 195-218.
- MOSER P., 2016, Patents and Innovation in Economic History, Leonard N. Stern School of Business - Department of Economics; National Bureau of Economic Research
- MUNZER S.R., and RAUSTIALA K., "The Uneasy Case for Intellectual Property Rights in Traditional Knowledge", *Cardozo Arts & Ent. LJ*, 2009, 27, pp. 37.
- MWILA G., "From Negotiations to Implementation: Global Review of Achievements, Bottlenecks and Opportunities for the Treaty in General and for the Multilateral System in Particular" In HALEWOOD M., LÓPEZ NORIEGA I. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons: Challenges in International Law and Governance*, Oxon, Routledge and Bioversity International, 2013, 226-42.
- NAGAN W.P., and HAMMER C., "The Changing Character of Sovereignty in International Law and International Relations", *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*, 2004, 43, 1, pp. 141.
- NARASIMHAN S., and ROBINSON D., UNDP, United Nations Development Program, New York, 2008.
- NASIRITOUSI N., HJERPE M., and BÄCKSTRAND K., "Normative Arguments for Non-State Actor Participation in International Policymaking Processes: Functionalism, Neocorporatism or Democratic Pluralism?", *European Journal of International Relations*, 2015, pp.

- NEWMAN W.L., *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*, Allyn and Bacon, 1991.
- NIADA L., "Hunger and International Law: The Far-Reaching Scope of the Human Right to Food", *Conn. J. Int'l L.*, 2006, 22, pp. 131.
- OBERTHÜR S., and RABITZ F., "On the Eu's Performance and Leadership in Global Environmental Governance: The Case of the Nagoya Protocol", *Journal of European Public Policy*, 2013, 21, 1, pp. 39-57.
- OBERTHÜR S., and STOKKE O.S., *Managing Institutional Complexity - Regime Interplay and Global Environmental Change*, Cambridge, MA, MIT Press, 2011.
- OGUAMANAM C., "Agro-Biodiversity and Food Security: Biotechnology and Traditional Agricultural Practices at the Periphery of International Intellectual Property Regime Complex", *Michigan State Law Review*, 2007, 2007, 215, pp.
- , "Breeding Apples for Oranges: Africa's Misplaced Priority over Plant Breeders' Rights", *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2015, 18, 5, pp. 165-95.
- OLIVIER DE SARDAN J., *La Rigueur Du Qualitatif: Les Contraintes Empiriques De L'interprétation Socio-Anthropologique [the Rigor of Qualitative: Empirical Constraints of Socio-Anthropological Interpretation]*, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, Brylant, 2008.
- OLSON M., *The Logic of Collective Action : Public Goods and the Theory of Groups* 1st ed, Harvard University Press, 1965.
- ONWUEKWE C.B., "The Commons Concept and Intellectual Property Rights Regime: Wither Plant Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge?", *Pierce Law Review*, 2004, 2, 1, pp.
- (eds), *Ideology of the Commons and Property Rights: Who Owns Plant Genetic Resources and the Associated Traditional Knowledge?*, Vol. 11, The International Library of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Ethics, 2007.
- OOSTERVEER P. (eds), *Global Food Governance*, 2005.
- ORSI F., "Elinor Ostrom Et Les Faisceaux De Droits: L'ouverture D'un Nouvel Espace Pour Penser La Propriété Commune", *Revue de la régulation. Capitalisme, institutions, pouvoirs*, 2013, 14, pp.
- OST F., MISONNE D., and DE CLIPPELE M.-S., "Propriété Et Biens Communs" Paper presented at the La propriété et ses limites, Archiv für Rechts-und Sozialphilosophie, 2015.
- OSTROM E., "Institutional Arrangements for Resolving the Commons Dilemma: Some Contending Approaches", *The Question of the Commons. The Culture and Ecology of Communal Resources*, 1987, pp. 250-65.
- , *Governing the Commons : The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*, The Political Economy of Institutions and Decisions, Cambridge ; New York, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- , "A Behavioral Approach to the Rational Choice Theory of Collective Action: Presidential Address, American Political Science Association, 1997", *American political science review*, 1998, 92, 01, pp. 1-22.
- (eds), *Understanding Institutional Diversity*, Princeton University Press, 2005.
- , "A Diagnostic Approach for Going Beyond Panaceas", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2007, 104, 39, pp. 15181-87.
- , "Institutional Rational Choice: An Assessment of the Institutional Analysis and Development Framework", 2007, pp.
- , "Design Principles of Robust Property-Rights Institutions: What Have We Learned?", *PROPERTY RIGHTS AND LAND POLICIES*, K. Gregory Ingram, Yu-Hung Hong, eds., Cambridge, MA: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2009, pp.
- , "Beyond Markets and States: Polycentric Governance of Complex Economic Systems", *Transnational Corporations Review*, 2010, 2, 2, pp. 1-12.
- , "The Institutional Analysis and Development Framework and the Commons", *Cornell Law review*, 2010, 95, pp. 807-16.
- OSTROM E., and BASURTO X., "Crafting Analytical Tools to Study Institutional Change", *Journal of institutional economics*, 2011, 7, 03, pp. 317-43.

- , "Façonner Des Outils D'analyse Pour Étudier Le Changement Institutionnel", *Revue de la régulation. Capitalisme, institutions, pouvoirs*, 2013, 14, pp.
- OSTROM E., BURGER J., FIELD C.B., NORGAARD R.B., and POLICANSKY D., "Revisiting the Commons: Local Lessons, Global Challenges", *Science*, 1999, 284, 5412, pp. 278-82.
- OSTROM E., and DELVILLE P.L., *Pour Des Systèmes Irrigués Autogérés Et Durables: Façonner Les Institutions*, Groupe de recherche et d'échanges technologiques, 2009.
- OSTROM E., JANSSEN M.A., and ANDERIES J.M., "Going Beyond Panaceas", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2007, 104, 39, pp. 15176-78.
- OSTROM V., and OSTROM E., "Public Goods and Public Choices", 1977, 1977, pp. 7-49.
- PALACIOS X.F., 1997, Contribution to the Estimation of Countries' Interdependence in the Area of Plant Genetic Resources,
- PAUTASSO M., AISTARA G., BARNAUD A., CAILLON S., CLOUVEL P., COOMES O.T., DELÉTRE M., DEMEULENAERE E., DE SANTIS P., and DÖRING T., "Seed Exchange Networks for Agrobiodiversity Conservation. A Review", *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 2013, 33, 1, pp. 151-75.
- PELEGRINA W.R., and SALAZAR R., "Farmers' Communities - a Reflection on the Treaty from Small Farmers' Perspectives" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 175-81.
- PETTIT M., FOWLER C., COLLINS W., CORREA C., and THORNSTRÖM C.-G., 2001, Why Governments Can't Make Policy: The Case of Plant Genetic Resources in the International Arena, Centre International de la Papa
- PIOTROWSKI J. "Divseek Project Aims to Uncover Crops' Hidden Genetic Data." *SciDevNet*, 12 January 2015.
- PISTOR K., and DE SCHUTTER O., *Governing Access to Essential Resources*, Columbia University Press, 2015.
- PISTORIUS R., *Scientists, Plants and Politics : A History of the Plant Genetic Resources Movement*, Rome, Italy, IPGRI, 1997.
- PLUCKNETT D.L., SMITH N.J.H., WILLIAMS J.T., and ANISHETTY N.M., "Crop Germplasm Conservation and Developing Countries", *Science*, 1983, 220, 4593, pp. 163-69.
- POL J.L.V., and SCHUFTAN C., "No Right to Food and Nutrition in the Sdgs: Mistake or Success?", *BMJ Global Health*, 2016, 1, 1, pp. e000040.
- POSEY D., and DUTFIELD G., "Plants, Patents and Traditional Knowledge: Ethical Concerns of Indigenous and Traditional Peoples" In VAN OVERWALLE G. (ed.) *Patent Law, Ethics and Biotechnology*, Brussel, Bruylant, 1998, 112-26.
- POTEETE A.R., JANSSEN M.A., and OSTROM E., *Working Together: Collective Action, the Commons, and Multiple Methods in Practice*, Princeton University Press, 2010.
- POUND R., "Law in Books and Law in Action", *American Law Review*, 1910, 44, pp. 12.
- PRIETO I., VIOLLE C., BARRE P., DURAND J.-L., GHESQUIERE M., and LITRICO I., "Complementary Effects of Species and Genetic Diversity on Productivity and Stability of Sown Grasslands", *Nature Plants*, 2015, 1, pp. 15033.
- PUTNAM R.D., "Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games", *International organization*, 1988, 42, 03, pp. 427-60.
- QUINN B.J., "Failure of Private Ordering and the Financial Crisis of 2008, The", *NYUJL & Bus.*, 2009, 5, pp. 549.
- QUINN C.H., HUBY M., KIWASILA H., and LOVETT J.C., "Design Principles and Common Pool Resource Management: An Institutional Approach to Evaluating Community Management in Semi-Arid Tanzania", *Journal of Environmental Management*, 2007, 84, 1, pp. 100-13.
- RADIN M.J., *Contested Commodities*, Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1996.



- RAMANNA A., 2003, *India's Plant Variety and Farmers' Rights Legislation: Potential Impact on Stakeholder Access to Genetic Resources*, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI)
- RAMIREZ M., ORTIZ R., TABA S., SEBASTIAN L., PERALTA E., WILLIAMS D.E., EBERT A.W., and VEZINA A., "Demonstrating Interdependence on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I. L. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons. Challenges in International Law and Governance*, Oxon, Earthsacn from Routledge, 2013.
- RAO K., HANSON J., DULLOO E., GHOSH K., NOWELL D., and LARINDE M., *Manual of Seed Handling in Genebanks*, Handbooks for Genebanks No. 8, Rome, Bioversity International, 2006.
- RAPOPORT A., and CHAMMAH A.M., *Prisoner's Dilemma: A Study in Conflict and Cooperation*, Vol. 165, University of Michigan press, 1965.
- RAUSTIALA K., and VICTOR D.G., "The Regime Complex for Plant Genetic Resources", *International Organization*, 2004, 58, 2, pp. 277-309.
- RAVENHILL J., "The North-South Balance of Power", *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*, 1990, pp. 731-48.
- REED C.A., *Origins of Agriculture*, International Congress of Anthropological and Ethnological Sciences, The Hague, Mouton, 1977.
- REED M.S., GRAVES A., DANDY N., POSTHUMUS H., HUBACEK K., MORRIS J., PRELL C., QUINN C.H., and STRINGER L.C., "Who's in and Why? A Typology of Stakeholder Analysis Methods for Natural Resource Management", *Journal of environmental management*, 2009, 90, 5, pp. 1933-49.
- REICHMAN J.H., and UHLIR P.F., "Database Protection at the Crossroad; Recent Developments and Their Impact on Science and Technology", 1999, pp.
- REICHMAN J.H., and UHLIR P.F., "A Contractually Reconstructed Research Commons for Scientific Data in a Highly Protectionist Intellectual Property Environment", *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 2003, 66, pp. 147.
- RINDOS D., *The Origins of Agriculture : An Evolutionary Perspective*, Orlando, Academic Press, 1984.
- ROA-RODRÍGUEZ C., and VAN DOOREN T., "Shifting Common Spaces of Plant Genetic Resources in the International Regulation of Property", *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2008, 11, 3, pp. 176-202.
- ROA-RODRÍGUEZ C., and VAN DOOREN T., "Shifting Common Spaces of Plant Genetic Resources in the International Regulation of Property", *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2008, 11, 3, pp. 176-202.
- RODALE INSTITUTE, 2011, *The Rodale Institute's 30-Year Farming Systems Trial Report*, Rodale Institute
- RODOTÀ S., *Il Diritto Di Avere Diritti*, Laterza Roma-Bari, 2012.
- , "Constituting the Commons in the Context of State, Law and Politics", 2013.
- ROJAHN J. "Fair Shares or Biopiracy? Developing Ethical Criteria for the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits from Crop Genetic Resources." Universität Tübingen, 2010.
- ROSE C., "The Comedy of the Commons: Custom, Commerce, and Inherently Public Property", *The University of Chicago Law Review*, 1986, 53, 3, pp. 711-81.
- ROSELL M., "Access to Genetic Resources: A Critical Approach to Decision 391 'Common Regime on Access to Genetic Resources' of the Cartagena Agreement", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 1997, 6, 3, pp. 274-83.
- ROTHSTEIN R.L., "Is the North-South Dialogue Worth Saving?", *Third World Quarterly*, 1984, 6, 1, pp. 155-81.
- ROUSSEAU J.-J., *Discours Sur L'origine Et Les Fondements De L'inégalité Parmi Les Hommes*, Amsterdam, Marc Michel Rey. Republié en 2012 sur Presses Électroniques de France, 1755.

- RUIZ-MULLER M., "Non-Conventional Uses of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: The Situation of International Centres under the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *Yearbook of International Environmental Law*, 2006, 15, 1, pp. 145-63.
- RUNGE C.F., and DEFRANCESCO E., "Exclusion, Inclusion, and Enclosure: Historical Commons and Modern Intellectual Property", *World Development*, 2006, 34, 10, pp. 1713-27.
- SAEZ C., 2016, Plant Variety Protection to Meet Food Security Plant Treaty, but Where Are Farmers' Rights?,
- SAFRIN S., "Hyperownership in a Time of Biotechnological Promise: The International Conflict to Control the Building Blocks of Life", *The American Journal of International Law*, 2004, 98, 4, pp. 641-85.
- SAGASTI F., and TIMMER V., 2008, An Approach to the Cgiar as a Provider of International Public Goods,
- SAGE C., "Food Security, Food Sovereignty and the Special Rapporteur Shaping Food Policy Discourse through Realising the Right to Food", *Dialogues in Human Geography*, 2014, 4, 2, pp. 195-99.
- SÁNCHEZ CARPIO D., and BECHEVA S. "Agro-Ecology: Building a New Food System for Europe ", edited by EUROPE F. O. T. E., 2014.
- SANDLER T., *Global Collective Action*, Cambridge, England ; New York, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- SANTILLI J., *Agrobiodiversity and the Law : Regulating Genetic Resources, Food Security and Cultural Diversity* 1st ed, New York, NY, Earthscan, 2011.
- SANTILLI J., Earthscan, London, 2012.
- SARR M., and SWANSON T., "The Economics of Ipr for Traditional Knowledge - the Importance of Property Rights", 2006, pp. 29.
- SAUER C.O., *Agricultural Origins and Dispersals*, Bowman Memorial Lectures Ser. 2, New York,, American Geographical Society, 1952.
- SAUVÉ R., and WATTS J., "An Analysis of Ipgri's Influence on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *Agricultural Systems*, 2003, 78, 2, pp. 307-27.
- SCAFFIDI C., "Consumers - Biodiversity Is a Common Good" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 321.
- SCHIMMELPFENNING D., *Us Public Agricultural Research: Changes in Funding Sources and Shifts in Emphasis, 1980-2005*, DIANE Publishing, 2010.
- SCHLAGER E., and OSTROM E., "Property-Rights Regimes and Natural Resources: A Conceptual Analysis", *Land economics*, 1992, pp. 249-62.
- SCHNEIDER S., and NIEDERLE P.A., "Resistance Strategies and Diversification of Rural Livelihoods: The Construction of Autonomy among Brazilian Family Farmers", *The journal of peasant studies*, 2010, 37, 2, pp. 379-405.
- SEDJO R.A., "Property Rights, Genetic Resources, and Biotechnological Change", *Journal of Law and Economics*, 1992, 35, 1, pp. 199-213.
- SEN A., *Poverty and Famines: An Essay on Entitlement and Deprivation*, Oxford university press, 1981.
- , *Ethique Et Économie*, Quadrige, Paris, PUF, 2003.
- SEN A.K., *Collective Choice and Social Welfare*, Vol. 11, Elsevier, 1970.
- SERAGELDIN I., "Biotechnology and Food Security in the 21st Century", *Science*, 1999, 285, pp. 387.
- SGRP, "Booklet of Cgiar Centre Policy Instruments, Guidelines and Statements on Genetic Resources, Biotechnology and Intellectual Property Rights", 2003, pp.
- , "The Impact of Climate Change on Countries' Interdependence on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *SGRP*, 2010, pp.

- SHANDS H., CASTINEIRAS L., and HINTUM T.v., 2008, *Collective Action for the Rehabilitation of Global Public Goods in the Cgiar Genetic Resources System: Phase 2 (Gpg2)*,
- SHANDS H.L., "The U.S. National Plant Germplasm System", *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 1995, 75, 1, pp. 9-15.
- SHAPIRO R.B. "Growth through Global Sustainability: An Interview with Monsanto's Ceo, Robert B. Shapiro." edited by MAGRETTA J.: *Havard Business Review*, 1997.
- SHAPIRO R.B., 1999, *Open Letter from Monsanto Ceo Robert B. Shapiro to Rockefeller Foundation President Gordon Conway and Others, Monsanto Company*
- SHASHIKANT S., and MEIENBERG F., 2015, *International Contradictions on Farmers' Rights. The Interrelations between the International Treaty, Its Article 9 on Farmers' Rights, and Relevant Instruments of Upov and Wipo, The Bern Declaration and Third World Network*
- SHAW D.J., *World Food Security : A History since 1945*, Basingstoke England ; New York, Palgrave Macmillan, 2007.
- SHIVA V. "Biopiracy: The Plunder of Knowledge and Nature." Boston: South End Press, 1997.
- SIMANIS E. "The Monsanto Company: Quest for Sustainability." edited by HART S. Kenan-Flagler Business School: Sustainable Enterprise Program of the World Resources Institute, 2001.
- SINGH A.P., MANCHIKANTI P., and CHAWLA H.S., "Sui Generis Ipr Laws Vis-À-Vis Farmers' Rights in Some Asian Countries: Implications under the Wto", *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 2011, 16, pp. 107-16.
- SIX B., VAN ZIMMEREN E., POPA F., and FRISON C., "Trust and Social Capital in the Design and Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action", *International Journal of the Commons*, 2015, 9, 1, pp. 151-76.
- SMITH B.D., *The Emergence of Agriculture*, New York Scientific American Library, 1998.
- SMOLDERS W., "Commercial Practice in the Use of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture - Background Study Paper N°27", *commission on genetic resources for food and agriculture*, pp.
- SRINIVAS K.R., "Traditional Knowledge and Intellectual Property Rights: A Note on Issues, Some Solutions and Some Suggestions", *Asian Journal of Wto & International Health Law and Policy*, 2008, 3, 1, pp. 81-120.
- SRINIVASAN C.S., "Assessing the Potential for Monetary Payments from the Exchange of Plant Genetic Resources under the Multilateral System of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In MOELLER N. I. AND STANNARD C. (ed.) *Identifying Benefit Flows. Studies on the Potential Monetary and Non-Monetary Benefits Arising from the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Rome, FAO-Treaty Secretariat, 2012.
- STAMETS P., *Mycelium Running : How Mushrooms Can Help Save the World*, Berkeley, Ten Speed Press, 2005.
- STANNARD C., "The Multilateral System of Access and Benefit Sharing: Could It Have Been Constructed Another Way?" In HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I. L. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons*, Oxon, Earthscan by Routledge - Bioversity International, 2013, 243.
- STANNARD C., CARACCILO F., and HILLERY P., "Modelling Payments to the Benefit-Sharing Fund, Resulting from the Standard Material Transfer Agreement" In MOELLER N. I. AND STANNARD C. (ed.) *Identifying Benefit Flows. Studies on the Potential Monetary and Non-Monetary Benefits Arising from the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Rome, FAO-Treaty Secretariat, 2012.
- STARIK M., "Should Trees Have Managerial Standing? Toward Stakeholder Status for Non-Human Nature", *Journal of business ethics*, 1995, 14, 3, pp. 207-17.
- STARR J., and HARDY K.C., "Not by Seeds Alone: The Biodiversity Treaty and the Role for Native Agriculture", *Stanford Environmental Law Journal*, 1993, 12, 1, pp. 85.

- STERN P.C., *Design Principles for Global Commons: Natural Resources and Emerging Technologies*, Vol. 5, 2011, 2011.
- STHAPIT B., RANA R., EYZAGUIRRE P., and JARVIS D., "The Value of Plant Genetic Diversity to Resource-Poor Farmers in Nepal and Vietnam", *International journal of agricultural sustainability*, 2008, 6, 2, pp. 148-66.
- STIGLITZ J.E., "Lessons from the Global Financial Crisis of 2008", 2010, pp.
- STOCK P.V., and FORNEY J., "Farmer Autonomy and the Farming Self", *Journal of Rural Studies*, 2014, 36, pp. 160-71.
- STOCK P.V., FORNEY J., EMERY S.B., and WITTMAN H., "Neoliberal Natures on the Farm: Farmer Autonomy and Cooperation in Comparative Perspective", *Journal of Rural Studies*, 2014, 36, pp. 411-22.
- STOLL P.-T., "Access to Grs and Benefit Sharing – Underlying Concepts and the Idea of Justice" In WINTER G. AND KAMAU E. C. (ed.) *Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and the Law - Solutions for Access and Benefit Sharing*, London, Earthscan, 2009, 3-18.
- SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE AND FOOD SYSTEMS THEMATIC GROUP, 2013, Solutions for Sustainable Agriculture and Food Systems
- TANGLEY L., "Beyond the Green Revolution", *BioScience*, 1987, 37, 3, pp. 176-80.
- TANSEY G., "Patenting Our Food Future: Intellectual Property Rights and the Global Food System", *Social Policy & Administration*, 2002, 36, 6, pp. 575-92.
- TAUBMAN A., "The Public Domain and International Intellectual Property Law Treaties" In WAELDE C. AND MACQUEEN H. (ed.) *Intellectual Property. The Many Faces of the Public Domain*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2007.
- TEMUDO M.P., "Planting Knowledge, Harvesting Agro-Biodiversity: A Case Study of Southern Guinea-Bissau Rice Farming", *Human Ecology*, 2011, 39, 3, pp. 309-21.
- TEN KATE K., and LAIRD S.A., "Biodiversity and Business: Coming to Terms with the 'Grand Bargain'", *International Affairs*, 2000, 76, 2, pp. 241-64.
- TEN KATE K., and LASÉN DIAZ C., "The Undertaking Revisited: A Commentary on the Revision of the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture", *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law*, 1997, 6, 3, pp. 284-92.
- THE CRUCIBLE GROUP, 1994, People, Plants and Patents. The Impact of Intellectual Property on Trade, Plant Biodiversity, and Rural Society, IDRC
- THRUPP L.A., "Linking Agricultural Biodiversity and Food Security: The Valuable Role of Agrobiodiversity for Sustainable Agriculture", *International Affairs*, 2000, 76, 2, pp. 283-97.
- TILMAN V. "Propriété Intellectuelle, Soutenabilité Et (Biens) Communs: Approche Philosophique Et Étude De Cas Sur L'appropriation De La Biodivrsité Agricole." UNamur, 2016.
- TREATY SECRETARIAT, 2012, Non-Food/Non-Feed Uses of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Technical Committee on the Standard Material Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012, document IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/2
- , 2012, Report, Third Meeting of the Ad Hoc Advisory Technical Committee on the Standard Material Transfer Agreement and the Multilateral System, New Delhi, India, 26-28 June 2012, document IT/AC-SMTA-MLS 3/12/2
- , 2013, The Funding Strategy of the International Treaty, FAO
- , "Facilitator's Summary: Informal Stakeholder Workshop on Multilateral System of the Itpgrfa" document IT/OWG-EFMLS-2/14/Inf.4.1, Second Meeting of the Ad-Hoc Open-Ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the Multilateral System, Geneva, Switzerland, 9-11 December 2014.
- TRIPP R., LOUWAARS N., and EATON D., "Plant Variety Protection in Developing Countries. A Report from the Field.", *Food Policy*, 2007, 32, pp. 354-71.

- TSIOUMANI E., "International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: Legal and Policy Questions from Adoption to Implementation", *Yearbook of International Environmental Law*, 2006, 15, 1, pp. 119-44.
- TVEDT M.W., "Access to Plant Genetic Resources - Legal Questions for Material on Its Way into the Multilateral System of the Plant Treaty", *Law, Environment and Development Journal*, 2015, 11, 1, pp. 16.
- UNITED NATIONS, 2015, Millennium Development Goals Report 2015, United Nations
- , 2015, A New Global Partnership: Eradicate Poverty and Transform Economies through Sustainable Development. The Report of the High-Level Panel of Eminent Persons on the Post-2015 Development Agenda,
- VAN DEN HURK A., "The Seed Industry - Plant Breeding and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" In FRISON C., LÓPEZ F. AND ESQUINAS-ALCAZAR J. T. (ed.) *Plant Genetic Resources and Food Security: Stakeholder Perspectives on the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, Washington, DC, Earthscan, FAO and Bioversity International, 2011, 321.
- VAN DER KOOIJ P., "Towards a Breeder's Exemption in Patent Law?", *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2010, 32, 11, pp. 545-52.
- VAN DER PLOEG J., *The New Peasantries: Struggles for Autonomy and Sustainability in an Era of Empire and Globalization* London, Earthscan, 2008.
- VAN GINKEL R., "Plunderers into Planters: Zeeland Oystermen and the Enclosure of the Marine Commons", *Dutch Dilemmas: Anthropologists Look at The Netherlands, Van Gorcum, Assen*, 1989, pp. 89-105.
- VAN LENTEREN J.C., COCK M.J.W., BRODEUR J., BARRATT B.I.P., BIGLER F., BOLCKMANS K., HAAS F., MASON P.G., and PARRA J.R.P., "Will the Convention on Biological Diversity Put an End to Biological Control?", *Revista Brasileira De Entomologia*, 2011, 55, 1, pp. 1-5.
- VAN OVERWALLE G. "Octrooieerbaarheid Van Plantenbiotechnologische Uitvindingen. Een Rechtsvergelijkend Onderzoek Naar Een Rechtvaardiging Van Een Uitbreiding Van Het Octrooirecht Tot Planten.-Patentability of Plant Biotechnological Inventions. A Comparative Study Towards a Justification of Extending Patent Law to Plants ", KU Leuven, 1996.
- , "Patent Protection for Plants: A Comparison of American and European Approaches", *Idea*, 1998, 39, pp. 143.
- , "Protection of Traditional Knowledge: A Critical Synthesis" In GROSHEIDE W. AND BRINKHOF J. (ed.) *Articles on Cultural Expressions and Indigenous Knowledge*, Antwerp, Intersentia, 2002, pp. 251– 68.
- , "Protecting and Sharing Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge: Holder and User Tools", *Ecological Economics*, 2005, 53, 4, pp. 585-607.
- , "L'intérêt Général, Le Domaine Public, Les Commons Et Le Droit Des Brevets D'invention" In BUYDENS M. AND DUSSOLIER S. (ed.) *L'intérêt Général Et L'accès À L'information En Propriété Intellectuelle*, Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2008, 149-76.
- , "A Man of Flowers: A Reflection on Plant Patents, the Right to Food and Competition Law" In DREXL J., HILTY R., BOY L., GODT C. AND REMICHE B. (ed.) *Technology and Competition - Technologie Et Concurrence. Contributions in Honour of Hanns Ullrich - Mélanges En L'honneur De Hanns Ullrich*, Brussels, Larcier, 2009, 311-29.
- , "Human Rights' Limitations in Patent Law", *Intellectual Property and Human Rights: A Paradox*, 2010, pp. 236-71.
- VAN OVERWALLE G., 2010, Lessons from the Genetic Ressource Commons for Governance, Reflexive Governance in the Public Interest. Democratic Governance and Collective Action - Global public services and common goods

- VAN ZIMMEREN E. "Towards a New Patent Paradigm in the Biomedical Sector? Facilitating Access, Open Innovation and Social Responsibility in Patent Law in the Us, Europe and Japan." KU Leuven, 2011.
- VAVILOV N.I., and DOROFEEV V.F., *Origin and Geography of Cultivated Plants* English ed, Cambridge [England] ; New York, NY, USA, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- VERHAEGEN E., "La Forge Conceptuelle. Le "Commun" Comme Réinterprétation De La Propriété", *Recherches sociologiques et anthropologiques*, 2015, 46-2, pp. 111-31.
- VETETO J.R., and SKARBØ K., "Sowing the Seeds: Anthropological Contributions to Agrobiodiversity Studies", *Culture & Agriculture*, 2009, 31, 2, pp. 73-87.
- VISSER B., "The Moving Scope of Annex 1: The List of Crops Covered under the Multilateral System" In HALEWOOD M., NORIEGA I. L. AND LOUAFI S. (ed.) *Crop Genetic Resources as a Global Commons*, Oxon, Earthscan by Routledge - Bioversity International, 2013, 265.
- VISSER B., and SMOLDERS H., An Analysis of Effectiveness in Plant Genetic Resources Networks, Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture
- VOGLER J., "Global Commons Revisited", *Global Policy*, 2012, 3, 1, pp. 61-71.
- VOLLAN B., and OSTROM E., "Cooperation and the Commons", *Science*, 2010, 330, 6006, pp. 923-24.
- VON LEWINSKI S., *Indigenous Heritage and Intellectual Property: Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge, and Folklore*, Kluwer Law International, 2008.
- WADE R., *Village Republics. Economic Conditions for Collective Action in South India*, Cambridge South Asian Studies, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- WALDRON J., *The Right to Private Property*, New York and Oxford, Oxfordshire, Clarendon Press, 1988.
- , "The Advantages and Difficulties of the Humean Theory of Property", *Social Philosophy and Policy*, 1994, 11, 02, pp. 85-123.
- WALLØE TVEDT M., "Access to Plant Genetic Resources – Legal Questions for Material on Its Way into the Multilateral System of the Plant Treaty", *Law, Environment and Development Journal*, 2015, 11, 1, pp.
- WARTHMANN N., and CHIAROLLA C., "Thinking a Global Open Genome Sequence Data Framework for Sustainable Development", *Global Sustainable Development Report 2015 Brief*, 2015, pp.
- WEINSTEIN O., "Comment Comprendre Les «Communs»: Elinor Ostrom, La Propriété Et La Nouvelle Économie Institutionnelle", *Revue de la régulation. Capitalisme, institutions, pouvoirs*, 2013, 14, pp.
- WENDLAND W.B., "Intellectual Property and the Protection of Cultural Expressions: The Work of the World Intellectual Property Organization (Wipo)" In GROSHEIDE W. AND BRINKHOF J. (ed.) *Articles on Cultural Expressions and Indigenous Knowledge*, Intersentia, Antwerp, 2002, pp. 101– 38.
- WIEK A., and WALTER A.I., "A Transdisciplinary Approach for Formalized Integrated Planning and Decision-Making in Complex Systems", *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2009, 197, 1, pp. 360-70.
- WILKES H.G., "Plant Genetic Resources: Why Privatize a Public Good?", *BioScience*, 1987, 37, 3, pp. 215-18.
- WILLETTS P., *Non-Governmental Organizations in World Politics : The Construction of Global Governance*, Routledge Global Institutions, Oxon (England) ; New York, Routledge, 2011.
- WINDFUHR M., and JONSEN J., *Food Sovereignty. Towards Democracy in Localized Food Systems*, Heidelberg, Germany, FIAN-International, 2005.
- WINGE T., "Seed Legislation in Europe and Crop Genetic Diversity" In (ed.) *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*, Springer, 2015, 1-64.
- ZÜRN M., "Global Governance as Multi-Level Governance" In LEVI-FAUR D. (ed.) *The Oxford Handbook of Global Governance*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2012, 730-44.

## Detailed Table of Contents

<i>Summary Table of Contents</i> .....	<i>i</i>
<i>List of Tables and Figures</i> .....	<i>iii</i>
<i>List of Acronyms and Abbreviations</i> .....	<i>v</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i> .....	<i>vii</i>
<i>Chapter 1 Introduction – Harvesting the Benefits of the Commons to Grow a Food Secure World</i> .....	<i>1</i>
Section 1. Research approach .....	9
§ 1 Underlying interdisciplinarity for a sustainable development perspective .....	10
§ 2 Inductive research approach .....	10
Section 2. Research map.....	11
§ 1 (Thesis Part I) Plant genetic resources for food and agriculture management: digging the soil to assess fertility for collaboration .....	12
A. Objectives: understanding the past seed management system .....	12
B. Hypothesis.....	13
C. Research questions.....	13
D. Methods.....	13
§ 2 (Thesis Part II) The plant genetic resources for food and agriculture regime: an assessment of the Plant Treaty .....	14
A. Objectives: analyzing the current international seed regime .....	14
B. Hypothesis.....	15
C. Research questions .....	15
D. Methods.....	15
(1) The legal analysis .....	16
(2) Stakeholder analysis .....	17
§ 3 (Thesis Part III) Planting the commons: towards redesigning the global seed commons .....	18
A. Objectives: moving towards an efficient Treaty by providing an equitable access to the global seed commons.....	18
B. Hypothesis.....	18
C. Research question .....	18
D. Method .....	19
Section 3. Theoretical framework – the theory of the commons .....	19
Section 4. Research motivation .....	25
Section 5. Contextual analysis .....	26
§ 1 Open interviews .....	27
§ 2 Participatory observation: meetings of the Governing Body as “field” experience .....	28
Section 6. Scope of the research .....	28
§ 1 The legal scope .....	29
A. The Convention on Biological Diversity and the Nagoya Protocol.....	29
B. TRIPS, UPOV and intellectual property rights issues .....	29
C. Biosafety and GMOs .....	30
D. International law versus national legislations on biodiversity or seed management.....	31
E. Human rights.....	31
F. International law and international relations .....	32
§ 2 The plant genetic resources for food and agriculture material scope .....	33
§ 3 The temporal scope.....	34
Section 7. Contribution to the state of the art.....	34
§ 1 Contribution to the theoretical state of the art .....	34
§ 2 Contribution to the methodological state of the art .....	35
§ 3 Contribution to the technical state of the art .....	35

<b>PART I</b>	<b>PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE MANAGEMENT: DIGGING THE SOIL TO REVEAL FERTILITY FOR COLLABORATION.....</b>	<b>37</b>
<i>Chapter 2</i>	<i>A History of the Seed International Regulatory Setting.....</i>	<i>39</i>
Section 1.	The birth of agriculture and its developments.....	40
Section 2.	The loss of biological diversity: wide collection and international ex situ conservation programmes as a response.....	41
§ 1	Setting up an international collection and conservation agenda.....	41
§ 2	Importance of crop diversity and the continuing loss of PGRFA.....	45
Section 3.	The rise of the breeding industry, modern biotechnology and IPRs: genetic resources gain economic value.....	47
Section 4.	The International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources: a failed attempt to keep resources in the public domain.....	51
Section 5.	The CBDs contractual approach to access genetic resources: the rise of States’ sovereign rights.....	58
Section 6.	UPOV 1991 and the TRIPS Agreement: reinforcing PGRFA appropriation.....	64
Conclusion	.....	69
<i>Chapter 3</i>	<i>Challenges in the Exchange of PGRFA to Reward the Custodians of Agro-biodiversity and Promote Innovation.....</i>	<i>71</i>
Section 1.	The tension between “public seeds” and IPRs: ownership as a factor of rights imbalance.....	73
Section 2.	The tension between advancements in biotechnology led by mega-agri-businesses and small-scale farmers: raising an economic imbalance.....	81
Section 3.	The tension between “informal” exchange networks and “over-regulation” on seeds: raising a social sharing disruption.....	86
Section 4.	The North / South divide: a political stake.....	89
Conclusion	.....	92
<b>PART II</b>	<b>THE PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE REGIME: AN ASSESSMENT OF THE INTERNATIONAL TREATY .....</b>	<b>93</b>
<i>Chapter 4</i>	<i>The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: A Legal Analysis .....</i>	<i>95</i>
Section 1.	Sustainable agriculture and food security as Treaty overall goals.....	100
§ 1	Sustainable agriculture.....	101
A.	Defining the concept of sustainable agriculture.....	101
(1)	Specific characteristics pertaining to seeds calling for sustainable agriculture.....	101
(a)	The issue of under-use of PGRFA.....	102
(b)	The role of farmers.....	102
(c)	Common concern for genetic erosion and special nature of seeds.....	103
(d)	Conservation and crop genetic improvement.....	104
(e)	Intergenerational responsibility.....	104
(f)	Sustainable development approach to PGRFA management.....	105
(2)	Specific obligations in the Treaty directed towards sustainable agriculture.....	105
(a)	Article 1 – Treaty objectives.....	105
i.	Three untangled objectives.....	106
ii.	Sustainable agriculture as overall objective.....	107
(b)	Conservation and Sustainable Use of PGRFA.....	107
i.	A non-contentious negotiation.....	107
ii.	Specific actions for conservation and sustainable use.....	108
B.	Implementing the conservation and sustainable use provisions.....	109
(1)	Sustainable use of PGRFA as core agenda item of every Governing Body meeting.....	109
(2)	National implementation lagging behind.....	110
(3)	National reports on the implementation of Articles 5 & 6.....	111
(4)	National seed legislations.....	111
§ 2	Food security.....	112
A.	Defining food security.....	113
(1)	Food security: evolution of the concept.....	113
(a)	Food sovereignty.....	114
(b)	Food security and the right to food.....	115



(c) Food security in international instruments.....	116
(2) Food security in the Treaty.....	118
B. Implementing the concept of food security in the Treaty .....	119
(1) Interdependency of States and food security as intertwined criteria for determining Annex I PGRFA.....	119
(2) Interdependence of States.....	119
(a) Interdependency requires effective international cooperation in PGRFA management .....	121
(b) The economic and social dimensions of interdependency.....	121
Section 2. Scope of the Treaty.....	123
§ 1 Defining the scope of the Treaty .....	124
A. PGRFA: a definition of the resource.....	124
(1) PGRFA in the International Undertaking .....	125
(2) PGRFA in the Treaty.....	125
(3) Genetic material in the Treaty .....	126
B. Coverage of the Multilateral System.....	127
(1) A short history of the negotiation of Annex I .....	127
(2) Interpretation of Article 11 .....	128
(a) Article 11.2 Criteria to identify material covered by the Multilateral System.....	129
ii. Widening or narrowing the scope of the MLS .....	130
ii. Three cumulative criteria: management, control, and public domain.....	131
iii. Three step process to identify resources under the Multilateral System .....	132
iv. The criterion: “under the management” of Contracting Parties.....	132
v. The criterion: under the “control” of Contracting Parties .....	133
vi. Ex situ and/or in situ Annex I material?.....	134
vii. The “public domain” criterion: the influence of IP law .....	136
viii. A pragmatic approach in interpreting “public domain” .....	138
ix. The policy dimension of the “public domain” definition .....	138
(b) Articles 11.3 and 11.4.....	139
(c) Articles 11.5 and 15 .....	140
C. Rules and procedures related to the coverage of the MLS.....	141
(1) A scope restricted to research, breeding and training for food and agriculture.....	142
(2) The specific regime for products under development.....	143
(a) A pragmatic approach to the concept of “product under development” .....	144
(b) The SMTA viral transfer clause.....	145
§ 2 Implementing the provisions on the scope of the Treaty.....	145
A. PGRFA: a definition of the resource.....	145
B. Coverage of the Multilateral System.....	146
(1) Expanding the Annex I list of PGRFA .....	146
(2) Implementation of the scope of the MLS by the CGIAR .....	146
C. Rules and procedures related to the coverage of the MLS .....	147
(1) Transfers to recipients in non-contracting parties .....	147
(2) Using the SMTA for non-Annex I material .....	148
Section 3. Farmers’ Rights .....	149
§ 1 Defining Farmers’ Rights .....	149
A. Origins of the concept .....	150
(1) Farmers’ Rights in the International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources.....	150
(2) What definition for Farmers’ Rights? .....	150
(3) Intentions of the Parties.....	151
B. Agreeing on a definition .....	153
C. A downgraded recognition of Farmers’ Rights .....	154
D. Legal imbalance between Farmers Rights and intellectual property rights .....	155
§ 2 Implementing Farmers’ Rights .....	156
A. A poor national implementation of Farmers’ Rights.....	156
(1) The legally binding nature of Treaty Article 9.....	157
(2) What enforceability for these rights?.....	158
(3) No common framework for implementation.....	158
B. Governing Body Resolutions to promote the realization of Farmers’ Rights .....	158

(1) Governing Body resolutions as a means to generate exponential interest .....	158
(2) Regional Workshops on Farmers' Rights .....	159
(3) Highlight on capacity-building and raising awareness .....	160
(4) Resolution 8/2013: progress towards FRs' implementation .....	160
(5) Need for an explicit recognition of farmers' direct access to MLS seeds .....	161
(6) Limited capacity-building initiatives organized by the Treaty .....	162
(7) The Joint Capacity Building Programme led by GFAR .....	163
(8) Interrelationship between Farmers' Rights and UPOV/WIPO .....	164
(9) The importance of informal (farmers' seed) networks .....	164
(10) Formal IPRs and seed legislations as impediments to seed conservation and sustainable use .....	165
Section 4. Facilitated access to PGRFA .....	167
§ 1 Defining the Multilateral System of access and benefit-sharing .....	167
A. States' sovereign rights over PGRFA .....	168
(1) States' sovereign rights as a prerequisite for access? .....	168
(2) A contradiction with the intrinsic logic of PGRFA conservation and use? .....	170
B. The facilitated access to PGRFA in the MLS .....	170
(1) The SMTA: core tool of the MLS .....	171
(2) Facilitated access to Annex I PGRFA .....	172
(a) Annex I material transfers for other purposes .....	173
(b) Facilitated access triggers benefit-sharing .....	173
(c) Exchanges with non-Contracting Parties .....	173
C. Intellectual property rights and the Multilateral System .....	174
(1) IPRs and materials received from the Multilateral System .....	174
(2) IPRs and mandatory financial benefit sharing .....	175
(3) SMTA viral clause applicable to transfers of material protected with IPRs .....	176
(4) Can material be taken out of the MLS? .....	177
§ 2 Implementing the facilitated access to the Multilateral System .....	177
A. Implementation Phase One 2004-2013 .....	178
(1) The institutional functioning of the Treaty .....	178
(2) Collections and accessions in the MLS .....	179
(a) Inclusion by Contracting Parties .....	180
(b) Inclusion of PGRFA by the CGIAR and other international organizations .....	182
(c) Inclusion by other natural and legal persons within the jurisdiction of Contracting Parties .....	183
(d) Accessions included in Annex I following the first two project cycles of the Benefit-sharing Fund .....	184
(3) Numbers of SMTAs signed and data on germplasm flow .....	185
B. Implementation Phase Two 2013-Nowadays .....	187
(1) The mandate of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group to Enhance the Functioning of the MLS .....	187
(2) Revision of the SMTA focusing on the development of a subscription system through a revision of Article 6.11 .....	189
(a) The current potential of SMTA Article 6.11 .....	189
(b) A subscription payment adapted to product categories .....	190
(c) Missing the enhancement objective by getting lost in technical complexities? .....	190
(3) Adapting the coverage of the MLS (based on different scenarios and income projections) .....	191
Section 5. Benefit-sharing, the Benefit-sharing Fund and the touchy issue of money .....	192
§ 1 Defining benefit-sharing .....	193
A. Financial resources of the Treaty under the Funding Strategy .....	193
B. Monetary and non-monetary benefits .....	195
(1) Monetary benefits .....	195
(a) The SMTA Article 6.7 payment scheme .....	196
(b) The STMA Article 6.11 alternative payment scheme .....	196
(2) Non-monetary benefits .....	197
C. The Benefit-sharing Fund .....	197
§ 2 Implementing benefit-sharing obligations in the MLS with an empty purse .....	198
A. Implementation of the financial resources provisions .....	199

B. Implementation of non-monetary benefit-sharing obligations .....	200
C. Implementation of the Benefit-sharing Fund .....	203
Section 6. Information and knowledge related to PGRFA .....	205
§ 1 Defining the Global Information System on PGRFA .....	206
A. Enhanced cooperation for the exchange of PGRFA related information .....	206
B. A diversity of information systems .....	206
C. Traditional knowledge .....	208
§ 2 Implementing the Global Information System .....	210
A. Development of the Global Information System .....	210
B. Other initiatives related to genetic information associated with MLS material .....	211
(1) The DivSeek initiative .....	211
(2) The Global Open Genome Sequence Data Framework .....	213
C. Protection of traditional knowledge .....	214
Section 7. Legal rules and procedures supporting compliance with the Treaty .....	215
§ 1 The Third Party Beneficiary .....	215
A. Defining the concept of Third Party Beneficiary .....	215
(1) A triangular contractual relationship: provider-recipient-Third Party Beneficiary .....	216
(2) The absence of legal personality of the Third Party Beneficiary .....	216
B. Implementing of the Third Party Beneficiary .....	217
(1) The procedures for the operation of the Third Party Beneficiary .....	217
(2) First alternative dispute resolution process by the Third Party Beneficiary .....	218
§ 2 Compliance .....	219
A. Defining the notion .....	219
(1) A long deferred negotiation process .....	219
(2) The procedures and operational mechanisms to promote compliance and address issues of non-compliance .....	221
B. Implementing compliance provisions still to come .....	224
§ 3 Settlement of disputes .....	224
A. Defining of dispute settlement in the Treaty .....	224
B. Implementing dispute settlement provisions .....	225
§ 4 Amendments to the Treaty .....	225
A. Defining legal procedures to review the MLS and funding strategy .....	225
(1) The amendment procedure .....	226
(2) The amendment procedure within the current review process of the MLS and funding strategy .....	226
(a) Amendments to the Treaty .....	227
(b) Adopting a protocol to the Treaty .....	227
B. Implementing the amendment procedure .....	229
Section 8. Treaty governance and stakeholders' participation .....	229
§ 1 Administrative bodies governing the Treaty .....	230
A. The Governing Body .....	230
(1) Consensus .....	230
(2) Observers .....	231
(3) The function of the Governing Body .....	231
(4) Rules of Procedures .....	232
(5) The Bureau .....	233
B. The Secretary .....	233
§ 2 Implementing governance issues .....	235
A. The Governing Body meetings .....	235
B. Renewal of the Secretary's mandate .....	235
C. Consultation with stakeholders, in particular the seed industry, during the review process of the MLS and funding strategy .....	236
(1) The myth of the financial solution by the seed industry .....	236
(2) The absence of other stakeholder groups' consultations .....	237
(3) The "silent observers" at the WG-MLS .....	237
(4) The Multi-stakeholder dialogue Initiative: a missed opportunity .....	239
Conclusion .....	241

<i>Chapter 5 Seeds and People : A Stakeholders’ Analysis of the Treaty</i> .....	245
Section 1. A description of Treaty stakeholders .....	249
§ 1 States .....	250
A. States as sole recognized decision-making actors within FAO.....	250
B. States’ diversity of objectives in creating and complying with regulatory instruments .....	250
C. States’ negotiating practice within FAO.....	251
§ 2 The Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research.....	252
A. A brief history of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research .....	252
B. The CGIAR’s objectives .....	253
C. The role of the CGIAR and of Bioversity International in the Treaty negotiation.....	253
D. Outcomes of CGIAR’s participation in the Treaty negotiating process .....	255
§ 3 The Global Crop Diversity Trust .....	255
A. The mission and objectives of the Global Crop Diversity Trust.....	255
B. Legal status of the GCDT.....	256
C. Strategies and policy results of the GCDT .....	257
§ 4 Genebanks & plant genetic resources collections .....	257
A. The predominance of <i>ex situ</i> conservation of PGRFA .....	257
B. Objectives of genebanks.....	258
C. Conservation strategy at the international level .....	258
§ 5 Plant breeding and the seed Industry .....	259
A. Companies within the breeding and seed industry.....	259
B. Activities of the private seed industry.....	261
C. The seed industry’s strategy in the international PGRFA forum.....	262
D. Succeeding in imposing their voice.....	264
§ 6 Farmers & farmers’ organizations .....	264
A. The many faces of farmers .....	264
B. Farmers’ movement defending their rights to save, use, exchange and sell seeds .....	265
C. Farmers’ strategy .....	267
D. Farmers’ Rights: a claim partially heard.....	268
§ 7 Non-governmental organizations.....	268
A. NGOs at FAO .....	268
B. The role of NGOs in the Treaty forum.....	270
C. NGOs’ strategy and mixed influential outcome.....	271
§ 8 The Keystone International Dialogue Series and the Crucible Groups.....	272
A. An ephemeral but important informal setting .....	272
B. A fruitful and useful open multi-stakeholder dialogue without consensus .....	273
C. A failed revival.....	274
Section 2. List of Treaty constraints identified by stakeholders.....	276
§ 1 Is there a need for clarification of Treaty provisions? .....	278
§ 2 Is there a need for further development of Treaty mechanisms and strategies? .....	278
§ 3 Is there a need for review or update of Treaty mechanisms and strategies? .....	279
§ 4 Is there a need for a stronger coordination in order to facilitate the implementation of this Treaty provision?.....	280
Conclusion .....	281
<b>PART III PLANTING THE COMMONS: TOWARDS REDESIGNING THE GLOBAL SEED COMMONS .....</b>	<b>283</b>
<i>Chapter 6 Feeding an Effective Plant Treaty with the Commons Theory</i> .....	287
Section 1. A brief history of the theory of the commons .....	290
§ 1 Hardin’s “Tragedy of the Commons” .....	291
§ 2 Ostrom’s institutional analysis of common-pool resources .....	293
§ 3 After 2008: the “New Vogue” of the commons theory .....	297
A. Going beyond Ostrom’s influence of the economic approach to the “good” .....	297
B. The role of power relations between members of a community .....	298
C. Beyond the boundaries of the CPR: the question of scale and of internal vs. external environment .....	300
D. Towards “inappropriability” .....	301

E. Commons and Human Rights .....	302
F. Commons and social movements.....	303
Section 2. The commons: useful underlying principles for the Global Seed Commons.....	304
§ 1 Sustainability .....	305
§ 2 Interdependence.....	306
§ 3 Anticommons dilemma: underuse of seeds as main risk for erosion .....	309
§ 4 Physical and informational components inextricably bound to the use of seeds .....	310
§ 5 Community .....	312
§.6 Diversity, heterogeneity, and complexity .....	315
Section 3. Redesigning the global seed commons.....	317
§ 1 Sustainable agriculture and food security.....	320
§ 2 Scope .....	322
§ 3 Farmers’ Rights.....	324
§ 4 Facilitated access.....	326
A. The issue of PGRFA access by farmers for direct use .....	326
B. Over-IPRization and the disappearance of the farmers’ exemption .....	328
§ 5 Benefit-sharing and the Benefit-sharing Fund .....	329
§ 6 Information and knowledge .....	331
§ 7 Third Party Beneficiary.....	333
§ 8 Participation and governance.....	335
Conclusion .....	339
<i>Overall conclusion and further developments .....</i>	<i>343</i>
<i>Bibliography .....</i>	<i>349</i>
<i>Detailed Table of Contents .....</i>	<i>411</i>